SS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GARVIN COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA GARVIN COUNTY	}
FILED	,
DEC 17 2024	
ATO'CLOCK LAURA LEE, Court Clerk	_M _a
BYDEP	UTY

Wake Energy, LLC, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. CJ-2024-267

Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.,

Defendant.

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 17, 2024.

By: Titt

Travis P. Brown, OBA #20636

J. Matt Hill, OBA #33532

Scott R. Verplank, Jr., OBA #34041

MAHAFFEY & GORE, P.C.

300 N.E. 1st Street

Oklahoma City, OK 73104-4004

Telephone: (405) 236-0478 Facsimile: (405) 236-1840 tbrown@mahaffeygore.com mhill@mahaffeygore.com

sverplank@mahaffeygore.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AND CLASS

MEMBERS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Intro	DUCTION	
ARGUM	MENT AND	AUTHORITIES
I. Su	MMARY O	F ARGUMENTS
II. Pr	ROCEDURA	L HISTORY4
III. Ai	RGUMENTS	
		Court Properly Certified the Settlement Class for ment Purposes
	i.	Numerosity 5
	ii.	Commonality 6
	iii.	Typicality9
	iv.	Adequacy
	v.	Predominance
	vi.	Superiority
	B. The C	Court Should Grant Final Approval of the Settlement 14
	i.	The Settlement was Fairly and Honestly Negotiated
	ii.	Questions of Law and Fact Exist, Making the Outcome of this Litigation Uncertain Without Approval of the Settlement
	iii.	The Value of Immediate Recovery Outweighs the Mere Possibility of Future Relief After More Litigation and Expenses
	iv.	Class Representative and Defendant Agree that the Settlement is Fair, Reasonable, and Adequate
		Notice Method Used was the Best Practicable Under the mstances and Should be Approved

D.	The Initial Plan of Allocation Should be Approved	24
Conclusion	N	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Allen v. Apache Corp., No. 22-cv-00063-JAR (N.D. Okla. Aug. 1, 2022) 2022 WL 22608666	, 22
Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591 (1997)	. 13
Bank of America, et al. v. El Paso Natural Gas Co., et al. Case No. CJ-2004-45 (Washita Cnty, Okla.) 2017 WL 9478457	. 22
Bayhylle v. Jiffy Lube Int'l, Inc., 2006 OK CIV APP 130, 146 P.3d 856	21
Big O Tires, Inc. v. Bigfoot 4x4, Inc., 167 F. Supp. 2d 1216 (D. Colo. 2001)	15
Black Hawk Oil Co. v. Exxon Corp., 1998 OK 70, 969 P.2d 337	6
Cactus Petroleum Corp. v. Chesapeake Operating, Inc., 2009 OK 67, 222 P.3d 12	10
Chieftain Royalty Co. v. BP America Production Co., No. CIV-18-54-JFH-JFJ (N.D. Okla.) 2018 WL 3298062	22
Chieftain Royalty Co. v. Laredo Petroleum, Inc., No. CIV-12-1319-D, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 62450 (W.D. Okla. May 13, 2015)	8
Chieftain Royalty Co. v. Marathon Oil Co., No. CIV-17-334-SPS (E.D. Okla. Mar. 8, 2019) 2019 WL 7758680	. 8
Chieftain Royalty Co. v. <i>QEP Energy Co.</i> , No. CIV-11-212-R, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35842 (W.D. Okla. March 12, 2012)	. 8
Chieftain Royalty Co. v. SM Energy Co., et al., No. 5:11-cv-00177-D (W.D. Okla. Dec. 23, 2015) 2015 WL 9451069	. 8
Chieftain Royalty Co. v. XTO Energy, Inc., No. CIV-11-29-KEW (E.D. Okla. Mar. 27, 2018) 2018 WL 2296588	. 8
Childs v. Unified Life Ins. Co., 2011 WL 6016486 (N.D. Okla. Dec. 2, 2011)	20
Cline v. Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), 333 F.R.D. 676 (E.D. Okla. 2019)	8

DDL Oil & Gas, LLC v. Diversified Production, LLC, No. CJ-2019-17 (Blaine Cnty. Dist. Ct. Okla. July 7, 2023)	22
DG ex rel. Stricklin v. Devaughn, 594 F.3d 1188 (10th Cir. 2010)	7
Donald D. Miller Revocable Family Trust v. DCP Operating Co., LP, et al. No. CIV-18-00199-JH (E. D. Okla.)2	.2
Fager v. CenturyLink Commc'ns., LLC, 854 F.3d 1167 (10th Cir. 2016)2	.1
Fankhouser v. XTO Energy, Inc., No. CIV-07-798-L, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 133345 (W.D. Okla. Dec. 16, 2010)	9
Geiger v. Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health Sys., Inc., 2015 WL 4523806, n.1 (D. Kan. July 27, 2015)	3
Gentry v. Cotton Elec. Co-op., İnc., 2011 OK CIV APP 24, 268 P.3d 534	7
Harrel's LLC v. Chaparral Energy, LLC, 923 F.3d 779 (10th Cir. 2019)	8
Hess v. Volkswagen of America, Inc., 2009 OK CIV APP 84, 221 P.3d 1326	5
Hill v. Kaiser-Francis Oil Co., No. CIV-09-07-R, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56797 (W.D. Okla. June 9, 2010))
Hill v. Marathon Oil Co., No. CIV-08-37-R, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56650 (W.D. Okla. June 9, 2010))
In re EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Mktg., Sales Pracs. & Antitrust Litig., 2022 WL 2663873 (D. Kan. July 11, 2022)	ļ.
In re Farmers Med-Pay Litigation, 2010 OK CIV APP 12, 229 P.3d 551	
In re Global Crossing Sec. & ERISA Litig., 225 F.R.D. 436 (S.D.N.Y. 2004)24	
In re Integra Reality Resources, Inc., 354 F. 3d 1246 (10th Cir. 2004)	
<i>In re Sprint Corp. ERISA Litig.</i> , 443 F. Supp. 2d 1249 (D. Kan. 2006)	

	Kernen v. Casillas Operating, LLC, No. CIV-18-107-JD (W.D. Okla. Aug. 17, 2021)
	L. E. Smith Const. Co. v. Bearden Plumbing & Heating Co., 1962 OK 135, 372 P.2d 229
	Lucas v. Kmart Corp., 234 F.R.D. 688 (D. Colo. 2006)
	Marcus v. Kan. Dept. of Revenue, 209 F. Supp. 2d 1179 (D. Kan. 2002)
	Martin v. Hanover Direct, Inc., 2006 OK CIV APP 33, 135 P.3d 251
	Mattoon v. City of Norman, 1981 OK 92, 633 P.2d 735
	McClintock v. Enterprise Crude Oil, LLC, No. CIV-16-136-KEW (E.D. Okla. Dec. 16, 2020)
	McNeely v. Nat'l Mobile Health Care, LLC, 2008 WL 4816510 (W.D. Okla. Oct. 27, 2008)
	Morehead v. State, 2018 OK CIV APP 27, 415 P.3d 555
	Mullane v. Cent. Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306 (1950)
	Naylor Farms v. Anadarko OGC Co., No. CIV-08-668-R, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127516 (W.D. Okla. Aug. 26, 2009)9
	Reirdon v. Cimarex Energy Co., No. 6:16-cv-00113-KEW (E.D. Okla. Dec. 18, 2018)
	Reirdon v. XTO Energy, Inc., No. 6:16-cv-00087-KEW (E.D. Okla. Jan. 29, 2018)
4	Rex v. Owens, 585 F.2d 432 (10th Cir. 1978)
j	Rhea v. Apache Corp., No. CIV-14-0433-JH, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 65381 (E.D. Okla., Feb. 15, 2019)
7	Sollenbarger v. Mountain States Tel. & Tel. Co., 121 F.R.D. 417 (D.N.M. 1988)

Tennille v. Western Union Co., 785 F.3d 422 (10th Cir. 2015)
Trief v. Dun & Bradstreet Corp., 840 F. Supp. 277 (S.D.N.Y.1993)
<i>Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Bouaphakeo</i> , 577 U.S. 442 (2016)
United Food and Comm. Workers Union v. Chesapeake Energy Corp., 281 F.R.D. 641 (W.D. Okla. 2012)9
Velma-Alma Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 15 v. Texaco, Inc., 2007 OK CIV APP 42, 162 P.3d 238
Whisenant v. Strat Land Exploration Co., 2018 OK CIV APP 65, 429 P.3d 703
White Family Minerals, LLC v. EOG Resources, Inc., No CIV-19-00409-KEW (E.D. Okla.)
<u>Statutes</u>
12 O.S. § 2202
12 O.S. § 2023
30 U.S.C. § 1702(2)
30 U.S.C. § 1702(4)
Rules
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23
Other Authorities
Alba Conte & Herbert B. Newberg, Newberg on Class Actions § 11.25 at 38 (4th ed. 2002) 5
Manual for Complex Litigation (Second) § 23.11 (1985)
Manual for Complex Litigation § 13.14 (4th ed. 2004)

Class Representative, Wake Energy, LLC ("Class Representative"), on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, respectfully submits this Memorandum of Law (the "Final Approval Memorandum"), in support of and in conjunction with Class Representative's Motion for Final Approval (the "Final Approval Motion"), and shows the Court as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Class Representative and Class Counsel¹ have reached a fair and equitable settlement with Defendant, Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. ("Defendant"). Pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement provides for a cash payment of \$2,000,000.00 (the "Gross Settlement Fund") to compensate the Settlement Class for past damages. On November 25, 2024, the Court entered its Order Certifying the Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes, Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Approving Form and Manner of Notice and Set Date for Final Approval Hearing (the "Preliminary Approval Order"). Having carried out the instructions set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, Class Representative now seeks final approval of the Settlement. As demonstrated hereinbelow, the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable; therefore, the Settlement should be finally approved. To be sure, the Settlement was reached only after extensive arm's length negotiations among experienced and competent counsel representing each party. The Settlement provides certain recovery in the face of unanswered and disputed questions of law and fact, resulting in the avoidance of prolonged and expensive litigation of the complex issues at hand. As such, Class Representative respectfully requests the Court enter: (1) the proposed Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (the

¹ All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning given to them in the September 30, 2024 Settlement Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Certify the Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes, Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Approving Form and Manner of Notice and Set Date for Final Approval Hearing that was filed on October 17, 2024.

"Final Approval Order"), a copy of which is attached to the Final Approval Motion as Exhibit 1; and (2) the proposed Initial Plan of Allocation Order, a copy of which is attached to the Final Approval Motion as Exhibit 2.

ARGUMENTS & AUTHORITIES

I. Summary of Arguments.

Class Representative and Class Counsel obtained a substantial Settlement for the Settlement Class. The Net Settlement Fund will be used to establish a common fund to be allocated and distributed to Class Members in accordance with a Court-approved Plan of Allocation. See Settlement Agreement at § 6. In exchange for these benefits, the Settlement Class will release the Released Claims against Defendant.

In its Preliminary Approval Order, the Court certified the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, and preliminarily approved the Settlement. See Preliminary Approval Order at ¶¶ 5-7. Following the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and in accordance therewith, Notice of the Settlement was sent to the Settlement Class. With the Final Approval Motion, Class Representative now asks the Court to grant final approval of the Settlement so that the Net Settlement Fund may be distributed to the Settlement Class.

A class action settlement should be approved by the Court when it is fair, reasonable, and adequate. See 12 O.S. § 2023(E)(2). In Oklahoma, four factors are considered when determining whether to approve a class action settlement:

(1) whether the proposed settlement was fairly and honestly negotiated, (2) whether serious questions of law and fact exist, placing the ultimate outcome of litigation in doubt, (3) whether the value of an immediate recovery outweighs the mere possibility of future relief after protracted and expensive litigation, and (4) the judgment of the parties that the settlement is fair and reasonable.

Velma-Alma Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 15 v. Texaco, Inc., 2007 OK CIV APP 42, ¶ 18 n.10, 162 P.3d 238, 243 n.10 (citing In re Integra Reality Resources, Inc., 354 F. 3d 1246 (10th Cir. 2004)).² Here, all four factors support final approval of the Settlement.

Taking each in turn, first, the Settlement was fairly and honestly negotiated through an arm's-length negotiation process between experienced and well-informed counsel. Second, even today, serious questions of law and fact exist that would place the ultimate outcome of this Litigation in doubt. Specifically, the Parties continue to disagree as to whether Defendant's calculation of royalty payments that were paid by Defendant on residue gas produced by Oklahoma Wells and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the production month of February 2021, which forms the basis of Class Representative's and the Settlement Class's claims, complies with Oklahoma law and whether the Class could be certified for litigation purposes under 12 O.S. § 2023. Furthermore, the cash recovery paid by Defendant far outweighs the mere possibility of future relief after long, expensive litigation, including class certification, a complex trial, and likely appeals. Finally, Class Representative, Defendant, and their respective counsel believe that the Settlement is fair, adequate, reasonable, and should be approved. See Settlement Agreement at p. 2; Declaration of Coy Reed on Behalf of Class Representative, attached as Exhibit 1, at ¶ 13; see also Declaration of Travis P. Brown on Behalf of Class Counsel, attached as Exhibit 2, at ¶ 8.

In addition to the foregoing, the Court should also grant final approval of the form and manner of Notice. As noted supra, the Court preliminarily approved the proposed form and manner of Notice in its Preliminary Approval Order at ¶¶ 8-9. More specifically, the Court preliminarily approved the proposed postcard Notice of Settlement ("Postcard Notice") that was sent to the

² "Oklahoma's class action statute, § 2023, closely parallels Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court may therefore look to federal authority for guidance regarding the interpretation of § 2023." *Cactus Petroleum Corp.* v. Chesapeake Operating, Inc., 2009 OK 67, 222 P.3d 12, 18 n. 8.

Class, the summary Notice of Settlement ("Publication Notice") that was published in newspapers in circulation in Oklahoma, and the website Notice of Settlement ("Long Notice") that was made available on the website and in response to any potential Class Member request. *Id.* The Postcard Notice, Publication Notice, and Long Notice (collectively, the "Notice Documents") are the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive such notice, and fully satisfy the requirements of due process and 12 O.S. § 2023.³

Finally, the Court should approve the proposed Initial Plan of Allocation, which is attached to the Final Approval Motion as Exhibit 2. Class Representative and Class Counsel submit that the Initial Plan of Allocation is fair and reasonable as it was formulated by competent counsel and is based on each Class Member's particular loss. *See generally* Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration. Additionally, Class Representative's oil and gas accounting expert, George N. Keeney, III, endorses the Allocation Methodology as fair, adequate, and reasonable, and in the best interest of the Class. *See* Affidavit of George N. Keeney, III, attached as Exhibit 4, at ¶ 7.

II. Procedural History.

In the interest of brevity, Class Representative will not recite the factual and procedural background of this Litigation again herein, but instead respectfully refer the Court to Plaintiff's October 17, 2024 Preliminary Approval Memorandum, the Class Counsel Declaration, the pleadings on file, and any other matters of which the Court may take judicial notice, all of which are incorporated as if set forth fully herein. *See* 12 O.S. § 2202 (court may take judicial notice of "adjudicative facts" that are "[c]apable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.").

³ For details regarding the Settlement Administrator's efforts in disseminating the Notice Documents, *see* Declaration of Graham D. Penny, on Behalf of Settlement Administrator, JND Legal Administration, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

III. Arguments.

The Court should grant final approval of the Settlement. The procedure for review of a proposed class action settlement is a well-established two-step process. See Manual for Complex Litigation § 13.14 (4th ed. 2004). First, the Court conducts a preliminary analysis to determine if the settlement should be preliminarily approved such that the class should be notified of the pendency of a proposed settlement. Id. at § 21.632. Second, the class is notified and provided an opportunity to be heard at a fairness hearing before the settlement is finally approved. Id. at § 21.633; Alba Conte & Herbert B. Newberg, Newberg on Class Actions § 11.25 at 38 (4th ed. 2002).

The Court previously carried out the first required step when it entered its Preliminary Approval Order on November 25, 2024. Thereafter, Notice was sent to the Settlement Class pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and in the form and manner approved by the Court. See generally Ex. 3, Settlement Administrator Declaration. Now, to satisfy the second step the Court must consider the four factors set forth in Velma-Alma Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 15, supra. Each of these factors support final approval of the Settlement here.

A. The Court Properly Certified the Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes.

In its Motion for Preliminary Approval, Class Representative set forth extensive evidence and arguments establishing each element of 12 O.S. § 2023(A). Those elements are: (1) numerosity; (2) commonality; (3) typicality; and (4) adequacy. 12 O.S. § 2023(A). Additionally, Class Representative put forth evidence and arguments to satisfy the predominance and superiority elements of 12 O.S. § 2023(B)(3). Each of the foregoing are re-addressed in turn herein.

i. Numerosity.

The first element under 12 O.S. § 2023 is commonly referred to as "numerosity." Specifically, 12 O.S. § 2023(A)(1) requires "the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members

is impracticable." Black Hawk Oil Co. v. Exxon Corp., 1998 OK 70, ¶14, 969 P.2d 337, 343. The numerosity "requirement is satisfied by numbers alone where the size of the class is in the hundreds." In re Farmers Med-Pay Litigation, 2010 OK CIV APP 12, ¶10, 229 P.3d 551, 555; see also Gentry v. Cotton Elec. Co-op., Inc., 2011 OK CIV APP 24, ¶22, 268 P.3d 534, 542 ("In Oklahoma, 100 is enough."). "There is, however, no set formula to determine if the class is so numerous that it should be so certified." Rex v. Owens, 585 F.2d 432, 436 (10th Cir. 1978).

Here, the Settlement Class consists of thousands of owners throughout Oklahoma, making joinder of all Class Members impracticable. *See* Petition at ¶¶19-20. Such a class size easily satisfies the numerosity requirement under 12 O.S. § 2023(A)(1). *See Morehead v. State*, 2018 OK CIV APP 27, ¶8, 415 P.3d 555, 561; *Cline v. Sunoco, Inc. (R&M)*, 333 F.R.D. 676, 682 (E.D. Okla. 2019) (finding that proposed class of thousands of owners "easily satisfies" numerosity requirement"). Defendant has agreed that the Settlement Class should be certified for settlement purposes. *See generally* Settlement Agreement. Therefore, the numerosity requirement is met.

ii. Commonality.

The second prerequisite for a class action is typically referred to as "commonality." In this respect, 12 O.S. § 2023(A)(2) requires that there be "questions of law or fact common to the class." See 12 O.S. § 2023(A)(2). "To satisfy the commonality prerequisite the case must involve questions of law or fact common to the class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members." Martin v. Hanover Direct, Inc., 2006 OK CIV APP 33, ¶12, 135 P.3d 251, 255 (internal quotations omitted). "Commonality requires the existence of questions of law or fact common to the class members." Hess v. Volkswagen of America, Inc., 2009 OK CIV APP 84, ¶14, 221 P.3d 132, 136. "[A]n individual question is one where members of a proposed class will need to present evidence that varies from member to member, while a common question is one where

the same evidence will suffice for each member to make a *prima facie* showing [or] the issue is susceptible to generalized, class-wide proof." *Whisenant v. Strat Land Exploration Co.*, 2018 OK CIV APP 65, ¶18, 429 P.3d 703, 710 (quoting *Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Bouaphakeo*, 577 U.S. 442, 453 (2016)). "The predominance of common questions of law or fact as a basis for class certification is a qualitative rather than a quantitative matter." *Gentry*, ¶12, 268 P.3d at 539. "As a general rule, where a lawsuit challenges a practice or policy affecting all putative class members, individual factual differences among the individual litigants will not preclude a finding of commonality." *In re Farmers Med-Pay Litigation*, 2010 OK CIV APP 12, ¶12, 229 P.3d 551, 555. A class representative need only show a single issue common to all members of the class to satisfy this factor. *See DG ex rel. Stricklin v. Devaughn*, 594 F.3d 1188, 1195 (10th Cir. 2010).

Here, there are many common questions that could be answered uniformly for the Settlement Class. In fact, each of the common issues in this matter arise from a single underlying principle of Oklahoma law—the obligation of Defendant to pay royalty proceeds based upon the price Defendant actually received. Class Representative alleges that Defendant failed to properly calculate royalty revenues from the Oklahoma Wells and failed to properly distribute royalties associated with the Oklahoma Wells. See Petition at ¶¶19-26. Common questions for the Class Members includes, but is not limited to: (i) whether Defendant properly calculated royalties associated with the Oklahoma Wells; (ii) whether Class Members are entitled to the higher prices Defendant or Defendant's affiliates received for the Oklahoma Wells; and (iii) whether Defendant obtained and/or shared in the best price possible for the Oklahoma Wells with the Class Members.

There is a long history of Oklahoma state and federal courts certifying class actions with respect to royalty calculation both in settlement context and in litigation context. See, e.g., DDL Oil & Gas LLC v. Diversified Prod., LLC, No. CJ-2019-17 (Blaine Cnty. Dist. Ct., Okla. July 7,

2023) (Order Granting Preliminary Approval); Harrel's LLC v. Chaparral Energy, LLC, 923 F.3d 779 (10th Cir. 2019) (affirming class certification in royalty class action); Allen v. Apache Corp., No. 22-cv-00063-JAR (N.D. Okla. Aug. 1, 2022), Dkt. No. 23 (granting preliminary approval of class settlement and certifying class for settlement purposes); Kernen v. Casillas Operating LLC, No. CIV-18-107-JD (W.D. Okla. Aug. 17, 2021), Dkt. No. 101 (granting preliminary approval of class settlement and certifying class for settlement purposes); McClintock v. Enterprise Crude Oil LLC, No. CIV-16-136-KEW (E.D. Okla. Dec. 16, 2020), Dkt. No. 104 (granting preliminary approval of class settlement and certifying class for settlement purposes); Cline v. Sunoco, Inc., 333 F.R.D. 676 (E.D. Okla. 2019) (granting contested class certification motion in PRSA interest class action); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. Marathon Oil Co., No. CIV-17-334-SPS (E.D. Okla. Mar. 8, 2019), Dkt. No. 122 (Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement): Rhea v. Apache Corp., No. CIV-14-0433-JH, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 65381 (E.D. Okla., Feb. 15, 2019) (granting contested class certification motion for royalty class action); Reirdon v. Cimarex Energy Co., No. 6:16-cv-00113-KEW (E.D. Okla. Dec. 18, 2018), Dkt. No. 102 (Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement); Reirdon v. XTO Energy Inc., No. 6:16-cv-00087-KEW (E.D. Okla. Jan. 29, 2018), Dkt. No. 122 (Order Granting Final Approval) (certifying class for settlement purposes); Chieftain v. XTO Energy Inc., No. CIV-11-29-KEW (E.D. Okla. Mar. 27, 2018), Dkt. No. 229 (Order Granting Final Approval) (certifying class for settlement purposes); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. SM Energy Co., et al., No. 5:11-cv-00177-D (W.D. Okla. Dec. 23, 2015), Dkt. No. 154 (Order of Judgment Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement) (certifying class for settlement purposes); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. Laredo Petroleum, Inc., No. CIV-12-1319-D, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 62450 (W.D. Okla. May 13, 2015) (same); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. QEP Energy Co., No. CIV-11-212-R, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35842 (W.D.

Okla. March 12, 2012); Fankhouser v. XTO Energy, Inc., No. CIV-07-798-L, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 133345 (W.D. Okla. Dec. 16, 2010); Hill v. Kaiser-Francis Oil Co., No. CIV-09-07-R, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56797 (W.D. Okla. June 9, 2010); Hill v. Marathon Oil Co., No. CIV-08-37-R, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56650 (W.D. Okla. June 9, 2010); Naylor Farms v. Anadarko OGC Co., No. CIV-08-668-R, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127516 (W.D. Okla. Aug. 26, 2009).

The royalty rate Defendant paid to the Class Members in February of 2021 presents a common question of fact and law. Thus, because there are questions of law and fact common to members of the Settlement Class and Defendant agrees the Settlement Class should be certified for settlement purposes, the commonality requirement of 12 O.S. § 2023 is satisfied.

iii. Typicality.

The third prerequisite for a class action is commonly referred to as the "typicality" requirement. Oklahoma statute requires that "[t]he claims or defenses of the representative parties [be] typical of the claims and defenses of the class." 12 O.S. § 2023(A)(3). "Typicality requires that the named plaintiff's individual claims are typical of those claims asserted by the members of the proposed class." *Martin v. Hanover Direct, Inc.*, 2006 OK CIV APP 33, ¶ 16, 135 P.3d 251, 256. "Typicality ensures the interests of the class and the class representatives are aligned so that the latter will work to benefit the entire class through the pursuit of their own goals." *Id.* "This prerequisite focuses on the relationship between the representative parties and the class as a whole." *Id.* "The typicality requirement of Rule 23 limits the class claims to those 'fairly encompassed' by the claims of the named plaintiff." *United Food and Comm. Workers Union v. Chesapeake Energy Corp.*, 281 F.R.D. 641, 652 (W.D. Okla. 2012). "A named plaintiff's claim is 'typical' when it arises out of the same event, practice, or course of conduct of the defendant, and is based on the same legal theory on which the class claims are predicated." *Id.* (emphasis added).

"Typicality does not require that all of the class members have identical claims. If the claims arise from a similar course of conduct by the defendant and share the same legal theory, factual differences in the claims of the class members will not defeat typicality." *Cactus Petro.*, ¶ 11, 222 P.3d at 18.

Here, Class Representative's claims are typical of the Settlement Class because Class Representative contends that Defendant treated all Class Members in the same manner for purposes of paying revenues from the Oklahoma Wells in February of 2021. Thus, the same legal theories and factual issues underlie the Settlement Class's claims because Class Representative alleges Defendant engaged in a common practice and course of conduct to the detriment of the Class Members regarding revenues associated with the Oklahoma Wells in February of 2021. See Petition ¶¶ 19-26. Therefore, all Class Members who received royalty revenues produced from the Oklahoma Wells in February of 2021 suffered the same injury arising from the same facts. The same evidence could be used to establish each of the Class Members' claims and Defendant's liability, and all Class Members will benefit from the terms of the Settlement Agreement. In addition, Defendant has agreed that the Settlement Class should be certified for settlement purposes. See generally Ex. 1, Settlement Agreement. Therefore, Class Representative's claims are typical of the Class Members and the "typicality" requirement of 12 O.S. § 2023(A)(3) is satisfied.

iv. Adequacy.

The last of the four (4) prerequisites under Oklahoma's class action scheme is referred to as the adequacy requirement. This requirement may be considered in two parts: (1) the adequacy of the class representative; and (2) the adequacy of class counsel. *See Martin v. Hanover Direct, Inc.*, 2006 OK CIV APP 33, ¶18, 135 P.3d 251. "Satisfaction of the adequate representation element depends on two factors: (a) the plaintiff's attorney must be qualified, experienced, and generally

able to conduct the proposed litigation, and (b) the plaintiff must not have interests antagonistic to those of the class." *Id.* (internal quotations omitted). First, there is no dispute that Plaintiff adequately represents the Settlement Class. Plaintiff owns royalty interest in Oklahoma Wells and is in a similar position as each of the Class Members with respect to the claims presented herein. Moreover, Plaintiff does not possess any interest antagonistic to the Class Members.

Next, there is no dispute that Class Counsel is adequate. Mahaffey & Gore, P.C. routinely represents plaintiffs and defendants in complex oil and gas litigation. Mahaffey & Gore was established in 1980 with a specific focus on oil and gas law. In addition to Mahaffey & Gore's vast knowledge and experience in the oil and gas industry as a whole, Mahaffey & Gore has routinely been involved in class actions, particularly class actions related to oil and gas payment claims under Oklahoma law.

In sum, the Court can take judicial notice that Class Counsel is qualified and experienced to conduct this Litigation. Moreover, Class Representative does not possess any interest antagonistic to the Settlement Class and has prosecuted this Litigation vigorously through qualified counsel. Class Representative has demonstrated its dedication to this matter through participation in all aspects of this Litigation and understands its duties and obligations to the Settlement Class. Additionally, Defendant agrees that the Settlement Class should be certified for settlement purposes. *See generally* Ex. 1, Settlement Agreement. Therefore, Class Representative and the Settlement Class satisfy the adequacy requirement.

v. Predominance.

"Generally, in determining whether the predominance standard is met, a court focuses on the issue of liability, and if the liability issue is common to the class, common questions are held to predominate over individual ones." *Whisenant v. Strat Land Expl. Co.*, 2018 OK CIV APP 65, ¶23,

429 P.3d 703, 712. "Predominance involves two components. The court must find that: 1) 'questions of law or fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members'; and 2) 'a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy." *In re Famers Med-Pay Litigation*, 2010 OK CIV APP 12, ¶19, 229 P.3d 551, 556. "The determination of predominance is a 'qualitative rather than quantitative' matter, because the weight of resolving certain issues may outweigh their number." *Id.* (quoting *Mattoon v. City of Norman*, 1981 OK 92, ¶18, 633 P.2d 735, 739.

Here, the Petition alleges that Defendant received higher prices from the Oklahoma Wells and did not pass those prices along to Class Representative and Class Members when calculating Class Representative's and Class Members' royalty revenues. The Petition alleges that such conduct is wrongful regardless of any lease language or other contractual agreement, such that this common issue predominates over any individual issues. Such common conduct gives rise to each Class Members' claims, and results in a sufficient Settlement Class to warrant class treatment and adjudication by representation. Defendant strongly denies liability for any such claims, however, Defendant has agreed that for the limited purposes of settlement of this case, Class Representative's claims should be certified and receive class treatment.

As Class Representative contends, each Class Members' claim arises from the same alleged price calculation and Defendant's systematic treatment of the Class Members' royalty revenues with respect to the Oklahoma Wells in February of 2021. Therefore, Class Representative and the Class Members satisfy the predominance requirement.

vi. Superiority.

Certifying a class under 12 O.S. § 2023(B)(3) also requires that the class action be "superior" to other available methods of adjudication. Section 2023(B)(3) sets forth factors relevant to this determination and states as follows:

- a. The interest of members of the class in individually controlling the prosecution or defense of separate actions,
- b. The extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by or against members of the class,
- c. The desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation of the claims to the particular forum, and
- d. The difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of a class action.

12 O.S. § 2023(B)(3). With respect to the fourth factor, federal courts interpreting Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have determined that in faced with a proposed settlement class, the Court does not need to consider the "difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of a class action." See Geiger v. Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health Sys., Inc., 2015 WL 4523806, at *4, n.1 (D. Kan. July 27, 2015) ("The court need not consider the fourth factor—the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of the class action—when the class is being certified solely for the purpose of settlement."); see also Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591, 620 (1997).

Representative's knowledge, there has been no individual claim brought specifically regarding the price received by a Class Member regarding the Oklahoma Wells. Moreover, the determination and discovery of the price Defendant received for the Oklahoma Wells requires complex and detailed analysis and likely outweighs the cost of individual litigation. In addition, because certain Oklahoma Wells are located in Garvin County, and the Parties have agreed to jurisdiction and venue lying in Garvin County, concentrating the Litigation in this Court is desirable. There are no anticipated

difficulties in managing this case as a class action for settlement purposes, and the Parties have already structured management of the same. *See generally* Settlement Agreement. Finally, Defendant has agreed that the Settlement Class should be certified for settlement purposes. *See generally id*. Therefore, the class action method is the superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the Litigation.

In conclusion, the Court, based upon the foregoing, correctly certified the following Settlement Class for settlement purposes:

All non-excluded persons or entities who were paid royalties from Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. on residue gas produced from Oklahoma Wells that was sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (1) Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. and the Released Parties and their respective affiliates, predecessors, and employees, officers, and directors; (2) agencies, departments, or instrumentalities of the United States of America or the State of Oklahoma; (3) Commissioners of the Land Office of the State of Oklahoma (CLO); (4) any publicly traded company or its affiliated entity that produces, gathers, processes, or markets gas; (5) any Indian Tribe as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(4) or Indian allottee as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(2); (6) Judy Grellner; and (7) Kunneman Properties, LLC.

See Preliminary Approval Order at ¶ 3. The Court should re-affirm such finding and its finding that class certification is proper under 12 O.S. § 2023(B)(3) for settlement purposes because: (1) Defendant consents to certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes; and (2) Class Representative sets forth extensive evidence and arguments establishing each element of 12 O.S. § 2023(A) both here and in its Preliminary Approval Memorandum, which is respectfully incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein. As such, the Court properly certified the Settlement Class and may now proceed to final approval of the Settlement.

B. The Court Should Grant Final Approval of the Settlement.

Under 12 O.S. § 2023(E), the Court should approve the Settlement. Oklahoma courts and public policy strongly favor settlement as a method for resolving disputes. *See L. E. Smith Const.*

Co. v. Bearden Plumbing & Heating Co., 1962 OK 135, ¶15, 372 P.2d 229, 232. This is especially true in the context of complex class actions such as the one before the Court. See Big O Tires, Inc. v. Bigfoot 4x4, Inc., 167 F. Supp. 2d 1216, 1229 (D. Colo. 2001) ("Particularly in complex cases the litigants should be encouraged to determine their respective rights between themselves.") (citing Manual for Complex Litigation (Second) § 23.11 (1985)). "In order to approve a class settlement agreement, the trial court must find the agreement fair, adequate, and reasonable." Velma-Alma Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 15, 2007 OK CIV APP 42, ¶18, 162 P.3d at 243; see also 12 O.S. § 2023(E)(2).

In *Velma-Alma*, the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals held that trial courts "must find the agreement fair, adequate, and reasonable." *Velma-Alma Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 15*, 2007 OK CIV APP 42, ¶ 18, 162 P.3d at 243. To do so, the court examined numerous factors. *Id.* Finding authority from the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals to be instructive, the Court held that trial courts should consider:

(1) whether the proposed settlement was fairly and honestly negotiated; (2) whether serious questions of law and fact exist, placing the ultimate outcome of litigation in doubt; (3) whether the value of an immediate recovery outweighs the mere possibility of future relief after protracted and expensive litigation; and (4) the judgment of the parties that the settlement is fair and reasonable.

Id. at ¶ 18 n.10, 243 n. 10 (citing *In re Integra Reality Resources, Inc.*, 354 F. 3d 1246 (10th Cir. 2004)). As demonstrated hereinbelow, each of these factors support Class Representative's request for final approval of this Settlement.

i. The Settlement was Fairly and Honestly Negotiated.

"When a settlement is reached by experienced counsel after negotiations in an adversarial setting, there is an initial presumption that the settlement is fair and reasonable." *Marcus v. Kan. Dept. of Revenue*, 209 F. Supp. 2d 1179, 1182 (D. Kan. 2002) (citing *Trief. Dun & Bradstreet*)

Corp., 840 F. Supp. 277 (S.D.N.Y.1993) ("[A]bsent evidence of fraud or overreaching, courts consistently have refused to act as Monday morning quarterbacks in evaluating the judgment of counsel.")); see also Lucas v. Kmart Corp., 234 F.R.D. 688, 693 (D. Colo. 2006) (arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel supports a presumption that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable).

Here, the Settlement is a product of extensive arm's-length negotiations between the Parties' experienced counsel. See Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 36. Moreover, a comprehensive examination of the voluminous information and data produced in this litigation enabled the Parties to make informed decisions about the strengths and weaknesses of their respective cases. See id.; see also Childs v. Unified Life Ins. Co., No. 10-CV-23-PJC, 2011 WL 6016486, at *12 (N.D. Okla. Dec. 2, 2011). As further evidence that the settlement was fairly and honestly negotiated, prior to reaching the Settlement this matter went through two separate mediations, the first one overseen by Judge Bill Heatherington, and the second, overseen by Paul D. Trimble, a well-respected and renowned oil and gas attorney in Oklahoma. Thereafter, the Parties continued negotiations and such negotiations were reported to Mr. Trimble. This included the Parties holding settlement meetings and vigorously negotiating the terms of the Settlement Agreement, which ultimately resulted in the Settlement Agreement.

Furthermore, Class Counsel has vast experience with oil and gas royalty payment litigation and class actions. Indeed, after being established in 1980 with a specific focus on oil and gas law, Mahaffey & Gore, P.C. has routinely represented both plaintiffs and defendants in complex oil and gas litigation. Mahaffey & Gore's representation, spanning from single landowners to some of the largest and most profitable oil and gas companies in the state, has resulted in highly favorable results for its clients. Mahaffey & Gore, P.C. utilized this vast knowledge and experience of the oil

and gas industry as a whole to ensure that the Settlement was fairly and honestly negotiated by all Parties.

In addition to the foregoing, Class Representative was intimately involved in the negotiations and believes the process resulted in an excellent Settlement for the Settlement Class. See Ex. 1, Class Representative Declaration at ¶ 10. Since the filing of this Litigation nearly four (4) years ago, Class Representative have devoted extensive time to prosecuting this Litigation, including the production of documents, meeting and communicating regularly with Class Counsel, participating in the multiple mediations and extensive negotiations that followed, resulted in the Settlement. See id. As such, the Parties and their lawyers were well prepared for the serious and intelligent negotiations that led to the Settlement.

Finally, Class Representative, through counsel, conducted in-depth investigation and research into the claims asserted, reviewed extensive data, conducted multiple depositions, and consulted with experts. *See id.* Further, the Settlement is the product of arm's-length negotiations between Class Representative, Defendant and their respective experienced counsel at a point when Class Representative and Defendant possessed more than sufficient evidence and knowledge to allow them to make informed decisions about the strengths and weaknesses of their respective cases. The Settlement is the product of serious and informed negotiations among experienced counsel.

These facts demonstrate the Settlement resulted from serious, informed, and non-collusive negotiations between skilled and dedicated attorneys. Therefore, the first factor supports final approval.

ii. Questions of Law and Fact Exist, Making the Outcome of this Litigation Uncertain Without Approval of the Settlement.

The existence of serious questions of law and fact place the ultimate outcome of this Litigation in doubt. Such doubt "tips the balance in favor of settlement because settlement creates a certainty of some recovery, and eliminates doubt, meaning the possibility of no recovery after long and expensive litigation." *McNeely v. Nat'l Mobile Health Care, LLC*, No. CIV-07-933-M, 2008 WL 4816510, at *13 (W.D. Okla. Oct. 27, 2008) (internal citations omitted).

In this Litigation, there are numerous factual and legal issues about which the Parties disagree—issues that would ultimately be decided by this Court or a jury. See Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 14. To this day, Defendant deny they committed any acts or omissions giving rise to any liability or violation of law. See Settlement Agreement at ¶ 11.1. Defendant has always maintained that its calculation of royalty payments that were paid by Defendant for residue gas produced by Oklahoma Wells and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the production month of February 2021, which forms the basis of Class Representative's and the Settlement Class's claims, complies with Oklahoma law. Therefore, Defendant has entered into this Settlement solely to eliminate the burden and expense of further litigation. See id.

Additionally, despite Class Representative's optimism regarding their chances at trial, Class Representative would have to overcome several significant obstacles. First, before reaching the merits of this Litigation, the Court and the Parties would be required to resolve complex legal questions concerning Oklahoma oil and gas law and its impact on Defendant's royalty payment calculations and policies. Once these questions of law are resolved, many serious questions of fact would remain, including, *inter alia*, whether Defendant's conduct violates Oklahoma law. Because this Litigation still presents serious issues of law and fact that places the ultimate outcome in doubt, the second factor supports final approval of the Settlement.

iii. The Value of Immediate Recovery Outweighs the Mere Possibility of Future Relief After More Litigation and Expenses.

The complexity, uncertainty, expense, and likely duration of further litigation and appeals also supports approval of the proposed Settlement. The immediate value of the \$2,000,000.00 cash recovery outweighs the uncertainty, additional expense, and likely duration of further litigation. The Settlement Class is "better off receiving compensation now as opposed to being compensated, if at all, several years down the line, after the matter is certified, tried, and all appeals are exhausted." *McNeely*, 2008 WL 4816510, at *13; *accord Childs v. Unified Life Ins. Co.*, 2011 WL 6016486, at* 13. Here, the Settlement represents a meaningful recovery for the Settlement Class without the risk or additional expense of further litigation. *See id.* These immediate benefits must be compared to the risk that the Settlement Class might recover nothing, and even considering the possibility of a contested class certification process, summary judgment, trial, and likely appeals, possibly years into the future. *See, e.g., In re Sprint Corp. ERISA Litig.*, 443 F. Supp. 2d 1249, 1261 (D. Kan. 2006).

While Class Counsel are confident in their ability to prove the claims asserted, they also recognize liability is far from certain and many potential obstacles to obtaining a final, favorable verdict exist. Even if Class Representative was able to establish liability at trial, Defendant would have vigorously argued the Settlement Class's damages are far less than the \$2,000,000.00 Gross Settlement Fund. See Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 16. Through the Settlement, the Settlement Class is guaranteed a cash payment without the attendant risks of further litigation. Moreover, Class Counsel are intimately familiar with the risks of proceeding with this Litigation because they have extensive experience prosecuting and defending oil and gas royalty payment litigation. See Section III(B)(i), supra; see also Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶¶ 30 & 48.

Class Counsel believes the value of the Settlement outweighs the risks of proceeding further with this Litigation.

When the risks and uncertainties of continuing this Litigation are compared to the immediate benefits of the Settlement, it is clear the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. Therefore, the third factor supports final approval of the Settlement.

iv. Class Representative and Defendant Agree that the Settlement is Fair, Reasonable, and Adequate.

The fact that Class Representative and Defendant believe the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate supports final approval. Class Counsel and Class Representative only agreed to settle this Litigation after considering the substantial benefits the Settlement Class will receive, the risks and uncertainties of continued litigation, and the desirability of proceeding under the terms of the Settlement Agreement. See Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶¶ 30 & 38; see also Ex. 1, Class Representative Declaration at ¶ 11.

Class Counsel's judgment as to the fairness of the Settlement supports final approval. "Counsels' judgment as to the fairness of the [settlement] agreement is entitled to considerable weight." *Childs*, 2011 WL 6016486, at *14 (citations omitted). Here, Class Counsel believes the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to Class Representative and the Settlement Class, and in their best interests. *See* Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 8.

As explained above, Class Counsel has extensive experience in complex class action litigation and oil and gas litigation in Oklahoma. *See* Section III(B)(i), *supra*. Both Class Counsel and Class Representative submit that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be approved, and Defendant agrees. Therefore, this last factor supports the Court's final approval of the Settlement.

In sum, all four factors considered by Oklahoma courts support final approval of the Settlement.

C. The Notice Method Used was the Best Practicable Under the Circumstances and Should be Approved.

The Court should approve the Notice given to the Settlement Class. Section 2023(E) requires that the Court shall direct notice "in a reasonable manner to all class members who would be bound by the proposal." 12 O.S. § 2023(E). As to the content of such notices, a settlement notice need only be "reasonably calculated, under all of the circumstances, to apprise [the] interested parties of the pendency of the [settlement proposed] and [to] afford them an opportunity to present their objections." *Mullane v. Cent. Hanover Bank & Trust Co.*, 339 U.S. 306, 314 (1950); *Tennille v. Western Union Co.*, 785 F.3d 422,436 (10th Cir. 2015) (same). "The hallmark of the notice inquiry is reasonableness." *Lucas v. Kmart Corp.*, 234 F.R.D. 688, 696 (D.C. Colo. 2006) (quoting *Sollenbarger v. Mountain States Tel. & Tel. Co.*, 121 F.R.D. 417, 436 (D.N.M. 1988)); *see, e.g., Bayhylle v. Jiffy Lube Int'l, Inc.*, 2006 OK CIV APP 130, ¶ 23, 146 P.3d 856, 861.4

As set forth more fully below, the Postcard Notice was mailed to all potential Class Members who had been identified through reasonable efforts using the pay data provided by Defendant and described in ¶ 3.2 of the Settlement Agreement. See Ex. 3, Settlement Administrator Declaration at ¶ 5. The Publication Notice will be published in two newspapers on December 19, 2024: (a) The Oklahoman, a paper of general circulation in Oklahoma; and (b) the Tulsa World, a

⁴ With regard to the due process requirements for notice to a class, the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 mirror the requirements of 12 O.S. § 2023(E). See, e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B), which requires that notice of a settlement be "the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members who can be identified through reasonable effort" and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1) which instructs courts to "direct notice in a reasonable manner to all class members who would be bound by the proposal." In terms of due process, a settlement notice need only be "reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." Fager v. CenturyLink Commc'ns., LLC, 854 F.3d 1167, 1171 (10th Cir. 2016) (citing Mullane v. Cent. Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 314 (1950)). "The Supreme Court has consistently endorsed notice by first-class mail" holding "a fully descriptive notice . . . sent first-class mail to each class member, with an explanation of the right to 'opt out,' satisfies due process." Id. at 1173.

paper of general circulation in Oklahoma. See id. at ¶ 7. And, the Long Notice was made available on the settlement website and directly mailed to any potential Class Member upon request. Id. at ¶ 8. The Notice campaign carried out by Class Counsel and its team is comparable to the highly successful notice campaigns completed in other oil and gas royalty cases approved by district courts in Oklahoma. See, e.g., Bank of America, et al. v. El Paso Natural Gas Co., et al., Case No. CJ-2004-45 (Washita County) (March 23, 2017 Order approving notice to class members by means of first-class mail and publication in newspapers); Rhea v. Apache Corp., Case No. CIV-14-00433-lli (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 503 at ¶6); Donald D. Miller Revocable Family Trust v. DCP Operating Co., LP, et al., Case No. CIV-18-00199-JH (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 99 at ¶6); White Family Minerals, LLC v. EOG Resources, Inc., Case No. CIV-19-00409-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 58 at ¶6); Reirdon v. XTO Energy Inc., Case No. CIV-16-87-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 122 at ¶6); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. XTO Energy Inc., Case No. CIV-16-29-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 229 at ¶8); Reirdon v. Cimarex Energy Co., Case No. CIV-16-113-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 102 at ¶6); McClintock v. Enterprise Crude Oil, LLC, Case No. CIV-16-136-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 119 at ¶6); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. BP America Production Co., Case No. CIV- 18-54-JFH-JFJ (N.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 177 at ¶7); Allen v. Apache Corp., Case No. CIV-22-00063- JAR (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 41 at ¶6); Kernen v. Casillas Operating, LLC, Case No. CIV-18-00107-JD (W.D. Okla.) (Dkt. 121 at ¶6); DDL Oil & Gas, LLC v. Diversified Production, LLC, CJ-2019-17, Blaine County, Oklahoma (Sept. 18, 2023 Order and Judgment).

In its Preliminary Approval Order, the Court preliminarily approved the form and manner of the Notice Documents disseminated by the Settlement Administrator, stating the Postcard Notice, Publication Notice, and Long Notice are the "best notice practicable under the circumstances; constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive

such notice; and fully satisfy the requirements of applicable laws, including due process and 12 O.S. § 2023." See Preliminary Approval Order at ¶ 8. The Court then directed the Parties and the Settlement Administrator to disseminate the Notice Documents in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order. Id. at ¶ 9.

Class Counsel conducted an extensive campaign to distribute the Notice to the Class. See Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶¶ 17-21. This campaign was necessary because there are 6,377 potential Class Members. Id. at ¶ 18; see also Ex. 3, Settlement Administrator Declaration at ¶ 4. To send Notice to the Settlement Class, the Parties needed the name and address of each Class Member. See Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 19. Class Counsel, in conjunction with the Settlement Administrator, were able to distribute the Postcard Notice through the direct notice campaign to a high percentage of Class Members in a commercially reasonable manner. See Ex. 3, Settlement Administrator Declaration at ¶ 4.

On December 16, 2024, Settlement Administrator is to mail the Postcard Notice via USPS first-class mail or FedEx International Priority to 6,376 potential Class Members from the initial Class Member data with a mailing address. See Ex. 3, Settlement Administrator Declaration at ¶ 5; see also Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 20. In addition, to ensure that as close to 100% of the Class as possible received Notice, the Court-approved Publication Notice will be published on December 19, 2024, in the Oklahoman and the Tulsa World. See Ex. 3, Settlement Administrator Declaration at ¶ 7. Lastly, the Notice Documents, along with other documents germane to the Settlement, will be posted on the website created for and dedicated to this Litigation, https://www.wakedevonsettlement.com, no later than December 16, 2024. See id. at ¶ 8. This website is maintained by the Settlement Administrator, where information regarding the Settlement can be found. Id.

The Notice Documents will fully inform Class Members about the Litigation, the Settlement, and the facts needed to make informed decisions about their rights. *See* Preliminary Approval Order at ¶¶ 8-9 and 12 O.S. § 2023(C)(4). The Notice Documents also provided Class Members with information where Class Members may obtain further information regarding the Settlement contained in the Long Notice, as well as their rights and options as they relate to the Settlement. *See generally* Ex. 3, Settlement Administrator Declaration.

In sum, the form, manner, and content of the Postcard Notice, Publication Notice, and Long Notice were the best practicable notice, and their contents were reasonably calculated to, and did, apprise Class Members of the pendency and nature of the Settlement and afford them an opportunity to opt out or object. Therefore, the Court should grant final approval of the Notice given to the Settlement Class herein.

D. The Initial Plan of Allocation Should be Approved.

The Court should also approve the proposed Initial Plan of Allocation. Like the settlement itself, a plan of allocation must also be approved as fair and reasonable. See In re Sprint Corp. ERISA Litig., 443 F. Supp. 2d 1249, 1262 (D. Kan. 2006) ("In evaluating a plan of allocation, the court must ensure that the distribution of funds is fair and reasonable.") (citing In re Global Crossing Sec. & ERISA Litig., 225 F.R.D. 436, 462 (S.D.N.Y. 2004)); see also In re EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Mktg., Sales Pracs. & Antitrust Litig., No. 17-MD-2785-DDC-TJJ, 2022 WL 2663873, at *8 (D. Kan. July 11, 2022) (approving plan of allocation where "competent and experienced class counsel" formulated and provided a "reasonable, rational basis" for plan of allocation). Where, as here, a plan of allocation is formulated by competent and experienced class counsel, the plan need only have a reasonable, rational basis. Id. As a general rule, a plan of

allocation that reimburses class members based on the type and extent of their injuries is reasonable. See In re Sprint Corp., supra.

Here, Class Counsel, together with their expert, have formulated an Initial Plan of Allocation in which Class Members will be reimbursed proportionately in relation to their individual claim for underpayments of royalties for residue gas produced from Oklahoma Wells during the production month of February 2021 and sold by Defendant to Devon Gas Services, L.P. See Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 32; see also generally Ex. 4, Keeney Affidavit. Importantly, this is not a claims-made settlement, nor is it a settlement where a Class Member must take further action to participate. See Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration ¶ 7. Instead, every member of the Settlement Class who is allocated a portion of the Net Settlement Fund, and who does not opt out of the Settlement and is not subject to the de minim is provisions of the Settlement, will receive a check. Id.

Specifically, the Net Settlement Fund will be allocated to individual Participating Class Member's proportionate share of the total MMBTUs of residue gas produced from Oklahoma Wells that Defendant sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period and the amount of interest or returns that have accrued on the Participating Class Member's proportionate share of the Net Settlement Fund during the time such share was held in the Escrow Account. See Ex. 4, Keeney Affidavit at ¶ 7; see also Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 32; Settlement Agreement at § 6.

A check for each Participating Class Member's allocation of the Net Settlement Fund will then be mailed to each respective Participating Class Member's last known mailing address, using the payment history data produced under ¶ 3.2 of the Settlement Agreement (or the most current available address information). Returned or stale-dated Distribution Checks shall be reissued as

necessary to ensure delivery to the appropriate Participating Class Members using commercially reasonable methods subject to review and approval by the Court. *See* Initial Plan of Allocation Order at ¶ 8; *see also* Ex. 2, Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 33. No distributions will be made to Participating Class Members who would otherwise receive a distribution of less than \$5.00. Settlement Agreement at ¶ 6.3. This *de minimis* threshold is set in order to preserve the overall Net Settlement Fund from the costs of claims that are likely to exceed the value of those claims. *Id.*

Because the Initial Plan of Allocation was formulated by competent and experienced Class Counsel, utilizes a reasonable methodology frequently used for settlement allocations in royalty class actions and that has been approved by both state and federal courts, the Court should approve the Initial Plan of Allocation as fair, adequate, and reasonable, and in the best interest of the Class.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Class Representative and Class Counsel respectfully request that the Court enter an order granting: (1) final approval of the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class; (2) final approval of the Notice to Class Members; and (3) approval of the Initial Plan of Allocation.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 17, 2024.

By: Pravis P. Brown, OBA #20636

J. Matt Hill, OBA #33532

Scott R. Verplank, Jr., OBA #34041

Mahaffey & Gore, P.C.

300 N.E. 1st Street

Oklahoma City, OK 73104-4004

Telephone: (405) 236-0478 Facsimile: (405) 236-1840

tbrown@mahaffeygore.com

mhill@mahaffeygore.com

sverplank@mahaffeygore.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Class Members

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 17, 2024, a copy of the forgoing was mailed to the following counsel:

Patrick L. Stein, OBA #30737

MCAFEE & TAFT, a professional corporation 8th Floor, Two Leadership Square 211 North Robinson
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Telephone: (405) 235-9621

Facsimile: (405) 235-9021

Facsimile: (405) 235-0439

patrick.stein@mcafeetaft.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT DEVON

ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY, LP

J. Matt Hill

Scott R. Verplank, Jr.

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GARVIN COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Wake Energy, LLC, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. CJ-2024-267
Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.,)
Defendant.)

DECLARATION OF COY REED ON BEHALF OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVE, WAKE ENERGY, LLC

- I, Coy Reed, being of lawful age and first duly sworn upon my oath state as follows:
- 1. I am the Manager of the named Plaintiff and Class Representative, Wake Energy, LLC ("Class Representative"), in the above-referenced class action ("Litigation"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set out in this Declaration based upon my personal involvement in this Litigation and on information provided to me by Plaintiff's Counsel.¹
- 2. I respectfully submit this Declaration in support of final approval of the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement and in support of Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses. I am also submitting this Declaration in support of Class Representative's application for a case contribution award.

¹ All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning given to them in the September 30, 2024 Settlement Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Certify the Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes, Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Approving Form and Manner of Notice and Set Date for Final Approval Hearing that was filed on October 17, 2024.

- 3. By way of background, I have over 18 years of experience in the oil and gas industry. I have conducted business as a royalty owner, overriding royalty owner, working interest owner, as well as a lessor and lessee.
- 4. By submitting this Declaration, I neither intend to nor do I waive any protections available to me including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege, or any other privileges I may have.
- 5. After my examination of the underlying facts, I decided to retain Plaintiff's Counsel to initiate and prosecute this Litigation. As part of that decision, Plaintiff's Counsel and I discussed my commitment to fulfill the responsibilities of a named Plaintiff and proposed Class Representative.
- 6. I retained Plaintiff's Counsel because I believed the firm possesses the requisite expertise in complex litigation and have sufficient legal and financial resources to vigorously prosecute this Litigation on my behalf and on behalf of all Class Members against Defendant, Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. ("Defendant"), a well-funded and well-defended corporation. Based on my evaluation of this complex Litigation, the risks associated with the Litigation, the potentially significant expenses Plaintiff's Counsel could be required to incur, and the high level of representation to be provided by Plaintiff's Counsel, we agreed that Plaintiff's Counsel would represent me on a contingency fee basis, not to exceed 40%. At the time this agreement was reached, I understood a 40% contingency fee was at or below the market rate. Thus, Plaintiff's Counsel and I executed a written agreement that Plaintiff's Counsel could seek a fee of 40% of any gross recovery.
- 7. I have been involved in this Litigation since it was initiated on April 16, 2021. By participating in this Litigation, I hoped to obtain a monetary recovery and injunctive relief for

myself and other royalty owners in the Class who were underpaid for royalties on residue gas that was produced from Oklahoma Wells and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period.

- 8. I have, at all times, been informed, involved, and active in the Litigation. Prior to initiating Litigation, I worked with Plaintiff's Counsel by participating in meetings, reviewing files, locating information related to my interest, reviewing the draft Petition, and engaging in multiple communications regarding each of these activities. Since the filing of the Petition, I have remained in constant communication with Plaintiff's Counsel; identified materials and information related to the claims and defenses in this case; reviewed pleadings, briefs, and other materials; participated in two (2) private mediations that led to the settlement of this matter; and reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement. I also actively monitored the work of Plaintiff's Counsel in this case and participated in all significant decisions, including the decision to enter into the Settlement. I conferred regularly with Plaintiff's Counsel throughout the Litigation and I was advised of all significant matters.
- 9. This action was litigated for nearly four (4) years, including discovery and document production; accounting review and analysis; extensive consultation with experts; settlement negotiations; and damages modeling.
- 10. I was involved in the settlement negotiations that took place during mediations conducted on April 6, 2023 and May 30, 2024, as well as the subsequent settlement discussions that ensued over the next several weeks. I participated in the drafting of settlement documentation over the next several months. Throughout the negotiation process, Plaintiff's Counsel informed me of developments that occurred and sought and obtained approval to negotiate on behalf of the Class and myself. Plaintiff's Counsel acted with my approval in all respects. Following the mediations that took place in April 2023 and May 2024, I continued to participate in the negotiation

process to finalize the Settlement Agreement and I discussed the Settlement Agreement with Plaintiff's Counsel prior to approving. Prior to the parties reaching an agreement to settle this matter, Plaintiff's Counsel sought and obtained approval from me. I have continued to remain involved in post-settlement negotiations and review of documents and have reviewed and monitored the various settlement motions that have been (and will be) filed.

- 11. I believe the negotiation process resulted in an excellent settlement and a significant benefit to the Class, which provides a cash payment of \$2,000,000.00.
- 12. Through my involvement as the named Plaintiff in this Litigation, as well as my frequent discussions with Plaintiff's Counsel, I believe I understand the strengths and weaknesses of the Class's claims against Defendant. I am aware of the hurdles the Class would be required to overcome to prove liability and damages.
- 13. My understanding of the facts as they pertain to this Litigation, as well as my extensive interaction with Plaintiff's Counsel, enables me to recommend approval of the Settlement. The Settlement is a substantial recovery for the Class under circumstances where it was possible that no recovery at all would be obtained. I fully support this Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class.
- 14. I am very pleased with the efforts of Plaintiff's Counsel who at all times conducted themselves with professionalism and diligence while effectively representing the interests of the Class and Plaintiff.
- 15. Plaintiff's Counsel is applying for an award of attorneys' fees out of the Gross Settlement Fund, as well as reimbursement of litigation expenses reasonably and necessarily incurred in successfully prosecuting the claims in this Litigation. As a result of Plaintiff's Counsel's extensive, efficient, and excellent work, I have approved Plaintiff's Counsel's

application for a fee award of 40% of the \$2,000,000.00 cash payment. I have reviewed the expenses for which Plaintiff's Counsel seeks reimbursement. I approve of Plaintiff's Counsel's request for reimbursement of reasonable costs and expenses. I understand that if the award is granted, attorneys' fees plus interest and reimbursed expenses will be paid to Plaintiff's Counsel out of the Gross Settlement Fund.

- agreement with Plaintiff's Counsel, and I have been pleased with the manner in which Plaintiff's Counsel conducted the Litigation and with the results achieved. Further, I support Plaintiff's Counsel's request for reimbursement of litigation expenses because, based on the information provided to me and my experience working with Plaintiff's Counsel to date, I believe Plaintiff's Counsel have prosecuted this Litigation in an efficient manner in light of its complexities and have incurred reasonable and necessary expenses.
- 17. While Plaintiff will recover only my pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund, Plaintiff, as Class Representative, intends to seek a case contribution award for its representation of the Class, which will not exceed \$40,000.00.
- 18. The amount of the case contribution award is based on the amount of time that I dedicated to the Litigation, as well as a reasonable estimate of the time I anticipate will be dedicated to the Litigation in the future. I believe that such an award is justified in this case.
- 19. As set forth below, I believe Plaintiff actively and effectively fulfilled its obligations as a representative of the Class, complying with all demands placed on it during the prosecution and settlement of this Litigation. Specifically, these activities on behalf of the Class included: reviewing emails, drafting pleadings, briefs, and other documents from Plaintiff's Counsel; collecting documents related to claims and defenses asserted in the Litigation;

communications and meetings with Plaintiff's Counsel; and maintaining a continuous oversight and involvement in the Litigation, settlement, and post-settlement process, including the review of settlement documents, preliminary approval documents, and final approval documents. In total, to date, I have dedicated over 100 hours to working on this Litigation for the Class. It also anticipated additional time will be spent working on this case in the future, including participating in the final fairness hearing, and, if the Court approves the settlement, continued assistance to the Court and Plaintiff's Counsel in administrating the settlement. If there is an appeal in this case, Plaintiff intends to remain involved throughout the proceedings and to continue its work as Class Representative.

20. I am not aware of any conflicts of interest between Plaintiff and any members of the Settlement Class. Plaintiff was not promised any recovery or made any guarantees prior to filing this Litigation, nor at any time during the Litigation. Plaintiff was never told, nor has there ever been any discussion, that Plaintiff would obtain a case contribution award if this case was resolved by settlement or judgment, or that the amount of any award Plaintiff may ask for or receive would be based upon, tied to, or in any way related to the ultimate outcome of this Litigation, or that any incentive award amount or request would be based upon, tied to, or in any way related to any request for attorneys' fees. Indeed, I support the Settlement even if Plaintiff were to receive no case contribution award, and Plaintiff would continue to act in its capacity as Class Representative. Based on these efforts and the benefits obtained for the Class, I submit that a case contribution award is fair and reasonable as compensation for the time and expense Plaintiff incurred in order to obtain this settlement on behalf of the Class.

I state under penalty of perjury under the laws of Oklahoma that the foregoing is true and correct.

FURTHER AFFIANT SA YETH NOT.

Coy Reed, as Manager of Wake Energy, LLC

The foregoing instrument was subscribed and sworn to and acknowledged before me this 16th day of December 2024, by Coy Reed in his capacity as Manager of Wake Energy, LLC.

My Commission Expires: $\omega/20/27$

My Commission Number:

23008262

08262 6/20/27

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GARVIN COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Wake Energy, LLC, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. CJ-2024-267
Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.,)
Defendant.)

DECLARATION OF TRAVIS P. BROWN ON BEHALF OF CLASS COUNSEL, MAHAFFEY & GORE, P.C.

I, Travis P. Brown, being of lawful age and first duly sworn upon my oath states as follows:

- 1. I am a partner at Mahaffey & Gore, P.C. ("Class Counsel"), the Class Counsel appointed in the above-referenced class action ("Litigation"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set out in this Declaration based upon my personal involvement in this Litigation.¹
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Class Representative's Motion for Final Approval ("Final Approval Motion"), Class Counsel's Motion for Approval of Attorneys' Fees ("Attorney Fee Motion"), Class Counsel's Motion for Approval of Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses ("Expense Motion"), and Class Representative's Motion for Approval of Case Contribution Award (collectively, the "Motions").
- 3. The purpose of this Declaration is to: (a) submit and identify for the Court true and correct copies of certain documents and evidence referenced in the Class Representative's

¹ All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning given to them in the September 30, 2024 Settlement Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Certify the Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes, Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Approving Form and Manner of Notice and Set Date for Final Approval Hearing that was filed on October 17, 2024.

Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Final Approval ("Final Approval Memorandum"); and (b) describe the history of the litigation efforts in this case, as referenced in the Final Approval Memorandum.

- 4. Attached as Exhibit 1 to Plaintiff's October 17, 2024 Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Certify the Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes, Preliminarily Approve Class Action Settlement, Approve Form and Manner of Notice, and Set Date for Final Approval Hearing, is a true and correct copy of the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement"). The Settlement Agreement contains numerous subparts, such as the proposed form of the Notice mailed to the Class (Exhibit 3) and the Publication Notice that was published in various newspapers (Exhibit 4).
- 5. The statements made herein are made based upon my personal knowledge and information available to me to the best of my recollection, and while I do not believe there are any errors, omissions, incomplete or incorrect statements, to the extent any occur, they are wholly accidental and unintentional.

SUMMARY OF BENEFIT PROVIDED TO THE CLASS

6. Class Representative's and Class Counsel's efforts have resulted in a Settlement which requires Defendant to pay \$2,000,000.00 (the "Gross Settlement Fund") to the Settlement Class for past claims related to underpayment of royalties on residue gas that was produced from Oklahoma Wells and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period. The Gross Settlement Fund is a significant recovery for Class Members. The \$2,000,000.00 Gross Settlement Fund obtained in this case yields a gross recovery of approximately 99.95% of the Settlement Class's alleged principal amount of the underpayment claim asserted by the Class based on certain measures of damages.

- 7. Importantly, this is not a claims-made settlement. That means that no Class Member is required to take any further action to participate in the Settlement. In many cases, the absent class members must file a claim form that must then be approved before payment can be issued. Although claims-made settlements are entirely appropriate, and are often necessary in many types of cases, Class Counsel were able to negotiate a settlement in which payment is automatic, with no further effort required by the absent Class Members.
- 8. Class Counsel believe the terms and conditions of the settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the Class's best interests.

SUMMARY OF LITIGATION

- 9. Plaintiff originally filed a class action on April 16, 2021 with the filing of a Complaint (the "Complaint") against Defendant in the Western District of Oklahoma styled, *Wake Energy, LLC, et al. v. Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.*, Case No. CIV-21-352-PRW.
- 10. The Complaint alleged, *inter alia*, that Defendant failed to properly pay royalty revenues to Plaintiff and Class Members who own interests in the Oklahoma Wells. *See* Complaint at ¶ 10. Particularly, the Complaint alleged that Defendant and its affiliates failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members revenues on the actual price received by Defendant for the Oklahoma Wells and that Defendant entered into various contractual arrangements designed to conceal the actual price Defendant received for the sale of hydrocarbons from the Oklahoma Wells in order to retain the benefit of the higher natural gas prices to the detriment of Plaintiff and Class Members. *See* Complaint at ¶¶ 10-11. Defendant denies the material allegations of the Complaint.
- 11. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel prosecuted the Litigation for over three (3) years, which included Plaintiff's Counsel engaging in discovery related to the merits and class certification. Plaintiff's and Plaintiff's Counsel's litigation efforts also included conducting research, accounting

review and analysis, consultation by and with experts, settlement negotiations among counsel, damage modeling, and other investigation, research, and analysis. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel attest that the information, documents and materials obtained, which were the result of extensive preparation, document review, legal research, and expert analysis on class certification, liability, and damages, substantially contributed to the Settlement now before the Court.

- 12. The Parties began settlement negotiations through private mediation. The first mediation occurred on April 6, 2023, and was overseen by former Judge Bill Hetherington, but the case did not settle. The Parties met for a second mediation, which occurred on May 30, 2024, that was overseen by Paul D. Trimble, but the case did not settle. After the second mediation, the Parties continued to negotiate and finally reached an agreement in principle. The Parties then negotiated and drafted the terms of a formal settlement agreement, which is documented in the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. The Settlement would not have been possible without the investigation, discovery, document review, and proceeds payment analysis conducted by Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Counsel and the experts.
- 14. Had the Parties not settled this Litigation, the Court or a jury would ultimately be required to decide numerous factual and legal issues on which Plaintiff and Defendant disagree, placing the ultimate outcome of this Litigation in question. To this day, Defendant deny they committed any acts or omissions giving rise to any liability or violation of law. See Settlement Agreement at ¶ 11.1. Indeed, Defendant has always maintained that its calculation of royalty payments that were paid by Defendant for residue gas produced by Oklahoma Wells and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the production month of February 2021, which forms the basis of Class Representative's and the Settlement Class's claims, complies with Oklahoma law. Thus,

Defendant entered this Settlement solely to eliminate the burden, expense, and distraction of further litigation. See id.

- 15. Simply put, serious questions of law and fact are still in dispute. However, the meaningful Settlement requiring Defendant to pay \$2,000,000.00 in cash renders the resolution of these questions unnecessary and provides a guaranteed recovery in the face of uncertainty. The complexity, uncertainty, expense, and likely duration of further litigation and appeals also support approval of the proposed Settlement. Moreover, the immediate Settlement value of the \$2,000,000.00 payment must be compared to the risk that the Settlement Class may recover nothing in the future.
- 16. Although Plaintiff's Counsel is confident in the Class Representative's ability to achieve certification of the Class and succeed at trial, class certification and liability are never certain, and the potential obstacles to obtaining a final, favorable verdict are daunting. In addition, even assuming Plaintiff succeeded in establishing liability at trial, the amount of damages would be hotly disputed, and Defendant would likely argue the Settlement Class is entitled to far less than the \$2,000,000.00 provided by the Settlement.

THE NOTICE CAMPAIGN

of Class Action Settlement, Certifying the Class for Settlement Purposes, Approving Form and Manner of Notice, and Setting Date for Final Fairness Hearing (the "Preliminary Approval Order"). The Court specifically granted preliminary approval of the form and manner of the Postcard Notice, Publication Notice, and Long Notice. *See* Preliminary Approval Order at ¶ 9 These Notices provide Class Members with all of the information needed to fully understand the terms of the Settlement and their rights thereunder. The Court stated in the Preliminary Approval

Order that these Notices "are the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive such notice, and fully satisfy the requirements of applicable laws, including due process and 12 O.S. § 2023." *Id.* at ¶ 9.

- 18. Since the Court issued its Preliminary Approval Order, Class Counsel have directed an extensive effort to send Notice to as many Class Members as possible. *See* Declaration of Graham D. Penny, on Behalf of Settlement Administrator, JND Legal Administration, attached as Exhibit 3 to Final Approval Memorandum. This campaign was necessary because there are 6,377 potential Class Members. *Id.* at ¶ 4.
- 19. To send Notice to the Settlement Class, the name and address of Class Members are needed. In addition, to properly distribute the Net Settlement Fund, each Class Member's tax identification number is needed, as well as information regarding their prior proceeds payments from Defendants. Defendants maintained and provided the necessary payment history data as part of the Settlement Agreement. *See* Settlement Agreement at ¶ 3.2.
- 20. As a result of the Parties' efforts, the Settlement Administrator mailed a total of 6,376 Postcard Notices on December 16, 2024. See Settlement Administrator Declaration at ¶ 5. For any Class Member's Notice that is returned, the Settlement Administrator will use all reasonable secondary efforts to deliver the Notice to each such Class Member, as it has thus far done. Id. at ¶ 6. This includes re-mailing any such notices to any forwarding address provided or to anyone for whom the Settlement Administrator can obtain an updated address. Id. Finally, the Publication Notice will be published in two (2) papers of general circulation on December 19, 2024: the Oklahoman, and the Tulsa World. Id. at ¶ 7.
- 21. Also, the Long Notice along with other documents germane to the Settlement, will be posted on the website created for and dedicated to this Litigation no later than December 16,

- 2024. See id. at ¶ 8; see also https://www.wakedevonsettlement.com. This website will be maintained by the Settlement Administrator as a site where information regarding the Settlement can be found. Id. In addition, a toll-free telephone number was established and will be live no later than December 16, 2024, with an interactive voice recording (IVR) that Class Members can use to obtain more information about the Settlement. Id. at ¶ 10.
- 22. Class Counsel and their team, in conjunction with the Settlement Administrator, carried out the approved manner of disseminating the Notices and complied with all deadlines in the Preliminary Approval Order by executing the Notice campaign described above. Moreover, Class Counsel and the Settlement Administrator have and will respond to any inquiries received from Class Members regarding the Notice and/or Settlement Agreement.
- 23. The Notice campaign that is currently being carried out by Class Counsel and its team is comparable to the highly successful notice campaigns completed in other oil and gas royalty cases approved by Oklahoma state and federal courts. See, e.g., Bank of America, et al. v. El Paso Natural Gas Co., et al., Case No. CJ-2004-45 (Washita County) (March 23, 2017 Order approving notice to class members by means of first class mail and publication in newspapers); Rhea v. Apache Corp., Case No. CIV- 14-00433-JH (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 503 at ¶6); Donald D. Miller Revocable Family Trust v. DCP Operating Co., LP, et al., Case No. CIV-18-00199-JH (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 99 at ¶6); White Family Minerals, LLC v. EOG Resources, Inc., Case No. CIV-19-00409-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 58 at ¶6); Reirdon v. XIO Energy Inc., Case No. CIV-16-87-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 122 at ¶6); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. XIO Energy Inc., Case No. CIV-16-29-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 229 at ¶8); Reirdon v. Cimarex Energy Co., Case No. CIV-16-113-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 102 at ¶6); McClintock v. Enterprise Crude Oil, LLC, Case No. CIV-16-136-KEW (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 119 at ¶6); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. BP

America Production Co., Case No. CIV- 18-54-JFH-JFJ (N.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 177 at ¶ 7); Allen v. Apache C01p., Case No. CIV-22-00063- JAR (E.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 41 at ¶ 6); Kernen v. Casillas Operating, LLC, Case No. CIV-18- 00107-JD (W.D. Okla.) (Dkt. 121 at ¶ 6); DDL Oil & Gas, LLC v. Diversified Production, LLC, CJ-2019-17, Blaine County, Oklahoma (Sept. 18, 2023) Order and Judgment).

- 24. Because of the extensive Notice campaign described above, Class Counsel anticipates the Settlement Administrator will begin mailing distribution checks to Class Members shortly after the Court's orders approving the Settlement and Plan of Allocation become final. Of course, some checks will be returned or will not be cashed for a variety of reasons, and immediate follow-up will be carried out in each such instance.
- 25. I believe this notice campaign provided the most reasonable notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort and provided the information required by 12 O.S. § 2023(C)(4).

THE OVERWHELMINGLY POSITIVE REACTION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS TO THE SETTLEMENT

- 26. Since Notice of the Settlement was disseminated, and at the time this Declaration was executed, we received no requests for exclusion from Class Members. Because this Declaration is required to be filed before the final deadline for filing requests for exclusion, Class Representative and/or Class Counsel will provide the Court with an update regarding any additional requests for exclusion filed after the Court-imposed deadline.
- 27. To date, there have been no objections filed by a Class Member to the Settlement. Because this Declaration is required to be filed before the final deadline for filing objections, Class Representative and/or Class Counsel will provide the Court with an update regarding any objections filed after the Court imposed deadline.

28. Class Representative have filed a declaration with the Court in support of the Settlement. See Class Representative Declaration at ¶ 2. In their Declarations, Class Representative states, "I believe the negotiation process resulted in an excellent settlement and a significant benefit to the Class" See id. Thus, Class Representative supports the Settlement and believe it should be finally approved. See id. at ¶ 11.

CLASS COUNSEL ENDORSES THE SETTLEMENT

29. An important factor in approving a proposed settlement is the opinion of experienced Class Counsel. Here, Class Counsel fully support and endorse the \$2,000,000.00 cash Settlement. Class Counsel believe the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be approved. More than anyone, Class Counsel are aware of the risks and uncertainties that accompany proceeding to trial in this Litigation. The Settlement avoids the risk of receiving no recovery after long, difficult litigation and provides the Settlement Class with a substantial recovery. The possibility of either no recovery at all or a limited recovery was very real, especially in light of Defendant's defenses to the Settlement Class's claims that would have to be overcome if the Litigation continued to trial. Through the \$2,000,000.00 cash Settlement, Class Counsel and Class Representative obtained a significant financial benefit for the Class. Therefore, Class Counsel fully support the Settlement.

PLAN OF ALLOCATION

30. Upon final approval of the Settlement, the Settlement Administrator will distribute the Net Settlement Fund in accordance with a Court-approved Plan of Allocation.² Class Representative's accounting expert, George N. Keeney, III opines, and Class Counsel submit, that

² The Proposed Initial Plan of Allocation (the "Plan of Allocation") is attached to the Final Approval Motion as Exhibit 2.

the proposed Initial Plan of Allocation is fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interest of the Class. See Ex. Keeney Affidavit at ¶ 7.

- 31. Under the Initial Plan of Allocation, the Net Settlement Fund (the portion of the Gross Settlement Fund remaining after deduction of fees and expenses allowed by the Court) will be allocated based on the amount each Class Member's proportionate share of the total MMBTUs of residue gas produced from Oklahoma Wells that Defendant sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period and the amount of interest or returns that have accrued on the Class Member's proportionate share of the Net Settlement Fund during the time such share was held in the Escrow Account. *See* Initial Plan of Allocation Order at ¶ 3. Thereafter, Class Representative and Class Counsel, with the aid of the Settlement Administrator and the Court's approval, will distribute the Net Settlement Fund for each Class Member pursuant to the Court-approved Final Plan of Allocation.
- 32. Returned or stale-dated Distribution Checks will be reissued as necessary to ensure delivery to the appropriate Class Members using commercially reasonable methods subject to review and approval by the Court. *See* Initial Plan of Allocation Order at ¶ 8. The Settlement Administrator will perform all of these tasks as promptly as possible after the Court approves the Initial Plan of Allocation.
- 33. In sum, Class Counsel believe the proposed Initial Plan of Allocation is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class. In addition, oil and gas accounting expert, George N. Keeney, III, opines in his Affidavit: "this Allocation Methodology is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and in the best interest of the Class." *See* Keeney Affidavit at ¶ 7.

THE SETTLEMENT IS FAIR, ADEQUATE, AND REASONABLE

- 34. Oklahoma Courts have identified four factors that must be considered in determining whether to approve a settlement of a class action under 12 0. S. §2023:
 - (1) whether the proposed settlement was fairly and honestly negotiated;
 - (2) whether serious questions of law and fact exist, placing the ultimate outcome of the litigation in doubt;
 - (3) whether the value of an immediate recovery outweighs the mere possibility of future relief after protracted and expensive litigation; and
 - (4) the judgment of the parties that the settlement is fair and reasonable.

Velma-Alma Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 15, 2007 OK CIV APP 42, ¶ 18, 162 P.3d 238, 243.

- 35. All four factors undoubtedly confirm the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and should be approved. First, I can attest that we as Class Counsel, and Class Representative, and Defendant, engaged in extensive, arm's-length and hard-fought negotiations regarding the Settlement Agreement.
- 36. Second, Class Counsel and Class Representative acknowledge the difficult and complex questions of law and fact that exist in this case. *See* Class Representative Declaration at ¶ 12. Defendant has consistently denied liability in this case and has opposed class certification.
- 37. Third, Class Counsel and Class Representative have achieved an outstanding immediate recovery for the Settlement Class under the circumstances. Thus, I believe this is an outstanding immediate recovery for the Settlement Class that far outweighs the possibility of additional future relief when weighed against the risk of protracted and expensive litigation that could yield Class Members nothing.
- 38. Fourth, the Parties support the Settlement and believe it is fair and reasonable and should be approved. *See* Settlement Agreement at p. 2 *see also* Class Representative Declaration at ¶ 13.
 - 39. Accordingly, all four factors support approval of the Settlement Agreement.

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

- 40. Class Counsel's Fee Request is governed by Oklahoma's class action attorney fee statute found at 12 O.S. § 2023(G). See Strack v. Continental Res., Inc., 2021 OK 21, 507 P.3d 609. Class Counsel are seeking an award of Attorneys' Fees of \$800,000.00 to be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund (the "Fee Request"). Class Counsel's Fee Request should be granted because it is supported by sufficient evidence, the particular facts of this case, the multi-factor analysis required by 12 O.S. § 2023(0), and any lodestar crosscheck the Court may wish to perform. Strack, 507 P.3d at 614-19.
- The reasonableness of attorney's fees "depends on the facts and circumstances of 41. each case." Strack, 507 P.3d at 614. "Historically, Oklahoma courts have used two primary methods for calculating attorney's fees: the lodestar method and the percentage method, e.g., a contingency fee arrangement." Id. at ¶ 13. "Oklahoma's class action attorney fee statute gives courts flexibility and discretion in calculating fee awards under the lodestar method or the percentage-of-common-fund method (percentage method)." Id. at ¶ 2. However, under either method, Oklahoma law mandates that the court analyze thirteen (13) factors: (1) time and labor required, (2) the novelty and difficulty of the questions presented by the litigation, (3) the skill required to perform the legal service properly, (4) the preclusion of other employment by the attorney due to acceptance of the case, (5) the customary fee, (6) whether the fee is fixed or contingent, (7) time limitations imposed by the client or the circumstances, (8) the amount in controversy and the results obtained, (9) the experience, reputation, and ability of the attorney, (10) whether or not the case is an undesirable case, (11) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client, (12) awards in similar causes, and (13) the risk of recovery in the litigation. See 12 O.S. § 2023(G)(4)(e).

42. As discussed more fully in the Memorandum in Support of Class Counsel's Motion for Approval of Attorneys' Fees ("Fee Memorandum"), an analysis of these factors show that Class Counsel's Fee Request is fair and reasonable and should be approved. Class Representative filed this Litigation nearly four (4) years ago and, since that time, Class Counsel have dedicated 1,886.7³ hours of attorney and professional time to this Litigation and reasonably anticipate spending approximately 105 more hours preparing for the Final Fairness Hearing and administration of the settlement fund. The claims involve difficult and highly contested issues of Oklahoma oil and gas law that are currently being litigated in multiple forums. This Litigation called for Class Counsel's considerable skill and experience in oil and gas and complex class action litigation to bring it to such a successful conclusion. This case has required significant time, manpower, and resources from Class Counsel over a significant period of time, and Class Counsel have also spent substantial time and effort in negotiating and preparing the necessary paperwork related to the Settlement. Class Counsel and Class Representatives negotiated and agreed to prosecute this case based on a 40% contingent fee, which represents the market rate and is in the range of the customary fee in oil and gas class actions in Oklahoma state courts. To that end, Class Counsel undertook this Litigation assuming a substantial risk that the Litigation would yield no recovery and leave them uncompensated. The Gross Settlement Fund of \$2,000,000.00 represents a significant recovery for the Class and bestows a substantial economic benefit under the circumstances presented here. Given the foregoing risk and significant time investment, this Litigation clearly fits the "undesirable" test. Lastly, Class Representative has been and remains very active in this Litigation and has worked with Class Counsel for nearly four years to advance this case on behalf of Class Representative and members of the Class.

³ All time calculations contained herein are through November 30, 2024.

- 43. In addition, as stated more fully in the Fee Memorandum, a lodestar cross-check supports the fee request. *See Strack*, 507 P.3d at 614. When conducting a cross-check to assess the reasonableness of the lodestar compared to the percentage requested, the court should look at the same statutory factors discussed in detail above. *Id.* at 616. Class Counsel incorporate that analysis by reference here. That analysis demonstrates that the lodestar cross-check supports the percentage fee based on the facts and circumstances of this case.
- 44. The requested fee is also in line with the amount approved by Oklahoma state and federal courts in several other oil and gas class action settlements. For example, in Chieftain Royalty Co. v. BP America Production Co., No. CIV-18-54-JFH-JFJ (N.D. Okla. March 2, 2022) (Dkt. No. 180), the Northern District of Oklahoma awarded a \$6 million fee which, exactly like this case, represented 40% of the cash portion of the \$15 million settlement. In Reirdon v. XTO Energy Inc., the Eastern District approved an \$8 million fee, which represented 40% of the cash portion of that settlement. See Dkt. No. 124; see also Kernen v. Casillas Operating, LLC, No. CIV-18-00107-JD (W.D. Okla. Jan. 3, 2023) (Dkt. No. 125) (40%); Allen v. Apache C01p., No. 6:22cv-00063-JAR (E.D. Okla. Nov. 16, 2022) (Dkt. No. 37) (40%); Hay Creek Royalties, LLC v. Roan Resources LLC, Case No. 19-CV-177-CVE-JFJ (N.D. Okla. April 28, 2021) (Dkt. No. 74) (40%); Reirdon v. Cimarex Energy Co., CIV-16-113-KEW (E.D. Okla. Dec. 18, 2018) (Dkt. No. 105) (40%); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. XTO Energy Inc., No. CIV-11-29-KEW (E.D. Okla. Mar. 27, 2018) (Dkt. No. 231) (40%); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. Marathon, CIV-17-334-SPS (E.D. Okla. March 8, 2019) (Dkt. No. 120) (40%); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. Newfield, CIV-17-336-KEW (E.D. Okla. March 3, 2020) (Dkt. No. 71) (40%); and McClintock v. Enterprise Crude Oil LLC, CIV-16-136-KEW (E.D. Okla. March 26, 2021) (Dkt. No. 120) (40%); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. SM Energy Co., No. 18-cv-1225-J (W.D. Okla.) (Dkt. Nos. 102-103). Additionally, the requested fee is consistent

with the rate approved as reasonable by other Oklahoma federal courts in oil and gas royalty class actions. See, e.g., Chieftain Royalty Co. v. Laredo Petroleum, Inc., No. CIV-12-1319 (W.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 52 at ¶ 8) ("Class Counsel is hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of forty percent (40%) of the Gross Settlement Fund, plus accrued interest."); Chieftain Royalty Co. v. QEP Energy, No. CIV-11-212-R (W.D. Okla.) (Dkt. No. 182) (awarding fee representing approximately 39% of the cash portion of a \$155 million settlement). Given the recovery Class Counsel achieved on behalf of the Class under the circumstances, and the efforts Class Counsel dedicated to this action, this Fee Request is fair and reasonable.

- 45. The requested fee also comports with the amount Class Representative agreed to at the outset of this Litigation. *See* Class Representative Declaration at ¶ 15. Under these agreements, Class Counsel accepted the responsibilities related to prosecuting this Litigation on a wholly contingent basis at the risk of receiving no payment at all and potentially losing any expenses invested in the case. Very few law firms have the expertise and financial wherewithal to take on such risk. And those firms that do agree to take on such cases almost always do so on a 40% contingent fee basis—the same amount Class Representative agreed to here. When the case was filed, Class Counsel had no way of knowing the amount of royalties that were underpaid to the Settlement Class. Additionally, when the 40% contingent fee was agreed to, Class Counsel could not have known what jurisdiction this case would have been removed or transferred to, if any, or what future changes to Oklahoma oil and gas law would affect the outcome of the case.
- 46. The Fee Request of 40% is consistent with the market rate for the quality representation provided in a case like this. See ¶ 45, supra. Indeed, it is appropriate for Class Counsel to request up to 40% of the total settlement value. See id. This is evidenced by the fact that Class Representatives negotiated a 40% fee with Class Counsel at the outset of this Litigation.

In Class Counsel's experience, the typical percentage in similar class actions is the same as that requested here.

- 47. Further, our firm has considerable education, experience, skill, and qualifications rendering us competent to testify about the fair, reasonable, and market rates for attorneys prosecuting this type of complex commercial litigation. As stated in those Declarations, we believe the contingent fee arrangement negotiated by Class Representatives is within the range of fair and reasonable rates for such cases.
- 48. Class Representative states in its Declarations, "[a]t the time this agreement was reached, I understood a 40% contingency fee was at or below the market rate." See Class Representative Declaration at ¶ 6. Class Counsel did not bill Class Representatives at an hourly rate in this case. However, Class Counsel utilize hourly rates that are consistent with local and national counsel in complex class action cases across the country. These rates are at—and indeed, in many cases, well below—the hourly rates charged by firms that bill by the hour, advance no expenses, and get paid on a monthly basis regardless of the outcome. Class Counsel have dedicated their time, labor, and resources to successfully litigating and resolving this Litigation. As a result of the amount of time we had to spend on this case, counsel was limited in its pursuit of other work. Class Counsel litigated the case for over three years and spent considerable time negotiating and finalizing the terms of the Settlement Agreement with Defendant. Throughout this time, Class Counsel have represented the Class on a wholly contingent basis, advancing considerable expenses in the process.
- 49. As set forth above, the time and labor spent in prosecuting this case was substantial and supports the fee request. The work Class Counsel have performed includes, among other things: substantial discovery related to the merits and class certification; taking multiple

depositions; reviewing and analyzing accounting and financial statements; examining and analyzing owners' proceeds payments; consulting with experts; engaging in settlement negotiations over the course of several months; developing a damages model; and assisting in an extensive notice campaign.

- 50. Successfully resolving this Litigation also required Class Counsel to expend substantial time and resources consulting with a key forensic accounting expert, George N. Keeney, III, who specializes in oil and gas matters. Mr. Keeney spent a considerable amount of time reviewing and analyzing the large volume of data produced by Defendant, both for litigation purposes and for mediation/settlement purposes. Also, Class Counsel, in conjunction with Mr. Keeney, reviewed and analyzed thousands of proceeds payments to analyze Class Members' claims.
- agreed to take on this Litigation, there were many disagreements between Class Representatives and Defendant regarding Oklahoma oil and gas law that affected the Settlement Class's claims. Disagreements abounded between the Parties regarding, among other things, the degree of specificity required for Plaintiff's allegations, Plaintiff's entitlement to punitive damages, the propriety of Defendant's pricing policies and methodologies, and whether such issues are appropriate for determination on a class-wide basis. These issues go to the heart of the Settlement Class's claims, and the Parties still maintain differing views. Even if the Court ruled in favor of the Settlement Class on these legal issues, the Parties would have inevitably disputed the nature and amount of damages. In the face of uncertainty associated with further litigation, the Settlement provides the Settlement Class with a valuable and certain \$2,000,000.00 cash recovery.

- 52. In addition to all of this work and the substantial recovery, Class Counsel engaged in extensive efforts regarding Notice, as discussed above. Moreover, Class Counsel will continue to dedicate their time and effort on behalf of the Settlement Class to distribute the Net Settlement Fund following a final order granting approval of the Settlement.
- 53. Properly prosecuting this Litigation required counsel of significant and particularized skills. Class Counsel are comprised of highly skilled and dedicated attorneys with experience prosecuting and defending large class actions such as this. Further, this Litigation has required investigation and mastery of complex factual circumstances, the ability to develop creative legal theories, and the skill to respond to a host of legal defenses asserted by Defendant. To properly perform the legal services this Litigation required, Class Counsel called on their extensive knowledge of gas marketing, engineering, damages modeling, and proceeds payment practices.
- 54. Class Counsel regularly represents both plaintiffs and defendants in oil and gas related class actions, and has served as counsel in a multitude of cases that have defined oil and gas law in Oklahoma.
- 55. This Litigation required substantial time and labor. Class Counsel has submitted a declaration setting forth their respective time, expenses and billing rates expended in this litigation.
- 56. Further, Class Representative fully endorses the contract and the percentage requested by Counsel.
- 57. Class Counsel have expended considerable time and effort in advancing the claims of the Settlement Class in this matter and, as a result of substantial time and labor, Class Counsel obtained a substantial and meaningful recovery for the Settlement Class.

- 58. With this expertise and background, Class Counsel believe the Fee Request is fair and reasonable and should be approved. Therefore, Class Counsel have requested that the Court grant their Fee Request of \$800,000.00.
- 59. Indeed, Class Counsel's Fee Request of 40% is well within customary fee and the market rate for such oil and gas class actions in Oklahoma state courts over the past 15 years. See, e.g., Chieftain Royalty Co. v. XFO Energy, Inc., supra; Fitzgerald Farms, 2015 WL 5794008, at *3 (collecting Oklahoma cases to find in "the royalty underpayment class action context, the customary fee is a 40% contingency fee" and awarding 40% of \$119 million common fund settlement obtained in royalty underpayment class action as attorneys' fees to class counsel); Cecil v. Ward Petroleum, No. CJ-2010-462 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Grady Cty. 2014) (40% of \$10 million settlement fund); Tatum v. Devon Energy Corp., CJ-2010-77 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Nowata Cty. 2013) (45% of \$3.8 million settlement fund); Drummond v. Range Resources, No. CJ-2010-510 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Grady Cty. 2013) (40% of \$87.5 million settlement fund); Mitchusson v. EXCO, No. CJ-2010-32 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Caddo Cty. 2012) (40% of \$23.5 million settlement fund); Taylor v. ChevronTexaco, No. CJ-2002-104 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Texas Cty. 2009) (40% of \$12 million settlement) fund); Brown, et al. v. Citation Oil & Gas Corp., No. CJ-04-217 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Caddo Cty. 2009) (40% of \$5.25 million settlement fund); Simmons v. Anadarko Petroleum, No. CJ-2004-57 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Caddo Cty. 2008) (40% of \$155 million settlement fund); Laverty v. Newfield, No. CJ-98-06012 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Tulsa Cty. 2007) (40% of \$17.25 million settlement fund); Velma-Alma v. Texaco, No. CJ-2002-304 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Stephens Cty. 2005) (40% of \$27 million settlement fund); Lobo v. BP, No. CJ-07-72 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Beaver Cty. 2005) (40% of \$150 million settlement fund); Continental v. Conoco, Nos. CJ-95-739 & CJ-2000-356 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Garfield Cty. 2005) (40% of \$23 million settlement fund); Mayo v. Kaiser-Francis, No. CJ-93-348 (Okla.

Dist. Ct. Grady Cty. 2004) (40% of \$5 million settlement fund); *Kouns v. ConocoPhillips*, No. CJ-98-61 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Dewey Cty. 2004) (42% of \$4.3 million settlement fund); *Robertson/Taylor v. Sanguine*, No. CJ-02-150 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Grady Cty. 2003) (40% of \$13.25 million settlement fund); *McIntosh v. Questar*, No. CJ-02-22 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Major Cty. 2002) (40% of \$1.5 million settlement fund); and *Rudman v. Texaco*, No. CJ-97-IE (Okla. Dist. Ct. Stephens Cty. 2001) (40% of \$25 million settlement fund).

- A lodestar cross-check confirms the reasonableness of Class Counsel's fee request. The total number of hours expended in this litigation to date is approximately 1,886, and Class Counsel anticipate devoting at least an additional 105 hours through final distribution, which equates to \$587,281.78 as a total lodestar for past and future hours. While Class Counsel did not bill Plaintiff on an hourly basis, the hourly rates are within the market rate for cases of analogous complexity in Oklahoma state and federal courts. *See, e.g., In re Sandridge Energy, Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.*, No. CIV-13-102-W, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 180740 (W.D. Okla. Dec. 22, 2015) (approving partner rates that ranged from \$850.00 to \$1,150.00 per hour in a complex shareholder derivative action). The range of lodestars frequently awarded by federal and Oklahoma state courts in oil and gas cases exceeds those here.
- 61. Moreover, Class Counsel were hindered from pursuing other cases as a result of the time and effort this Litigation required. As discussed herein, because the law firm comprising Class Counsel is relatively small, prosecution of this litigation required the devotion of substantial time, manpower and resources from Class Counsel over that period. This case was filed nearly four years ago in April 2021, and has required the devotion of time, manpower, and resources from Class Counsel over that period. Further, Class Counsel have spent a substantial amount of time and effort in negotiating and preparing the necessary paperwork related to the Settlement with Defendant.

Moreover, time limitations have been imposed on Class Counsel throughout the course of this Litigation.

- 62. Class Counsel also took on substantial risk in pursuing this Litigation. Few law firms would be willing to risk investing the time, trouble, and expenses necessary to prosecute this Litigation for multiple years. Further, Defendant has proven itself to be a worthy adversary.
- 63. The contingent nature of the fee and the undesirability of the case support the fee request. In prosecuting this Litigation, Class Counsel and Plaintiff's Counsel have advanced approximately \$24,705.51⁴ in expenses to date. And Class Counsel litigated this case on a wholly contingent basis, without deriving any revenue or obtaining reimbursement for any expenses. The prospect of long, expensive litigation was clear from the beginning, and the risk of no recovery and no reimbursement that comes with contingent fee representation only added to the undesirability of the case, which would preclude most law firms from taking a case of this nature. If Class Representative had not been successful, Class Counsel would have received zero compensation (and no reimbursement of expenses).
- 64. The costs and expenses Class Counsel advanced on behalf of the Settlement Class were reasonable and necessary and were critical to the prosecution of this Litigation.
- 65. In the Notice to Class Members, Class Counsel stated they would seek reimbursement of up to \$39,000.00 in Litigation Expenses. Class Counsel's actual out-of-pocket expenses to date for which they are seeking reimbursement are \$24,705.51. Of course, Class Counsel will only seek to recover such expenses that are actually incurred, and, in no event will Class Counsel's cumulative Litigation Expense Request exceed the \$39,000.00 stated in the Notice.

⁴ All expense calculations contained herein are through November 30, 2024.

CLASS COUNSEL APPROVE CLASS REPRESENTATIVE'S REQUEST FOR A CASE CONTRIBUTION AWARD

- 66. Class Representative, Wake Energy, LLC, was intimately involved in this case, and has more than fulfilled all duties of a class representative. *See* Class Representative Declaration at \$\quad 7-10\$.
- 67. Class Representative has been dedicated to this Litigation at all times. Again, this Litigation has been hard fought for nearly four years. Class Representative devoted extensive time prosecuting this Litigation, from meeting with Class Counsel to providing and reviewing documents, pleadings, and analyses. *See id.* In Class Counsel's opinion and experience, Class Representative fully understood its duties as a named plaintiff and as class representative and at all times has been, and continues to be, fully committed to this Litigation.
- 68. Class Representative pursued its respective claims vigorously in the face of strong and dedicated opposition. Class Representative would not agree to settle this Litigation until they were sure the Settlement Class would achieve a result they believe to be not only fair and reasonable, but truly a meaningful recovery for the Settlement Class. *See id.* at ¶ 11.
- 69. Moreover, Class Representative did not merely approve the Petition/Complaint and then had little or no involvement. Rather, as a diligent Class Representative has actively and effectively fulfilled their obligations as representatives of the Settlement Class, complying with all reasonable demands placed upon them during the prosecution and settlement of this Litigation. Indeed, Class Representative has contributed significantly to the prosecution and resolution of this case and has already dedicated many hours toward assisting in the successful prosecution of this Litigation and anticipate dedicating more hours working on this case in the future. *See id.* at ¶ 10. Class Representative reviewed pleadings, discovery, motions, expert analyses, and other court filings, communicated regularly with Class Counsel and their staff, and was involved in the

negotiations that led to the Settlement. *Id.* At all times, Class Representative acted in the best interests of the Settlement Class.

70. Class Representative has not been compensated for its efforts in representing the Settlement Class. The Notice stated Class Representative may seek a Case Contribution Award not to exceed \$40,000.00 of the Gross Settlement Fund as compensation for their time and effort in this Action. Class Representative is not aware of any conflicts with other Class Members. See id. at ¶ 20. Class Representative has not been promised any particular recovery and has made clear it would support the Settlement regardless of any Case Contribution Award. See id. If the Court determines that no award is appropriate, Class Representative understands and agrees that such an award, or rejection thereof, has no bearing on the fairness of the Settlement and that it will be approved and go forward no matter how the Court rules on their request. See id. There is no quid pro quo or any type of agreement whatsoever between Class Representative and Class Counsel that would support any request made by the other. Class Representative's Declaration contains information regarding the time it spent working on this case on behalf of the Class and it is the opinion of Class Counsel that Class Representative should be awarded the full amount of \$40,000.00 from the Gross Settlement Fund. Such an amount is more than reasonable, based on the time, expense, effort, risk, and burden Class Representatives undertook, and the substantial recovery obtained for the Settlement Class.

I state under penalty of perjury under the laws of Oklahoma that the foregoing is true and correct.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Travis P. Brown

The foregoing instrument was subscribed and sworn to and acknowledged before me this

17th day of December 2024, by Travis P. Brown.

My Commission Number: #01001657

EXP. 01/25/25

EXHIBIT 3

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GARVIN COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Wake Energy, LLC, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	Case No. CJ-2024-267
Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.	
Defendant.	

DECLARATION OF GRAHAM D. PENNY ON BEHALF OF SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR, JND LEGAL ADMINISTRATION, LLC, REGARDING NOTICE, MAILING, AND ADMINISTRATION OF SETTLEMENT

I, Graham D. Penny, being of lawful age and first duly sworn upon my oath state as follows:

- 1. I am an Assistant Director of JND Legal Administration ("JND"). This Declaration is based on my personal knowledge, as well as information provided to me by experienced JND employees.
- 2. JND is a legal administration services provider with its headquarters located in Seattle, Washington. JND has extensive experience in all aspects of legal administration and has administered settlements in hundreds of cases. JND is serving as the Settlement Administrator in the above-captioned Litigation pursuant to the Court's Preliminary Approval Order dated November 25, 2024.

¹ All capitalized terms not otherwise define herein shall have the meaning given to them in the September 30, 2024 Settlement Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Certify the Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes, Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Approve Form and Manner of Notice and Set Date for Final Approval Hearing that was filed on October 17, 2024.

CLASS MEMBER DATA

- 3. On November 27, 2024, JND received a spreadsheet containing a total of 6,377 line items representing the names, mailing addresses, and other identifying information for potential Class Members. JND reviewed the information provided and promptly loaded the potential Class Member data into a database established for this administration.
- 4. JND certified the mailing data via the Coding Accuracy Support System ("CASS") in order to ensure the consistency of the contact information in the database and then verified the mailing addresses through the National Change of Address ("NCOA") database,² identifying updated addresses for 180 records. JND also conducted advanced address research through TransUnion's TLO service on two (2) records with no address but for which sufficient information was available for research and identified an updated address for both records. Of the 6,377 records, a mailing address could not be located for one (1) potential Class Member record, leaving a total of 6,376 unique potential Class Members with a mailing address ("Initial Class Mailing List").

NOTICE MAILING

5. JND will cause the Notice of Settlement to be mailed via USPS first-class mail or FedEx International Priority to the 6,376 potential Class Members in the Initial Class Mailing List on December 16, 2024.³ A representative sample of the mailed Notice of Settlement is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

² The NCOA database is the official United States Postal Service ("USPS") technology product which makes changes of address information available to mailers to help reduce undeliverable mail pieces before mail enters the mail stream. This product is an effective tool to update address changes when a person has completed a change of address form with the USPS. The address information is maintained on the database for 48 months.

³ As of the date of this Declaration, USPS is not accepting mail addressed to destinations in Canada due to the ongoing postal strike. JND will therefore mail notices to Canadian addresses via FedEx International Priority.

6. In the event any potential Class Member's notice is returned as undeliverable, JND uses all reasonable secondary efforts to deliver the notice to the Class Member. This includes remailing any notices returned as undeliverable with a forwarding address and conducting an advanced address search using TransUnion's TLO search, where such a search had not already been conducted, for any notices returned undeliverable without a forwarding address in an attempt to locate an updated address. JND will re-mail the notice to anyone for whom JND is able to obtain an updated address.

PUBLICATION NOTICE

7. JND will cause the summary Notice of Settlement to be published on December 19, 2024, in *The Oklahoman* and the *Tulsa World*. A representative sample of the Notice of Settlement to be placed in these publications is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

SETTLEMENT WEBSITE

- 8. No later than December 16, 2024, JND will activate a dedicated website (wakedevonsettlement.com), which will host copies of important case documents, including the Petition, the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Notice of Settlement, and will provide answers to frequently asked questions, as well as contact information for the Settlement Administrator. A copy of the Long Notice of Settlement that will be available on the website is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.
- 9. JND will continue to update and maintain the website throughout the administration process and final approval process.

TOLL-FREE INFORMATION LINE

10. No later than December 16, 2024, JND will activate a dedicated toll-free telephone number (1-877-753-8732) with an interactive voice recording (IVR) that Class Members can use to obtain more information about the Settlement.

REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION

11. The Notices of Settlement direct that Class Members who wish to opt out of the Settlement Class could do so by mailing a valid Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator so that it is received on or before January 6, 2025.

OBJECTIONS

12. The Notices of Settlement direct that Class Members who would like to object to the Settlement may do so by filing an objection with the Court on or before January 17, 2025.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 13, 2024, at Seattle, Washington.

GRAHAM D. PENNY

Exhibit A

An Oklahoma State Court authorized this notice. This is **not** a solicitation from a lawyer.

If You Have Received a Payment from Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. for Production from an Oil and Gas Well in Oklahoma, You Could Be a Part of a Proposed Class Action Settlement.

Who Is Included?

You are a member of the Settlement Class if you received royalty payments from Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. ("Defendant") for wells in the State of Oklahoma that were operated by Defendant during the month of February 2021 and the payments were based upon the price that Defendant received from its sale of such residue gas to Devon Gas Services, L.P. The Class has been preliminarily approved for settlement only. There are exclusions.

Wake v. Devon Settlement c/o JND Legal Administration PO Box 91343 Seattle, WA 98111



ID:

There is a proposed Settlement in a class action lawsuit filed against Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. ("Defendant") called Wake Energy, LLC v. Devon Energy Production Company, L.P., Case No. CJ-2024-267, District Court of Garvin County in the State of Oklahoma. Plaintiff, Wake Energy, LLC ("Plaintiff") filed this class action on behalf of itself and other owners with interests in Oklahoma wells operated by Defendant during the month of February 2021. The Lawsuit claims Defendant failed to pay royalty interest owners who were entitled to royalties on residue gas that was produced in February 2021 based upon the price that Defendant received from the first arm's length sale of such residue gas, in accordance with Oklahoma law. Plaintiff has asserted claims for breach of statutory duty to pay oil and gas proceeds and interest, actual and constructive fraud, unjust enrichment, and accounting. Defendant denies all liability.

Why am I receiving this notice? Defendant's records indicate you may be a member of the Settlement Class.

What does the settlement provide? The proposed Settlement provides monetary benefits of \$2,000,000.00 that will be distributed according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the documents referenced in and exhibits to the Settlement Agreement, and orders from the Court. Plaintiff's Counsel will seek attorneys' fees up to \$800,000.00; reimbursement of litigation expenses up to \$39,000.00; and settlement Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, to be paid from

the Settlement. Plaintiff will also seek a case contribution award up to \$40,000.00 from the Settlement.

What are my legal rights? You do not have to do anything to stay in the Settlement Class and receive the benefits of the proposed Settlement. If you stay in the Settlement Class, you may also object to the proposed Settlement by following the instructions from the Court (available on the website) by January 17, 2025. If you stay in the Settlement Class, you will be bound by all orders and judgments of the Court, and you will not be able to sue, or continue to sue, Defendant or others identified in the Settlement Agreement from claims described therein. You may appear through an attorney if you so desire.

What are my other options? If you do not wish to participate in or be legally bound by the proposed Settlement, you may exclude yourself by opting out no later than January 6, 2025, following instructions from the Court (available on the website). If you opt out, you will not receive any benefits from the Settlement and will not be bound by it or the judgment in this case.

When will the Court decide whether to approve the proposed Settlement? A Final Fairness Hearing has been scheduled for February 7, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. CT at the District Court of Garvin County, State of Oklahoma, 201 W. Grant St., Pauls Valley, OK 73075. You are not required to attend the hearing, but you or your lawyer may do so if you wish.

THIS IS ONLY A SUMMARY. TO GET A COPY OF THE ENTIRE NOTICE OR FOR MORE INFORMATION, CALL TOLL-FREE 1-877-753-8732 OR VISIT WWW.WAKEDEVONSETTLEMENT.COM.

If You Are or Were a Royalty Interest Owner Paid by Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. for Oil and-Gas Production Proceeds for Residue Gas that was Produced in February 2021 from an Oklahoma Oil and Gas Well, You Could Be a Part of a Proposed Class Action Settlement

The Settlement Class includes, subject to certain excluded persons or entities as detailed in the Settlement Agreement:

All non-excluded persons or entities who were paid royalties from Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. on residue gas produced from Oklahoma Wells that was sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (1) Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. and the Released Parties and their respective affiliates, predecessors, and employees, officers, and directors; (2) agencies, departments, or instrumentalities of the United States of America or the State of Oklahoma; (3) Commissioners of the Land Office of the State of Oklahoma (CLO); (4) any publicly traded company or its affiliated entity that produces, gathers, processes, or markets gas; (5) any Indian Tribe as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(4) or Indian allottee as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(2); (6) Judy Grellner; and (7) Kunneman Properties, LLC.

The Claim Period means checks or payments made or issued by Defendant for residue gas that was produced in February 2021, subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement regarding Released Claims. The Litigation seeks damages for Defendant's alleged failure to pay its royalty interest owners based upon the price that Defendant received from the first arm's length sale of residue gas produced during February 2021. Defendant expressly denies all allegations of wrongdoing or liability with respect to the claims and allegations in the Litigation. The Court has made no determination with respect to the merits of any of the parties' claims or defenses. Defendant means Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.

On the 25th day of November 2024, the Court preliminarily approved a Settlement in which Defendant has agreed to pay Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) in cash (the "Gross Settlement Fund"). From the Gross Settlement Fund, the Court may deduct Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses, Case Contribution Award, and any settlement Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs. The remainder of the fund (the "Net Settlement Fund") will be distributed to participating Class Members as provided in the Settlement Agreement. Complete information on the benefits of the Settlement, including information on the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, can be found in the Settlement Agreement posted on the website listed below. In exchange, Class Members will release Defendant and others identified in the Settlement Agreement from the claims described in the Settlement Agreement.

The attorneys and law firm who represent the Class as Class Counsel are Travis P. Brown, J. Matt Hill, and Scott R. Verplank, Jr. of Mahaffey & Gore, P.C. You may hire your own attorney if you wish. However, you will be responsible for that attorney's fees and expenses.

What Are My Legal Rights?

- <u>Do Nothing, Stay in the Class, and Receive Benefits of the Settlement:</u>
 If the Court approves the proposed Settlement, you or your successors, if eligible, will receive the benefits of the proposed Settlement.
- Stay in the Settlement Class. But Object to All or Part of the Settlement: You can file and serve a written objection to the Settlement and appear before the Court. Your written objection must contain the information described in the Notice of Settlement found at the website listed below and must be filed with the Court and served on Plaintiff's Counsel and Defendant's Counsel no later than the 17th day of January 2025, at 5 p.m. CT.
- Exclude Yourself from the Settlement Class: To exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you must serve by certified mail a written statement to the Settlement Administrator, Plaintiff's Counsel, and Defendant's Counsel. Your Request for Exclusion must contain the information described in the Notice of Settlement found at the website listed below and must be received no later than the 6th day of January 2025, at 5 p.m. CT. You cannot exclude yourself on the website, by telephone, or by email.

The Court will hold a Final Fairness Hearing on the 7th day of February 2025, at 9:00 a.m. CT at the District Court of Garvin County, State of Oklahoma. At the Hearing, the Court will consider whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court will also consider the application for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses and other costs, including the Case Contribution Award. If comments or objections have been submitted in the manner required, the Court will consider them as well. Please note that the date of the Final Fairness Hearing is subject to change without further notice. If you plan to attend the Hearing, you should check with the Court and www.wakedevonsettlement.com to confirm no change to the date and time of the Hearing has been made.

This notice provides only a summary.

For more detailed information regarding the rights and obligations of Members of the Settlement Class, read the Notice of Settlement, Settlement Agreement and other documents posted on the website or contact the Settlement Administrator.

Visit: www.wakedevonsettlement.com Call Toll-Free: 1-877-753-8732 Or write to: Wake v. Devon Settlement c/o JND Legal Administration PO Box 91343 Seattle, WA 98111

Exhibit C

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GARVIN COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

WAKE ENERGY, LLC, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,)))
Plaintiff,)) Case No. CJ-2024-267
v.) Case 110. C3-2024-207
DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY, L.P.,)
Defendant.)

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, CASE CONTRICUTION AWARD, AND FAIRNESS HEARING

A court authorized this Notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

If you belong to the Settlement Class and this Settlement is approved, your legal rights will be affected.

Read this Notice carefully to see what your rights are in connection with this Settlement.¹

Because you may be a member of the Settlement Class in the Litigation captioned above and described below ("the Litigation"), the Court has directed this Notice to be provided for you. Defendant Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.'s ("Defendant") records show you are an owner in Oklahoma well(s) for which Defendant remitted royalty payments for residue gas produced during the month of February 2021. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Notice shall have the meanings attributed to those terms in the Settlement Agreement referred to below and available at www.wakedevonsettlement.com.

This Notice generally explains the claims being asserted in the Litigation, summarizes the Settlement, and tells you about your rights to remain a Class Member or to timely and properly submit a Request for Exclusion (also known as an "opt out") so that you will be excluded from the Settlement. This Notice provides information so you can decide what action you want to take with respect to the Settlement before the Court is asked to finally approve it. If the Court approves the Settlement and after the final resolution of any objections or appeals, the Court-appointed Settlement Administrator will issue payments to final Class Members, without any further action from you. This Notice describes the lawsuit, the Settlement, your legal rights, what benefits are available, who is eligible for them, and how to get them.

¹ This Notice is a summary of the terms of the Settlement Agreement in this matter. Please refer to the Settlement Agreement for a complete description of the terms and provisions thereof. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is available for free at www.wakedevonsettlement.com. The terms, conditions, and definitions in the Settlement Agreement qualify this Notice in its entirety.

The Settlement Class in the Litigation consists of the following individuals and entities:

All non-excluded persons or entities who were paid royalties from Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. on residue gas produced from Oklahoma Wells that was sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (1) Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. and the Released Parties and their respective affiliates, predecessors, and employees, officers, and directors; (2) agencies, departments, or instrumentalities of the United States of America or the State of Oklahoma; (3) Commissioners of the Land Office of the State of Oklahoma (CLO); (4) any publicly traded company or its affiliated entity that produces, gathers, processes, or markets gas; (5) any Indian Tribe as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(4) or Indian allottee as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(2); (6) Judy Grellner; and (7) Kunneman Properties, LLC.

The Claim Period means checks or payments made or issued by Defendant for residue gas that was produced in February 2021, subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement regarding Released Claims. If you are unsure whether you are included in the Settlement Class, you may contact the Settlement Administrator at:

Wake v. Devon Settlement c/o JND Legal Administration PO Box 91343 Seattle, WA 98111 Call Toll-Free: 1-877-753-8732

TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF THIS PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, YOU DO NOT HAVE TO DO ANYTHING.

I. General Information About the Litigation

The Litigation seeks damages for Defendant's alleged failure to pay its royalty interest owners based upon the price that Defendant received from the first arm's length sale of residue gas produced during February 2021. Defendant expressly denies all allegations of wrongdoing or liability with respect to the claims and allegations in the Litigation. The Court has made no determination with respect to the merits of any of the parties' claims or defenses. A more complete description of the Litigation, its status, and the rulings made in the Litigation are available in the pleadings and other papers maintained by the District Court of Garvin County, State of Oklahoma in the file for the Litigation.

II. The Settlement, Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees, Litigation Expenses, Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, Case Contribution Award, and The Settlement Allocation and Distribution To The Class

On the 25th day of November 2024, the Court preliminarily approved a Settlement in the Litigation between Plaintiff, on behalf of itself and the Settlement Class, and Defendant. This approval and this Notice are not an expression of opinion by the Court as to the merits of any of the claims or defenses asserted by any of the parties to the Litigation, or of whether the Court will ultimately approve the Settlement Agreement.

In settlement of all claims alleged in the Litigation, Defendant has agreed to pay Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) in cash ("Gross Settlement Fund"). In exchange for this payment and other consideration outlined in the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Class shall release the Released

Claims (as defined in the Settlement Agreement, available for review and download at www.wakedevonsettlement.com) against the Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement). The Gross Settlement Fund, less Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, Case Contribution Award, and any other costs approved by the Court (the "Net Settlement Fund"), will be distributed to final Class Members pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Class Counsel intends to seek an award of Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees of not more than 40% of the Gross Settlement Fund. Lead Class Counsel Travis P. Brown of Mahaffey & Gore, P.C. has been litigating this case without any payment whatsoever, advancing tens of thousands of dollars in expenses. At the Final Fairness Hearing, Plaintiff's Counsel will also seek reimbursement of the litigation expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of this Litigation and that will be incurred through final distribution of the Settlement, which is estimated to be approximately Thirty-Nine Thousand Dollars (\$39,000.00), and settlement Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs. In addition, Plaintiff intends to seek a case contribution award for his representation of the Class, which amount will not exceed Forty Thousand Dollars (\$40,000.00), to compensate Plaintiff for his time, expense, risk, and burden as serving as Class Representative.

The Court must approve the Allocation Methodology, which describes how the Settlement Administrator will allocate the Net Settlement Fund. The Net Settlement Fund will be distributed by the Settlement Administrator after the Effective Date of the Settlement. The Effective Date requires the exhaustion of any appeals, which may take a year or more after the entry of Judgment. The Settlement may be terminated on several grounds, including if the Court does not approve or materially modifies the terms of the Settlement. If the Settlement is terminated, the Litigation will proceed as if the Settlement had not been reached.

This Notice does not and cannot set out all the terms of the Settlement Agreement, which is available for review at www.wakedevonsettlement.com. This website will eventually include this Notice, the Plan of Allocation, and Plaintiff's Counsel's application for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses and other costs. You may also receive information about the progress of the Settlement by visiting the website at www.wakedevonsettlement.com, or by contacting the Settlement Administrator at the address set forth above.

III. Class Settlement Fairness Hearing

The Final Fairness Hearing will be held on the 7th day of February 2025 beginning at 9:00 A.M., before the Honorable Judge McClain, District Court Judge for Garvin County, State of Oklahoma, 201 W. Grant St., Pauls Valley, Oklahoma 73075. Please note that the date of the Fairness Hearing is subject to change without further notice. You should check with the Court and www.wakedevonsettlement.com to confirm no change to the date and time of the hearing has been made. At the Fairness Hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; (b) any timely and properly raised objections to the Settlement; (c) the Allocation Methodology; (d) the application for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs; and (e) the application for the Case Contribution Award for the Class Representative.

A CLASS MEMBER WHO WISHES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT AND DOES NOT SUBMIT A VALID REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION DOES NOT NEED TO APPEAR AT THE FINAL FAIRNESS HEARING OR TAKE ANY OTHER ACTION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT.

IV. What Are Your Options As A Class Member?

A. You Can Participate in the Class Settlement by Doing Nothing

By taking no action, your interests will be represented by Plaintiff as the Class Representative and Plaintiff's Counsel. As a Class Member, you will be bound by the outcome of the Settlement, if finally approved by the Court. The Class Representative and Plaintiff's Counsel believe that the Settlement is in the best interest of the Class, and, therefore, they intend to support the proposed Settlement at the Final Fairness Hearing. As a Class Member, if you are entitled to a distribution pursuant to the Allocation Methodology, you will receive your portion of the Net Settlement Fund, and you will be bound by the Settlement Agreement and all orders and judgments entered by the Court regarding the Settlement. If the Settlement is approved, unless you exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, neither you nor any other Releasing Party will be able to start a lawsuit or arbitration, continue a lawsuit or arbitration, or be part of any other lawsuit against any of the Released Parties based on any of the Released Claims.

B. You May Submit a Request for Exclusion to Opt Out of the Settlement Class

If you do not wish to be a member of the Settlement Class, then you must exclude yourself from the Settlement Class by mailing a Request for Exclusion. All Requests for Exclusion must include: (i) the Class Member's name, address, telephone number, and notarized signature; (ii) a statement that the Class Member wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class in *Wake Energy, LLC v. Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.*; and (iii) a description of the Class Member's interest in any wells for which it has received payments from Defendant, including the name, well number, county in which the well is located, and the owner identification number. Requests for Exclusion must be mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, as follows:

Settlement Administrator	Class Counsel	Defendant's Counsel
Wake v. Devon Settlement c/o JND Legal Administration PO Box 91343 Seattle, WA 98111	Travis P. Brown J. Matt Hill Scott R. Verplank, Jr. Mahaffey & Gore, P.C. 300 N.E. 1st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73104	Timothy J. Bomhoff Patrick L. Stein Cole B. McLanahan 8th Floor, Two Leadership Square 211 N Robinson Ave Oklahoma City, OK 73102

If you do not follow these procedures—including mailing the Request for Exclusion so that it is received by 5 p.m. CT on the 6th day of January 2025—you will <u>not</u> be excluded from the Settlement Class, and you will be bound by all of the orders and judgments entered by the Court regarding the Settlement, including the release of claims. You must exclude yourself even if you already have a pending case against any of the Released Parties based upon any Released Claims during the Claim Period. You cannot exclude yourself on the website, by telephone, facsimile, or by e-mail. If you validly request exclusion as described above, you will not receive any distribution from the Net Settlement Fund, you cannot object to the Settlement, and you will not have released any claim against the Released Parties. You will not be legally bound by anything that happens in the Litigation.

C. You May Remain a Member of the Settlement Class, but Object to the Settlement, Allocation Methodology, Plan of Allocation, Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees, Litigation Expenses, Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, or Case Contribution Award

Any Class Member who wishes to object to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement, any term of the Settlement, the Allocation Methodology, the Plan of Allocation, the request for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, or the request for the Case Contribution Award to Class Representative may file an objection. An objector must file with the Court and serve upon Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel a written objection containing the following: (a) a heading referring to Wake Energy, LLC v. Devon Energy Production Company, L.P., Case No. CJ-2024-267, District Court of Garvin County, State of Oklahoma; (b) a statement as to whether the objector intends to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing, either in person or through counsel, and, if through counsel, counsel must be identified by name, address, and telephone number; (c) a detailed statement of the specific legal and factual basis for each and every objection; (d) a list of any witnesses the objector may call at the Final Fairness Hearing, together with a brief summary of each witness's expected testimony (to the extent the objector desires to offer expert testimony and/or an expert report, any such evidence must fully comply with the Oklahoma Rules of Civil Procedure, Oklahoma Rules of Evidence, and the Local Rules of the Court); (e) a list of and copies of any exhibits the objector may seek to use at the Final Fairness Hearing; (f) a list of any legal authority the objector may present at the Final Fairness Hearing; (g) the objector's name, current address, current telephone number, and all owner identification numbers with Defendant; (h) the objector's signature executed before a Notary Public; (i) identification of the objector's interest in wells for which Defendant remitted oil-and-gas proceeds (by well name, payee well number, and county in which the well is located) during the Claim Period and identification of any payments by date of payment, date of production, and amount; and (j) if the objector is objecting to any portion of the Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees or Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, or Case Contribution Award sought by Class Representative or Class Counsel on the basis that the amounts requested are unreasonably high. the objector must specifically state the portion of such requests he/she/it believes is fair and reasonable and the portion that is not. Such written objections must be filed with the Court and served on Plaintiff's Counsel and Defendant's Counsel, via certified mail return receipt requested, and received no later than 5 p.m. CT on the 17th day of January 2025, at the addresses set forth above. Any Class Member that fails to timely file the written objection statement and provide the required information will not be permitted to present any objections at the Final Fairness Hearing. Your written objection must be timely filed with the Court at the address below:

> Clerk of the Court District Court of Garvin County, State of Oklahoma 201 W. Grant St. Pauls Valley, Oklahoma 73075

UNLESS OTHERWISE ORDERED BY THE COURT, ANY MEMBER OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS WHO DOES NOT OBJECT IN THE MANNER DESCRIBED HEREIN WILL BE DEEMED TO HAVE WAIVED ANY OBJECTION AND SHALL BE FOREVER FORECLOSED FROM MAKING ANY OBJECTON TO THE SETTLEMENT (OR ANY PART THEREOF) AND WILL NOT BE ALLOWED TO PRESENT ANY OBJECTIONS AT THE FINAL FAIRNESS HEARING.

D. You May Retain Your Own Attorney to Represent You at the Final Fairness Hearing

You have the right to retain your own attorney to represent you at the Final Fairness Hearing. If you retain separate counsel, you will be responsible to pay his or her fees and expenses out of your own pocket.

V. Availability of Filed Papers And More Information

This Notice summarizes the Settlement Agreement, which sets out all of its terms. You may obtain a copy of the Settlement Agreement with its exhibits, as well as other relevant documents, from the settlement website for free at www.wakedevonsettlement.com, or you may request copies by contacting the Settlement Administrator as set forth above. In addition, the pleadings and other papers filed in this Action, including the Settlement Agreement, are available for inspection in at the Office of the Clerk of the Court, set forth above, and may be obtained by the Clerk's office directly. The records are also available online through the Oklahoma State Court Network service at www.oscn.net. If you have any questions about this Notice, you may consult an attorney of your own choosing at your own expense or Class Counsel.

PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE JUDGE OR THE COURT CLERK ASKING FOR INFORMATION REGARDING THIS NOTICE.

HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF GARVIN COUNTY

EXHIBIT 4

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GARVIN COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Wake Energy, LLC, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. CJ-2024-267
Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.)
Defendant.)

AFFIDAVIT OF GEORGE N. KEENEY, III

- I, George N. Keeney, III, being of lawful age and first duly sworn upon my oath state as follows:
- 1. I am a Certified Public Accountant (CPA), certified in financial forensics (CPA/CFF) by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants(AICPA), a Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE) and a Chartered Global Management Accountant (CGMA). I have over 47 years of public accounting and consulting experience and have been licensed to practice public accounting in Oklahoma continuously since 1979. I am experienced in oil & gas accounting and forensic accounting. I have been employed as an expert witness in numerous cases involving the payment or underpayment of oil and gas production proceeds. I am the Managing Director of International Financial Services Group, Inc. ("IFSG"). IFSG was formed in 1996 and specializes in oil and gas accounting and oil & gas property management related matters in addition to other areas of practice. IFSG is compensated for my time in this matter at an hourly rate of \$275. My

All capitalized terms not otherwise define herein shall have the meaning given to them in the September 30, 2024 Settlement Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Certify the Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes, Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Approve Form and Manner of Notice and Set Date for Final Approval Hearing that was filed on October 17, 2024.

curriculum vitae and testimony rendered within the last four years is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

- 2. Plaintiff's Counsel asked me to assist in allocating and distributing the Net Settlement Fund to Class Members pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Class consists of all non-excluded persons or entities who received royalty payments from Defendant for residue gas from Oklahoma Wells that was sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period. The persons or entities excluded from the Class are: (1) Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. and the Released Parties and their respective affiliates, predecessors, and employees, officers, and directors; (2) agencies, departments, or instrumentalities of the United States of America or the State of Oklahoma; (3) Commissioners of the Land Office of the State of Oklahoma (CLO); (4) any publicly traded company or its affiliated entity that produces, gathers, processes, or markets gas; (5) any Indian Tribe as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(4) or Indian allottee as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(2); (6) Judy Grellner; (7) Kunneman Properties, LLC; and (8) any Class Members who would otherwise receive a distribution of Five Dollars (\$5.00) or less.
- 3. In their Complaint, Plaintiff alleged Defendant underpaid its royalty interest owners on residue gas produced by Defendant from Oklahoma Wells and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period and requested an accounting, disgorgement, and punitive damages.
- 4. The information utilized to allocate the Net Settlement Fund and to prepare Exhibit "B", attached hereto, the Estimated Net Settlement Amount to Class Members, was provided by Defendant and it is my understanding contained all of Defendant's available payment data for the entire Claim Period. As noted in paragraph 6 below, the amounts on Exhibit "B" may change once the final fees and expenses awarded by the Court are known, after all excluded parties and optouts are known and any other necessary adjustments are made.

- 5. After the Settlement was reached, I was able to prepare Exhibit "B" and allocate the estimated Net Settlement Fund to the Class Members defined by the Settlement Class definition based on each Class Member was underpaid for royalty payments on residue gas produced by Defendant from Oklahoma Wells and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period. The calculations were made with due regard for the production volumes, the amount of the underlying payment, and the amount received by Defendant from Devon Gas Services, L.P. for such residue gas. Subject to Court approval, the Settlement Agreement sets forth a *de minimis* threshold for distribution of \$5.00 in order to preserve the overall Net Settlement Fund from the costs of claims that are likely to exceed the value of those claims. Accordingly, subject to Court approval, Class Members who would otherwise receive a distribution of less than \$5.00 are included on Exhibit "B" and marked as "de minimis" and will not receive a settlement distribution, except as provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. Utilizing the information and methodology described above, I was able to allocate a calculated share of the estimated Net Settlement Fund to each Class Member. Subject to Court approval, I plan to exclude the amounts of Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees, reimbursement of past Litigation Expenses, Administration, Notice and Distribution Costs, and Case Contribution Award requested by Plaintiff's Counsel and class representatives. Specifically, the requested deductions from the \$2,000,000.00 Gross Settlement Fund total \$991,000.00, which falls into the following categories: (1) \$800,000.00 to Plaintiff's Counsel as attorneys' fees; (2) \$39,000.00 for reimbursement of Litigation Expenses; (3) \$130,000.00 for Administration, Notice and Distribution Costs and (4) \$40,000.00 to Class Representative as a Case Contribution Award. The estimated Net Settlement Amount to Class Members is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and lists the estimated amounts, based on the currently available information and with consideration of the *de*

minimis threshold, to be distributed to such Class Members. Because Exhibit "B" will be publicly filed, and it is my understanding that it will also be made available on the settlement class litigation website, personal identifying information (such as names and addresses of Class Members) is not included.² Instead, Class Members will be listed according to their unique owner numbers (found on their check stubs). Class Members listed on Exhibit "B" are limited to those Class Members who, based on the Allocation Methodology, received royalty payments on residue gas produced by Defendant from Oklahoma Wells and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P. during the Claim Period. Any Class Member who has a Net Settlement Amount below the *de minimis* threshold will, accordingly, not receive an estimated Net Settlement Amount and are indicated on Exhibit "B" with the "Estimated Net Settlement Amount to Class Members" of "de minimis." It will be a simple matter for me to update the allocations based on the final fees and expenses awarded by the Court, the amount of interest earned on the Escrow Account (if any), and after all excluded parties and opt-outs are known.

7. Exhibit "B" was constructed using a straightforward and logical Allocation Methodology based on the residue gas volumes proportionately received by each Class Member and Settlement Class definition, and other factors considered by Plaintiff's Counsel as discussed above. For each royalty payment that occurred within the Claim Period for residue gas that was produced from Oklahoma Wells by Defendant and sold to Devon Gas Services, L.P., I calculated each Class Member's proportionate share of the total quantum of such residue gas and allocated the Net Settlement Fund to each Class Member based upon such calculation and the other factors discussed herein. Pursuant to the *de minimis* threshold described in the Settlement Agreement, no

² Estimated Net Settlement Amount to Class Members does not yet consider those persons who have or will opt out of the Settlement. Once the necessary information has been received and reviewed, the Plan of Allocation will be adjusted accordingly.

distributions will be made to Class Members who would otherwise receive a distribution of less than \$5.00. In my opinion, this Allocation Methodology is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and in the best interest of the Class.

I state under penalty of perjury under the laws of Oklahoma that the foregoing is true and correct.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

George N. Keeney III

CPA/CFF, CFÉ/CGMA

Subscribed and sworn before me this 16th day of December, 2024.

[Seal]

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 12 4 25

My Commission Number: 13010921

George N. Keeney III, CPA/CFF, CFE, CGMA

Email: gkeeney@ifsg.org Tel: 405.706.7000

Professional Profile

Certified Public Accountant, Certified in Financial Forensics by American Institute of C.P.A.s, Certified Fraud Examiner, Chartered Global Management Accountant, Member of Association of International Petroleum Negotiators (AIPN), Member of Association of Certified Fraud Examiners, Member of The Foundation for Natural Resources and Energy Law. Forty-five plus years of experience in financial, oil & gas, & forensic accounting, litigation services, mergers & acquisitions, finance, administration, management, IT and consulting services, primarily in the oil & gas, manufacturing, diversified energy and healthcare sectors.

Exceptional communication & negotiating skills. Experienced in domestic and international negotiations and contracts, frequent expert witness and settlement negotiator.

Experience as Special Trustee in U. S. Bankruptcy Court, Receiver and Guardian in Oklahoma District Court, as well as appointment by various Creditors' Committees to administer collections matters and distributions from Creditors Trusts and other estates. Extensive collection and settlement experience with troubled companies.

Extensive start-up, development-stage, and reorganization experience as corporate CEO, CFO, COO, and financial advisor for corporations and high-wealth individuals.

Industry experience in domestic and international oil & gas exploration and development, biotechnology, construction, health care management, alternative energy and power services, manufacturing, information and data processing services and publishing.

Boards and Appointments

Director: Demeter BioTechnologies, Ltd.-developmental stage biotechnology company. 1991-1996 (NASDAQ)

Director: Okland Oil Company and all affiliated companies. 1988-1999

Director: Structural Holdings, Inc. & H&M Steel, Inc.-Complex Structural Steel fabricator. 1999-2002 (Private)

Trustee: Tomcat Well Creditors' Trust-Appointed March, 1988 by Federal Bankruptcy Court.

Trustee: Scott Oil & Gas Company Creditor's Trust-Appointed March, 1993 by creditors' trust beneficiaries.

Receiver: Oklahoma District Court, in re Fairway Personnel Services, appointed December, 2002.

Examiner: Oklahoma District Court, in re CED Guardianship, appointed June, 2004.

Guardian: Oklahoma District Court, in re CED Guardianship, appointed April, 2005.

Guardian of Assets: Oklahoma District Court, in re MAC Guardianship, appointed April 2016.

Receiver: Blaine County District Court, in re Loosen Family Limited Partnership, 2016-2021.

Trustee: Oklahoma District Court, in re Leland P. Letts and Sallie Ann Letts Rev. 2016-2018.

Employment History

September 1995 to Present International Financial Services Group, Inc (IFSG).

Managing Director- IFSG actively manages approximately 3,000 oil & gas properties held in a variety of Limited Liability Companies that are owned by certain trusts and trust-related parties. Oil & gas management services include monthly oil & gas accounting, revenue collection, Joint Interest Billing payments, property acquisition and divestiture, leasing, drilling and completion recommendations, as well as recovery of unpaid revenue from royalties and other suspended or incorrectly paid interests. IFSG has also provides "back-office" services for independent oil companies that operate in Oklahoma, Texas, and Louisiana.

George N. Keeney III provides litigation support services for clients or their attorneys in various matters. Mr. Keeney has been qualified and given testimony as an expert witness in numerous cases in U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Federal Court, various District Courts and in NASD, NYSE, and other arbitration proceedings involving securities matters, investment selection & propriety, calculation of damages, lost profits and fraud, as well as other accounting matters and practices.

IFSG also provides local and regional consulting services to small and mid-sized businesses in the areas of M&A, oil & gas accounting, information technology, operations, corporate and bankruptcy reorganizations, as well as CFO and CEO functions for various clients. IFSG utilizes a well-established group of experienced professionals coordinated and supervised by IFSG's managing director to meet the demands of larger cases or projects. IFSG provides domestic and international clients consulting services in the areas of corporate and producing property acquisitions, production contract negotiations, joint venture relationships, offshore corporate structure & strategic planning and as well as in other economic or organizational areas in the oil and gas sector and other industries.

February 2003 to December 2021 Harding & Shelton, Inc.

Director of Business Development-Harding & Shelton, Inc., & Harding Shelton Exploration LLC are oil and gas production companies engaged in exploration and development of natural gas properties in Oklahoma. Mr. Keeney was

invited to become a member of the management team in 2003 and performed a broad range of financial, organizational, and operational functions for the company and its principals on an as-needed basis. Harding & Shelton, Inc. also restored and developed real estate interests in Oklahoma City through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Diversified Historic Properties, Inc. (DHP) and DHP Commercial LLC (DHPC). Mr. Keeney served as CFO and manager of DHPC from inception through June of 2018.

May, 1999 to May, 2001

D. R. Payne & Associates, Inc.

Director of Business Advisory Services -National provider of consulting services to small and mid-sized businesses in the areas of accounting, litigation support, information technology, operations, M&A, tax, corporate and bankruptcy reorganizations, and outsourced CFO and CEO functions for various clients.

December, 1987 to April, 1999

Okland Companies

Okland Oil Company-Oklahoma City-Vice President & Director - Multi-company organization involved in oil & gas exploration and production, natural gas pipeline construction & operation, gas processing, information services and publishing. Served as the senior executive-level officer reporting to the Corporation's Owner/President/Chairman of the Board. Full bottom line responsibilities including M&A, investments, strategic planning, product marketing, and all operational activities. Provided financial, estate & tax planning, and investment advice to the company's owner. Concurrent positions and responsibilities:

Okland International LDC -President & Managing Director-International oil & gas exploration subsidiary active in South and South East Asia. Organized offshore structure for international operations. Served as lead negotiator for all petroleum concessions and international agreements with foreign governments and industry partners. Extensive experience in governmental relations in India & Bangladesh. Successfully negotiated strategic concessions in India & Bangladesh resulting in multiple contract awards in direct competition with Royal Dutch/Shell, Exxon/Mobil, Enron Oil & Gas, Texaco, British Petroleum, and UNOCAL. Awarded contracts totaling 12 million acres of exploration area. Successfully negotiated various partnership, joint venture, seismic, and contract acquisition, divestiture, and operating agreements with third-parties in the United States, England, Ireland, Scotland, France, The Netherlands, India, Nepal, Bangladesh, Dubai, and Australia.

Magnolia Pipelines, Inc., - Vice President & Director - Pipeline construction, operating, and hydrocarbon marketing affiliate of Okland Oil Company.

Oil-Law Records Corporation - Vice President & Director - Information services and publishing subsidiary of Okland Oil Company.

February, 1984 through November, 1987

Chapman Energy Company, Dallas, Texas
Vice President - Publicly traded energy company involved in extensive acquisitions in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, and Kansas. Responsibilities included all Oklahoma operations, financial audit liaison, and coordination of acquisition team due diligence activities for numerous oil & gas company acquisitions.

March, 1981 through January, 1984

Continental Resources Corporation, Oklahoma City, OK

Chlef Financial Officer – Development-stage energy company which grew from \$3 million to \$47 million of total assets in 3 years and which was acquired in 1984 by publicly traded company based in Dallas, Texas. Responsible for accounting, acquisitions, IT, HR, banking, and investor relations.

October 1980 through February 1981

Redland Energy Company, Oklahoma City, OK

Chief Financial Officer for partnership formed to acquire oil and gas interests. Partnership acquired and subsequently sold a large block of oil & gas acreage for substantial profit & the partners retired. Provided financial, estate & tax planning and investment advice to the company's owners.

June 1977 through October, 1980

Arthur Andersen & Company, Oklahoma City, OK

Senior Auditor- Performed financial audits and financial feasibility studies for various clients, primarily in the oil & gas and healthcare industries. Other industry experience: Grocery, food distribution, non-profit, and manufacturing.

Education, Memberships, Presentations and Community Affairs

Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK BSBA 1977

Accounting Major

Deans Honor Roll

Member Beta Alpha Psi Honors Accounting Fraternity

Continuing Professional Education- Annual requirement of 40 hours is focused generally in fraud detection, forensic accounting and technology areas, with additional courses in taxation, estate & trust, elder care, oil & gas, prudent investment practices and business law.

Certified Public Accountant, Certified in Financial Forensics (CPA/CFF) - The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Chartered Global Management Accountant (CGMA) - The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE) - The Association of Certified Fraud Examiners

Certified Forensic Accountant Certification (CrFA) - American College of Forensic Examiners International's American Board of Forensic Accounting

Member, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants 1979-Present Member, Forensic and Valuation Services Section

Member, Association of Certified Fraud Examiners

Member, Oklahoma Society of Certified Public Accountants Management Advisory Services Committee, 88-91 Long Range Planning Committee, 89-91 Litigation Support Committee, 99-00 Information Technology Committee, 99-00

Member, Association of International Energy Negotiators (AIEN)

Member, The Foundation for Natural Resources and Energy Law Audit Committee-23-24, 24-25

Quail Creek Home Owners' Association Board of Directors, 1983-1988 President, 1986, 1987, 1988

Life Member, Oklahoma State University Alumni Association

Member, Association of Pilots and Aircraft Owners

Presenter, OSCPA conference on Auditor's Responsibility to Detect Fraud 2000

Presenter, OK Auditors & Inspectors Conference on Fraud and Internet Fraud 1999

Presenter, Financial Times of London's Symposium on Energy in South Asia, Dhaka, Bangladesh 1998

Representative Clients

Bjork, Lindley, Little-Denver, CO	Chansolme, Harroz, Hays-Oklahoma City
Crowe & Dunlevy-Oklahoma City	Durbin, Larimore & Bialick-Oklahoma City
Elias, Brown, Brown & Nelson-Oklahoma City	Frost, Brown, Todd-Lexington, Ky
Hall, Estill-Oklahoma City, Tulsa	Mahaffey & Gore-Oklahoma City

$\textbf{Summary of Forensic Accounting Experience and Expert Testimony Provided by George N. Keeney {\tt iii}}\\$

Date	In Re	Retained by	Attorneys	Venue	Nature of Testimony	Deposition	Report	Testimony
	Hal McNight et al v Continental Resources, Inc.	Plaintiff	Mahaffey & Gore	Stephens County District Court	Improper Payment of Oil & Gas Proceeds, calculation of interest thereon	Pending	Pending	Pending
2021 lo 2022	Comanche Exploration Company LLC v Access US Oil & Gas, Inc. & Access Texas Oil & Gas, LLC	Defendant	Mahaffey & Gore	Federal Court: Western District OK.	Late payment Interest under 52 OS 570.10	Yes	Yes	No
2022 to Date	NDL v H&P	Defendant	Crowe & Dunlevy	Federal Court: Western District OK.	Overbilling, Late payment, improper offsets	Pending	Yes	Pending
2022 to Date	Wake v DEPCO	Plaintiff	Mahaffey & Gore	Garvin County District Court	Underpayment of Net Procedes, Allocation of Settlement	Pending	Yes	Pending

Date: 12/1 5/24

Total Allocation	\$	1,009,000.00
	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9012483	\$	122.05
9012552	\$	17.17
9012578		
9012580	F	
9012692		
9012767	\$	7.88
9012780	\$	0.42
9012805	\$	169.91
9012811	\$	6.08
9012812	\$	13.13
9012823		
9012839	\$	9.79
9012848	\$	7.17
9012896	\$	0.00
9012910		
9012961	\$	163.70
9012973	\$	5,087.13
9012991		45.40
9012998	\$	16.19
9013009	\$	392.48
9013105 9013106	\$	498.91
9013109	\$	1,375.04 420.37
9013110	\$	4,036,80
9013112	\$	14.63
9013129	Ş	14.03
9013134	\$	1,850.71
9013142	\$	10.65
9013155	\$	21.80
9013171	\$	66.67
9013172	5	1.20
9013174		
9013227	\$	8.94
9013259	5	0.93
9013273	\$	158.64
9013283	Ė	
9013291	\$	187.57
9013295	\$	4.15
		Page 1

Pa	70	1

Devon Energy
o. CJ-2024-267

	1	Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
90147		37.38
90148		168.55
90148		6.98
90148		4.21
90149		22.60
90149		24.60
90149		45.35
90150		16.44
90150	73 \$	460.43
90150		0.33
90150		3.15
90151		129.78
90151		269.88
90152		20.90
90152		28.89
90152		2.00
90152		25.22
90152		5.14
90152		103.77
90153		5.09
90153		0.23
90153		46.72
90153		5.78
901533		2.31
901533		9.25
901540		21.12
901540 901545		21.12 0.78
901549		1.05
90154		
901550		0.09
901550		0.94 6.74
901551		24.71
901552		24./1
901572		10.52
901572		10.52
901576		141.23
901576		514.10
901578		4,709.51
901599		94.16
901393	4 3	Page 3

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9013324	\$ 1.36
9013362	
9013369	\$ 1.17
9013373	\$ 1.83
9013374	
9013379	
9013382	
9013407	\$ 9.79
9013417	\$ 42.10
9013449	\$ 83.11
9013450	\$ 704.47
9013455	\$ 367.68
9013486	\$ 9.08
9013528	\$ 939.28
9013530	10 - Day - 17 4
9013531	
9013541	\$ 76.48
9013549	
9013578	
9013595	\$ 15.77
9013672	\$ 166.10
9013693	\$ 404.77
9013734	\$ 3.67
9013785	4 40 40
9013808	\$ 12.42
9013809	\$ 18.05
9013848 9013982	\$ 883.26
9013982 9014045	\$ 169.15 \$ 49.18
9014045	\$ 49.18 \$ 193.66
9014095	\$ 193.66
9014475	ş 11.38
9014475	\$ 254.61
9014485	\$ 308.45
9014483	\$ 135.01
9014541	y 133,01
9014577	\$ 38.26
9014664	\$ 52.92
9014731	S 1.27
9014786	2.27
3014700	Page 2

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

		lloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9016198	\$	938.48
9016221	5	0.01
9016395	\$	29.77
9016396		25.49
9016486		32.43
9016496	š	16.14
9016519		21.74
9016541	\$	27.05
9016624	\$	480.83
9016805	\$	80.15
9016908	5	3.69
9016966		54.50
9016997	\$	13.51
9017074	\$	276.88
9017093	\$ \$ \$	16.45
9017152	\$	419.12
9017165	\$	28.49
9017346	\$	192.58
9017416	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	7.68
9017425	\$	67.06
9017500	\$	84.60
9017556	\$	10.97
9017561	\$	13.55
9017590	\$	3.04
9017591	\$	1.52
9017741	\$	34.32
9017744 9017857		289.06
9017857	\$	5.05
9017910	\$	8.57
9018063	\$	43.25
9018108	\$	189.11
9018122	\$	0.43
9018130	\$	223.42
9018203	\$	0.27
9018204	5	0.27
9018206	5	0.27
9018214	5	3.47
9018305	\$	15.11
9018356	\$	3.37
		Page 4

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Owner Number 9018370 9018370 9018430 9018430 9018430 9018510 9018584 9018588 9018730 9018730 9018739 9018895 9018756	2.45 81.00 74.11 2.03 5 58.12 5 3.32 378.71 2.21 2.74 4.74 10.81
9018370 9018386 9018429 9018430 9018433 9018510 9018588 9018588 9018730 9018730 9018739 901889	Amts are De Minimus) 5 25.75 6 2.45 6 81.00 7 74.11 6 2.03 6 58.12 6 3.32 7 378.71 7 2.21 7 4.74 7 10.81
9018370 9018386 9018429 9018430 9018433 9018510 9018588 9018588 9018730 9018730 9018739 901889	Minimus) 5 25.75 6 24.55 6 81.00 74.11 2.03 6 58.12 6 3.32 73.71 74.74 74 74 75 75 76 76 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77
9018386 9018429 9018430 9018433 9018510 9018588 9018588 9018730 9018793 9018899 9018895	2.45 81.00 74.11 2.03 5 58.12 5 3.32 378.71 2.21 2.74 4.74 10.81
9018429 9018430 9018510 9018510 9018588 9018730 9018730 9018730 9018730 901899 901889	81.00 74.11 2.03 58.12 3.32 378.71 2.21 2.74 4.74
9018430 9018433 9018510 9018584 9018588 9018730 9018730 9018739 9018849	74.11 2.03 58.12 3.32 378.71 2.21 2.74 4.74
9018433 9018510 9018584 9018588 9018730 9018730 9018730 9018735 9018849 9018955	2.03 58.12 3.32 378.71 2.21 2.74 4.74 10.81
9018510 9018588 9018730 9018730 9018730 9018730 9018735 9018849 9018955	58.12 3.32 378.71 2.21 2.74 4.74 10.81
9018588 9 9018588 9 9018730 9018733 9 9018849 9018955 9	3.32 378.71 2.21 2.74 4.74 10.81
9018588 \$ 9018790 9018793 9018849 \$ 9018855 \$	378.71 2.21 2.74 4.74 10.81
9018730 9018793 9018849 9018955	2.21 2.74 4.74 10.81
9018793 9018849 9018955	2.74 4.74 10.81
9018849 9018955	2.74 4.74 10.81
9018955	4.74 10.81
	10.81
9019156	
9019291	
9019302 \$	22.02
9019388 \$	
9019517 9019543 \$	574.65
9019543 \$	17.43
9019598 \$	6.32
9019745 \$	1,718.43
9022305 \$	
9022415 \$	0.92
9022653 \$ 9022677 \$	81.01
	6.08
9022678 \$ 9022857 \$	2.70
9022876	20.78
9022975 \$	4.54
9023041 \$	41.75
9023072 \$	15.87
9023129 \$	2.70
9023344 \$	81.01
9023358 \$	48.76
9023416 \$	8.61
9023487 \$	18.13
9023649 \$	18.13
9024191 \$	705.47
9024241 \$	1,192.90
9024266 \$	179.33
9024394 \$	362.43
	Page 5

	A	lloc DG5 100%
		(Highlighted
Owner Number		Amts are De
	-	Minimus)
9024705	5	0.25
9025460	5	2.66
9026124 9026345		2420
9027007	\$ \$	24.29
9027007	\$	50.34 31.52
9027732	5	3.49
9027977	\$	1,775.74
9028344	\$	22.12
9028345	\$	22.12
9028839	\$	184.03
9030494	\$	1.60
9031081	\$	169.40
9031271	Š	328.61
9031658	Ť	520.01
9032011	\$	103.48
9032901	\$	0.71
9032920	\$	21.92
9032933	\$	98.51
9033146	\$	33.68
9033678	\$	5.54
9034036	\$	33.68
9034272	\$	52.86
9034853		Mary Mary 1
9034885	\$	12.49
9036153	\$	8.54
9036201	\$	96.22
9036249	\$	45.01
9036487	\$	361.42
9036497	\$	52.22
9036976	\$	174.41
9037810	\$	5.07
9038762	\$	223.32
9038770	\$	198.55
9038881	\$	0.00
9038945	\$	1,045.17
9039233	\$	116.60
9039292	\$	6.49
9039340	\$	28.49
9039431	\$	10.36
•		Page 6

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

		Alloc DGS 100%
	- 1	(Highlighted
	- 1	Amts are De
Owner Number	_	Minimus)
9039		\$ 25.17
9039		\$ 602.51
9040		\$ 2.43
9040		\$ 6.87
9041		\$ 4.95
9041		\$ 13.70
9041	740	\$ 7.27
9041	778	\$ 9.93
9042		\$ 126.20
9042		\$ 78.35
9042		\$ 3.75
9042	506	\$ 93.20
9042	895	\$ 144.97
9042	985	\$ 576.85
9043 9043	303	\$ 20.20
9043:	311	9.26 5 5.88
9043		
9043		
		34.49
9045: 9045:		0.47 5 167.15
9045		167.15
90467		5.30
90471		
90477	725	42.71
90478		28.81
90485	597	16.92
90485	508	16.92
90485	599	16.92
90486		1,590.56
90487		
90489		
90498		
90499		5.79
90502		
90503		
90503		
90503	60 \$	187.03
90503		
90503		
		Page 7

		Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
	п	Amts are De
Owner Number	п	Minimus)
905037	6 \$	8.75
905040	8 \$	12.72
905040		64.83
905041		45.02
905041		11.26
905041		86.35
905041		11.26
905041		70.13
905049		263.04
905052		21.48
905057		25.22
905061		88.66
905064		54.61
905064		274.83
905071		4.69
905071		2.34
905072		140.10
905072		9.95
905073		4.69
905073		8.74
905081		39.87
905088		78.85
905106		228.65
905118		388.12
905121		169.64
905152		29.55
905215		3.64
905225		47.52
905255		43.44
905276		-
905284		36.16
9052999		95.21
9053024		10.86
9053090		10.86
905313 ³ 905318 ³		82.47 5.47
905318		5.47
9053608		
9053676		4.74
9054028		2.14
5034028	2	Page 8
		rage 8

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9054455	\$ 47.25
9054588	
9054683	\$ 44.25
9054720	\$ 85.21
9054722	
9054817	\$ 645.92
9055014	\$ 107.22
9055015	\$ 8.34
9055018	\$ 4.12
9055019	\$ 4.21
9055020	\$ 8.34
9055022	\$ 4.69
9055231	\$ 370.10
9055465	\$ 5.02
9055497	\$ 47.36
9055551	
9055955	\$ 15.74
9055957	\$ 15.74
9056269 9056341	\$ 4.21
9056742	5 2.99
9056846	\$ 2.99 \$ 2.35
9057049	\$ 11.50
9057741	\$ 11.50 \$ 116.66
9057815	\$ 152.92
9057823	\$ 370.10
9058056	Ç 5.0.10
9058113	
9058668	\$ 92.57
9058691	THE RESERVE
9058981	
9059710	\$ 29.39
9059712	\$ 517.54
9060296	\$ 9.98
9060465	\$ 2,021.56
9061548	\$ 2,191.06
9061699	\$ 27.90
9061700	\$ 13.70
9061701	\$ 3.76
9062023	\$ 379.33
•	Page 9

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9062065	400
9062066	\$ 2.55
9062357	\$ 14.51
9062414	\$ 20.43
9062431	\$ 1,198.89
9062444	
9062641	
9063150	\$ 19.47
9063234	\$ 5.53
9063261	\$ 9.81
9063518	\$ 197.29
9063632	\$ 10.96
9064141	
9064654	A 25.00
9065328	\$ 75.27
9065329	\$ 11.88
9065330	\$ 11.88
9065331	\$ 11.89 \$ 11.76
9065359 9065360	\$ 11.76 \$ 11.76
9065360	\$ 11.76
9065548	
9065790	\$ 2,047.89 \$ 274.36
9065873	\$ 33.24
9067091	\$ 76.01
9067343	\$ 58.13
9068244	\$ 8.46
9068434	\$ 3.88
9069336	\$ 1,204.07
9069360	\$ 707.05
9069361	\$ 20.72
9069362	\$ 31.33
9069363	\$ 31.33
9069855	\$ 33.29
9069858	\$ 1.65
9069859	\$ 1.65
9069860	\$ 2.75
9069861	\$ 1.65
9069862	\$ 2.75
9069863	\$ 1.65
	Page 10

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

	1	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	- 11	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
	200	
9069 9069		
9069		
90699	120	
9069		
90699		46.03
90699		
90699		177.60
9070		177.60
90700		
90700		
90700		
90700		6.95
90700		1.65
9070		268.80
90701		45.12
90701		50.71
90701		50.71
90705		220.67
90708		42.10
90709		42.10
90709		26.34
90709		225.82
90709		225.82
90711		20.34
90711		10.51
90713		6.22
90716		83.01
90716		55.34
90716		55.34
90716		229.02
90717		34.96
90720		2.41
90724		9.04
90725		
90728	44 \$	3.67
90731	09	
90731	10 \$	232.24
90731		3.81
90731		3.81
		Page 11

		lloc DG\$ 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9073251		8.45
9073447		5.28
9073544		0.63
9073960		2,357.76
9074304	\$	18.61
9074345	\$	14.25
9074486		
9074503		2,301.59
9074706	\$	30.14
9074739	\$	196.33
9074740		10.60
9075114	\$	0.09
9075122	\$	6.61
9075123	\$	6.61
9075124	\$	6.53
9075152		
9075214		2.60
9075243	5	2.00
9075771	\$	34.21
9075898 9075905	\$	103.51

9075907		
9075909		
9075910		
9075911		
9076014	\$	38.27
9076106	\$	19.88
9076137	\$	1.245.72
9076139	\$	177.85
9076285	\$	53.45
9076610	\$	5.15
9076613	\$	5.15
9076614	5	4.29
9076683	S	4.29
9076990		
9077004	5	3.44
9077144	\$	438.79
9077269	5	1.64
9077323	5	1.51
		Page 12

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9077415	
9077417	\$ 2.05
9077418	
9077420	
9077544	\$ 6.63
9077662	\$ 7.16
9078110	
9078111 9078222	\$ 14.71 \$ 18.62 \$ 8.50
9078222	\$ 18.62 \$ 8.50
9078228	\$ 350.31
9078228	\$ 350.31
9078230	\$ 950.08
9078233	\$ 11.73
9078234	\$ 52.27
9078235	\$ 52.27
9078236	\$ 54.35
9078238	\$ 208.96
9078239	\$ 161.79
9078240	\$ 107.86
9078241	\$ 41.08
9078242	\$ 2.20
9078243	\$ 42.21
9078244	\$ 313.61
9078245	\$ 32.49
9078246	\$ 41.08
9078248	\$ 1.94
9078249	\$ 8.25
9078251	\$ 11.73
9078252	\$ 5.50
9078255 9078258	\$ 18.84
9078258	\$ 156.80 \$ 7.85
9078267	\$ 177.27
9078268	\$ 313.61
9078271	\$ 313.61
9078272	\$ 1.10
9078275	\$ 33.29
9078276	\$ 33.29
9078277	\$ 20.44
	Page 13

	A	lloc DG5 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9078279	\$	11.24
9078280	\$	9.22
9078281	\$	8.25
9078301	\$	10.57
9078302	\$	21.15
9078338	\$	799.92
9078340	\$	118.95
9078498	\$	112.93
9078499	\$	875.20
9078514	\$	456.08
9078515	\$	129.69
9078594	\$	29.99
9078767	\$	8.57
9078785	\$	16.05
9078834	\$	8.57
9079183		
9079191	\$	5.08
9079638		
9079748	\$	23.83
9079750	\$	68.18
9079752	\$	68.18
9079831	\$	68.18
9079865	\$	7.59
9080139	\$	16.65
9080141	\$	15.10
9080143	\$	380.32
9080146	\$	17.22
9080148	\$	15.10
9080149	\$	2.68
9080151	\$	15.10
9080152	\$	678.49
9080153	\$	101.30
9080154	\$	189.11
9080155	\$	301.71
9080157	\$	134.44
9080194	\$	364.61
9080259	\$	130.66
9080448	\$	5,589.57
9080626	\$	68.18
9080627	\$	31.73
		Page 14

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

		Alloc DGS 100%
	- 1	(Highlighted
	- 1	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
90807		
90810		25.33
90811		
90811		
90815		
90819		110.87
90821		
90821		
90822		
90823		36.05
90826		
90827		
90827		
90827		
90827		
90827		
90827		
90832		21.22
90834		
90835		301.72
90836		
90837		183.70
90837		37.46
90839	11 3	27.76
90840 90840	50 5	110.68
	, S	5.76
908414		68.47
908440 90845	ر د ادر	93.41 14.62
90845		
908478		0.42 135.34
908478		2.00
908482		
908482		4.61
908547		3.84 34.63
908552		24.06
908569		24.06
908572		2.95
908573		5.67
908577		281.11
306377	, I 3	Page 15

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	_	All Dec sees
	- 1	Alloc DGS 100%
	- 1	(Highlighted
Owner Number	- 1	Amts are De
		Minimus)
9085		\$ 2.20
9085		5 2.41
9085	899	\$ 16.31 \$ 87.68
9085	929	\$ 87.68 \$ 140.25
9086	760	\$ 140.25 \$ 327.53
9086	012	\$ 327.55
9087	913	\$ 37.54 \$ 20.80
9087	132	\$ 20.80 \$ 27.58
9087	422	27.38
9087	424 C16	\$ 37.54 \$ 20.80 \$ 27.58 \$ 13.67 \$ 3.14
9087	310	5 0.96
9088		\$ 42.42
9088		\$ 42.42
9089		\$ 10.71
9089		\$ 857.76
9089		\$ 478.44
9089		\$ 10.83
9090		\$ 1,448.66
9090		2 1,440.00
9090		\$ 0.85
9092		0.03
9093		9.28
9093		
9093		1,033.20
9093		
9093		
9093		501.95
9093		
9094		
9094		05.55
9094		3.03
9094		
9094		
9094		
9094		15.70
9094		9.94
9094		
90946		
90949		
3034.		Page 16
		rage 10

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9094962	\$ 10.13
9095005	\$ 6.17
9095006	\$ 12.35
9095007	\$ 4.49
9095023	\$ 168.35
9095027	\$ 52.54
9095030	\$ 5.63
9095040	\$ 5.63 \$ 5.24
9095191	\$ 3.03
9095358	\$ 84.42
9096266	\$ 811.34
9096276	5 4.01
9096281	\$ 17.54
9096298	\$ 860.45
9096332	\$ 36.98
9096334	\$ 36.98
9096346	\$ 36.98 \$ 44.25 \$ 115.39
9096366	\$ 115.39
9096383	\$ 48.76
9096387	\$ 990.65
9096389	\$ 1,349.74
9096406	\$ 50.65
9096448	\$ 357.55
9096468	\$ 52.58
9096485	\$ 60.31
9096621	\$ 30.01
9096657	\$ 9.64
9096665	\$ 651.26
9096685	\$ 7.56
9096736	\$ 327.53
9096783	
9096977	\$ 23.62
9097208	\$ 1.21
9097346	\$ 274.19
9097405	\$ 191.93
9097528	\$ 7.61
9097562	\$ 17.16 \$ 9.28
9097567	\$ 9.28
9097635	\$ 143.32
9097866	\$ 252.14
	Page 17

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9098121	\$ 5.88
9098178	\$ 6.56
9098240	\$ 24.72
9098356	\$ 4.13
9098380	\$ 33.24
9098401	\$ 1,035.66
9098425	\$ 10.94
9098480	\$ 14.25
9098506	\$ 5.18
9098515	\$ 212.21
9098523	\$ 12.48
9098527	\$ 1,916.08
9098536	\$ 11.07 \$ 312.73
9098557	
9098606	\$ 0.96
9098682 9099294	\$ 17.52
9100161	\$ 17.15 \$ 953.18
9100659	\$ 955.18
9100539	\$ 12.48
9100722	\$ 14.48
9100883	\$ 5,537.55
9100885	\$ 1,042.75
9100897	\$ 419.12
9100901	\$ 14.48
9100905	\$ 14.48
9100907	\$ 19.31
9100914	\$ 437.10
9100937	\$ 69.43
9100942	\$ 300.86
9100946	\$ 261.76
9101071	\$ 84.63
9101114	\$ 2,780.67
9101249	\$ 2.53
9101372	\$ 10.21
9101498	\$ 55.27
9102015	\$ 1.54
9102075	\$ 7.00
9102084	
9102727	\$ 9.73
	Page 18

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	A	loc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9102728		9.74
9102729		9.74
9102730		9.73
9102731		9.73
9102732		9.73
9102733		19.47
9102857		730.95
9102859		730.95
9102860		365.48
9102862		110.46
9102865		964.76
9102867	\$	964.76
9102869		158.70
9102871	\$	733.05
9103023	\$	29.57
9103024	\$	29.46
9103078		21.52
9103502	\$	2.43
9103506	\$	6.07
9103525	\$	32.24
9103549	\$	77.38
9103832	\$	11.19
9103919	\$	20.21
9103995	\$	178.86
9103996	\$	357.72
9103998	\$	357.72
9104260	\$	37.23
9104371	\$	395.94
9104443	\$	5.31
9104805	\$	18.62
9104898	\$	321.47
9104998	\$	653.39
9105045	\$	248.82
9105048	\$ \$	12.30
9105049	\$	12.30
9105244	3	163.79
9105245	\$	4,115.07
9105246		43.84
9105247 9105248	\$	43.84
9105248	Þ	29.23 Page 19

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9105251	\$ 603.95
9105252	\$ 481.27
9105255	\$ 21.92
9105256	\$ 21.92
9105258	\$ 29.23
9105297	\$ 213.01
9105311	
9105312	\$ 65.76 \$ 350.73
9105313	\$ 46.04
. 9105315	\$ 651.26
9105316	\$ 87.68
9105333	\$ 65.76
9105335	\$ 1,270.75
9105339	\$. 36.83
9105340	\$ 36.83
9105341	\$ 36.83
9105345	\$ 36.83
9105354	\$ 44.04
9105488	\$ 2.51
9105490	\$ 0.50
9105491	\$ 0.50
9105493	\$ 0.50
9105494	\$ 0.50
9105495 9105517	\$ 0.50 \$ 691.58
9105548	
9105548	\$ 61.21 \$ 4.21
9105689	\$ 1,058.27
9105817	\$ 56.61
9105834	\$ 50.01
9105835	
9105837	\$ 238.11
9105838	\$ 238.11
9105839	\$ 238.11
9105892	\$ 238.11 \$ 16.37 \$ 16.37 \$ 18.62 \$ 163.32
9105893	\$ 16.37
9105896	\$ 18.62
9105897	\$ 163.32
9105903	\$ 22.63
9102903	
9105914	\$ 3,989.22

		lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9105935		
9105937		
9105938		
9106091	5	1.72
9106093 9106096	\$	806.01 65.70
9106097		65.70
9106098	Š	229.02
9106099	Š	229.02
9106101	Ś	3,370.88
9106102	\$	806.01
9106132	\$	447.65
9106146	*******	373.22
9106148	\$	176.99
9106226	\$	58.60
9106240	\$	13.01
9106335	\$	8.46
9106337	\$	21.67
9106340	\$	5.08
9106342	\$	22.56
9106641 9106642	\$	12.88 12.88
9106642	\$	0.62
9107106	\$	718.68
9107213	\$	14.22
9107214	\$	14.22
9107215	\$	7.11
9107216	\$	7.11
9107227	\$	28.44
9107246	\$	18.94
9107331	\$	35.93
9107332	\$	1,007.66
9107334	\$	23.83
9107630	\$	233.18
9107681	\$	206.55
9107682	\$	206.54
9107683	\$	206.55
9107684	\$	206.55
9107685 9107868	\$	206.55
910/868	>	234.82 Page 21
		rage 21

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9107935	\$	3,606.62
9107997	\$	324.97
9107998	\$	324.97
9107999	\$	324.97
9108044	\$	42.61
9108116	\$	100.92
9108117	\$	144.78
9108119		
9108120		
9108121		
9108127	\$	6.19
9108130	\$	6.19
9108232	\$	394.40
9108275 9108425	\$	68.25
9108425	5	6.31
9108431	\$	3.85 10.51
9108431	5	4.58
9108441	\$	10.51
9108442	\$	6.31
9108444	\$	6.31
9108450	\$	60.45
9108451	\$	182.27
9108453		91.13
9108454	\$	91.13
9108632	\$	151.04
9108901	\$	845.16
9108922	\$	9.49
9108942	\$	3.07
9108956	\$	0.37
9108974	\$	72.17
9108982	\$	129.74
9109063	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	109.14
9109088	\$	55.34
9109089	\$	11.83
9109117	5	6,899.74
9109167	>	9,819.07
9109168 9109170	\$	69.22 48.89
9109170	Š	205.77
9109172	P	Page 22
		rage ZZ

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Owner Number	(oc DGS 100% Highlighted Imts are De Minimus)
9109176	\$	274.42
910917	\$	310.49
9109178		307.25
9109179		460.76
9109262		1.15
9109277		1,387.69
9109278		997.40
9109280		1,387.69
9109281		4.25
9109324		39.82
9109370		131.38
9109375		6,787.41
9109465	\$	9.51
9109468	5	3.53
9109472	5	3.05
9109473 9109507	>	1.77
9109508	13	172.92
9109511		585.77 23.36
9109511	12	163.55
9109514	12	11.91
9109518	ľ	131.38
9109539		233.57
9109540		17.76
9109541		350.35
9109548		233.57
9109549		233.57
9109797		76.48
9109800		145.87
9109801	 \$	145.86
9109947	\$	102.86
9109954	5	2.82
9109955	\$	1,067.84
9109966	(A)	
9109967		
9109968		1
9109989	\$	19.62
9110061	\$	345.84
9110112	5	1.61
9110144	\$	6.43

	1	Alloc DGS 100%
	ı	(Highlighted
	ı	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9110147	\$	6.43
9110148	\$	6.43
9110149	\$	12.87
9110153	\$	533.33
9110154	\$ \$ \$	533.33
9110155	\$	533.33
9110156	\$ \$ \$	533.33
9110157	\$	356.43
9110172	\$	62.59
9110214	\$	350.56
9110407		
9110408		
9110409	le.	
9110462	\$	8.76
9110478	\$	1.11
9110480	\$	5.54
9110642	\$	138.83
9110750	\$	426.53
9110754	\$	15.81
9110760	\$	20.41
9110761	\$	13.63
9110762	\$	30.62
9110766	\$	79.73
9110767	\$	306.49
9110771	\$	21.25
9110773	\$	120.14
9110779	\$	10.51
9110780	\$	10.51
9110906	\$	22.53
9110907	\$	31.01
9110908	\$	32.00
9110910	\$	18.21
9110911	\$	4.93
9110912	\$	18.21
9110914	\$	12.70
9110991	\$	175.61
9110992	\$	46.56
9110994	\$	46.56
9111002	5	2.84
9111003	5	2.84
		Page 24

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9111006	\$ 8.51
9111008	\$ 2.84
9111194	\$ 5.19
9111198	\$ 10.37
9111199	\$ 10.37
9111260	\$ 10.37 \$ 8.56
9111332	\$ 138.83
9111381	\$ 6.78
9111403	\$ 3.48
9111522	\$ 8.49 \$ 466.53
9111644	\$ 466.53
9111674	\$ 118.83
9111706	\$ 189.03
9111707	\$ 176.76
9111708	\$ 189.46
9111709	\$ 169.86
9111710	\$ 191.19
9111711	\$ 189.03
9111714	\$ 1,016.50
9111717	\$ 174.21
9111726 9111727	\$ 7.45
9111/2/	\$ 55.36 \$ 55.36
9111731	\$ 955.49
9111734	\$ 298.99
9111750	\$ 38.68
9111788	\$ 6.43
9111833	\$ 15.86
9111836	\$ 20.00
9111860	\$ 176.76 \$ 189.46 \$ 169.86 \$ 191.19 \$ 189.03 \$ 1,016.50 \$ 174.21 \$ 7.45 \$ 55.36 \$ 955.49 \$ 298.99 \$ 38.68 \$ 6.43 \$ 15.86 \$ 20.00 \$ 365.71 \$ 6.80 \$ 6.80 \$ 6.80
9111891	\$ 6.80
9111892	\$ 6.80
9111893	\$ 6.80
9111961	\$ 174.48
9112005	\$ 174.48
9112161	\$ 1.96
9112163	\$ 174.48
9112286	\$ 29.43
9112472	\$ 2.98
9112475	\$ 67.68
	Page 25

Owner Number	A	lloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9112597	Н	
9112603		
9112619	ı	
9112688	\$	169.85
9112692	ş	133.99
9112693	š	133.99
9112694	š	101.30
9112733	5	3.23
9112738	\$	5.46
9112819	\$	10.05
9113044	\$	48.62
9113045	\$	48.62
9113050	š.	89.72
9113170	\$	263.08
9113187	\$	69.51
9113193	Š	5.07
9113195	\$	31.58
9113202	\$	5.07
9113220	\$	24.61
9113224	\$	3.48
9113226	\$	5.07
9113417	\$	33.36
9113430	5	4.09
9113470	\$	12.16
9113759	\$	196.89
9113771	5	3.78
9113884	\$	845.16
9114026	5	3.70
9114147	\$	226.18
9114152	\$	53.21
9114203	\$	65.76
9114253	\$	141.32
9114278	\$	141.32
9114279	\$	295.10
9114280	\$	65.35
9114285	\$	295.10
9114287	\$	211.99
9114444	\$	82.30
9114620	\$	1,268.59
9114621	\$	1,268.59
•		Page 26

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

	Alle	oc DGS 100%
	(1	lighlighted
	A	mts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9114623	\$	1,268.59
9114627		1,533.83
9114642		104.73
9114657		100.57
9114703	\$	60.41
9114768	\$	543.43
9114770		35.06
9114773		16.64
9114787		312.60
9114788		17.15
9114789		38.50
9114790		38,50
9114791	\$	33.62
9114845	\$	1.73
9114865		
9114867	13	
9114868	120	
9114869		
9114886	\$	4.99
9114887	\$	9.98
9114888	\$	9.98
9114890	\$	9.98
9114892	\$	19.97
9114893	\$ \$	9.99
9115014	\$	47.32
9115017	\$	116.66
9115019	\$	47.32
9115023	\$	47.32
9115025	\$	51.80
9115061	\$	3.87
9115066	\$	22.72
9115137	\$	102.34
9115207	\$	24.25
9115386	\$	17.37
9115420	\$	9.42
9115428	\$	28.10
9115602	\$	30.92
9115626	\$	30.92
9115684	\$	11.51
9115794	\$	55.11 Page 27

Owner Number	A	lloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9115827	5	0.31
9115834	\$	98.99
9115868	\$	210.83
9115882		
9115889	\$	126.98
9115891	\$	229.64
9115893	\$	229.64
9116006	\$	2.19
9116084	\$	126.44
9116129	\$	25.47
9116191	\$	34.29
9116193	\$	110.65
9116198	\$	17.35
9116251		
9116267	\$	45.69
9116315	\$	284.76
9116317	\$	73.94
9116319	\$	558.21
9116322	\$	15.17
9116325	\$	24.95
9116327	5	2.97
9116331	\$	37.24
9116335	\$	6.93
9116338	\$	7.92
9116339	\$	49.25
9116341	\$	64.15
9116357	\$	14.26
9116540	\$	23.37
9116560	\$	6.52
9116562	\$	8.92
9116565	\$	34.03
9116972	\$	177.03
9117078	\$	0.44
9117179	\$	20.67
9117180	\$	7.75
9117181	\$	7.75
9117185	\$	228.17
9117190	\$	3.70
9117192	5	2.95
9117194	\$	2.95
		Page 28

		lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9117394		
9117395		
9117424		
9117480	\$	669.91
9117498	\$	5.07
9117836	\$	91.85
9117839	\$ \$ \$ \$	167.61
9117847	\$	121.90
9117852	5	808.31
9117861	\$	4,494.80
9117883	\$	19.07
9117884	_	
9117995 9118008	\$	10.98
9118008	\$	32.14 289.95
9118054	\$	107.45
9118060	3	380.13
9118061	\$ \$ \$	439.48
9118063	2	72.46
9118067	2	3.98
9118165	\$	6.74
9118166	\$	6.74
9118167	\$	6.74
9118272	c	4.79
9118280	\$	19.91
9118337	Š	10.41
9118406	Š	20.21
9118438	Š	78.02
9118455	\$ \$ \$	43.86
9118495	\$	6.52
9118499	\$	215.63
9118523	\$	26.93
9118528	5	4.21
9118532	\$	2.11
9118540	\$	15.21
9118543	\$	19.70
9118545	\$	6.11
9118548	5	2.11
9118554	\$	18.52
9118555	\$	9.26
•		Page 29

	Alloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9118586	
9118586	\$ 17.57 \$ 5.26
9118701	\$ 145.87
9118744	\$ 145.87
9118748	\$ 309.48
9118750	\$ 219.15
9118761	\$ 300.93
9118775	\$ 10.61
9118776	I
9118779	\$ 6.85
9118780	\$ 90.81
9118781	\$ 90.81 \$ 61.04
9118782	\$ 57.94
9118783	\$ 57.94 \$ 544.40
9118784	\$ 157.18
9118804	\$ 4.79
9118859	\$ 16.07
9118926	\$ 70.67
9118928	\$ 70.67
9118929	\$ 70.67 \$ 40.63
9118988	\$ 40.63
9118991	\$ 87.24
9118994	\$ 174.48 \$ 174.48
9118995	\$ 174.48
9119136	\$ 18.73
9119138	\$ 18.73
9119156	\$ 23.25
9119183	\$ 37.46 \$ 620.97
9119187	\$ 620.97
9119196	\$ 2,677.24
9119324	\$ 339.65
9121559	\$ 1,887.70
9121566	\$ 49.38
9122049	\$ 323.75
9122423	\$ 58.69
9122443	\$ 10.25
9122917	\$ 0.31
9122997	\$ 18.54
9123744	\$ 45.91
9124109	\$ 6.01
	Page 30

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	-	Amts are De
Owner Number	-	Minimus)
91243	23	
91243	,	
91255		
91256		
91267		5,50
91270		11.23
91308		
91314		
91315		
91315		89.88
91322		177.10
91327	37 5	43.79
91331	71	
91333	96	
91341		
91342		
91342		
91342		2.00
91344		
91344		
91346:		
91347		22.34
913480	100	40477
913490		
913503 913504		
913521		
913550		
913555		
913563		200.03
913564		
913566		
913568		107.72
913568		
913568		
913570		ADS NOTES
913571		F S S S S
913573	0	
913573		Maria Company
913573	4	

Page	31

Owner Number	-	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
913573.	5	
913576	1	
913579		
913579		20.68
913581		
913583		
9135850		22.56
913585		22.56
913586		28.25
9135874		
913589:		7.50
9135896		1,470.73
9136113		
9136240		
9136296		
9136345		467.44
9136448		167.41
9136470 9136473		
9136473		
9136603		3.24
9136616		0.13
9136617		0.13
9136721		842.34
9136749		0.13
9136773		0.13
9136813		0.96
9137026		0.50
9137459		
9137503		
9137585		
9137760		
9137977		
9138117	\$	32.90
9138696	s	1,943.80
9138722	5	0.13
9138912	\$	391.81
9139040	\$	177.29
9139041	\$	1.10
9139047	\$	17.17
		Page 32

	(1	oc DGS 100% lighlighted mts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9139895		
9139991	\$	132.39
9140174	\$	122.30
9140175	\$	32.93
9140180		
9140193	\$	12.09
9141468	\$	67.17
9141472	\$	942.75
9141476	\$	36.77
9141490	\$	57.24
9141502	\$	75.02
9141522	\$ \$ \$ \$	6.62
9141531	\$	1.10
9141591 9141593	\$	357.40
9141593	\$	87.07 181.99
9141611	\$	4.57
9141640	\$	7.34
9141646	Ť	7,54
9141662		
9141666		
9141667		
9141737	\$	21.75
9141846	\$	87.95
9141878		
9141906		
9141967		
9141970		
9141974	\$	5.59
9142095	\$	10.88
9142097		
9142103	5	3.80
9142130	\$	5.07
9142223	Ļ	
9142299	\$	39.43
9142305		
9142332		
9142346 9142400		E 422 C4
9142400	\$	5,133.64
9142417	Þ	342.12 Page 33

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9142588		
9142665	\$	13.94
9142693		
9142695		
9142805		
9142806		-
9142817		
9142924		
9142941	\$	87.94
9142994	\$	1,177.53
9144819		2.72
9144935	\$	2.73
9144972 9145155	\$	22.56 96.45
9145155		0.55
9145347	\$	0.55
9145389	\$	0.06
9145541	3	0.06
9145716	5	2.00
9145732	\$	6.16
9145745	\$	27.31
9145978	Š	358.81
9146162	Ť	550.01
9146188	5	2.73
9146199	s	8.41
9146324		
9146397	\$	30.18
9146578	s	6.06
9146965		17
9146966		
9146967		- 11
9146968		
9146971		
9147005	\$	24.41
9147185		1
9147186		1000
9147258		
9147262		
9147394		
9147395		
		Page 34

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Owner Number		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
Owner Number	206	winimus)
9147 9147		
9147		
9147		\$ 15.59
9147		\$ 15.59
9147	420	
9147	534	
9147	578	
9147		\$ 1,405.01
9147		\$ 45.60
9147		\$ 572.07
9147 9147	591	\$ 467.52 \$ 70.59
9147		\$ 160.06
9147	668	\$ 22.29
9147		5 2.42
9147		\$ 420.83
9147		\$ 212.30
9147	790	\$ 18.84
9148		\$ 2.20
9148		\$ 175.76
9148		\$ 84.86
9148		\$ 28.10
91480		\$ 13.49
91486 9148		
91492		
91492		\$ 10.74
91492		\$ 46.10
91493		
91493	321	
91493	387	
91493	91	\$ 1.17
91493		
91493		\$ 32.93
91493		
91494		
91494 91494		
91494		32.38 36.39
91494	John !	Page 35

		loc DGS 100% Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number	1	Minimus)
9149436		William 1027
914943		
914944		
9149482		6.62
9149487	_	5.02
9149488		
9149489		
9149515		
9149516		
9149521		
9149522		
9149553	5	3.40
9150574		419.42
9151313		22.71
9151325		146.48
9151662		51.66
9151690		
9151691		
9151692		
9151757		
9151793	\$	39.13
9151815		
9151844		
9151845		
9151846		
9151847	\$	90.94
9152220	\$	16.11
9152476	\$	5.16
9152479	\$	58.94
9152840	\$	2,861.08
9153734	\$	724.57
9153811		
9153813		
9153815		
9153940	\$	111.27
9153988		
9153991		
9154040		
9154046	\$	31.78
9154128		Page 36

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9154179		
9154262		32.88
9154264		32.88
9154265		32.88
9154266		32.88
9154267	\$	32.88
9154273		
9154313		3.39
9154337		28.85
9154391	\$	105.99
9154392	\$	115.28
9154455		
9154544	\$	86.35
9154547	Ų.	
9154713	\$	135.78
9154972		
9154983		
9155035	_	40.00
9155189	\$	13.93
9156392 9156434	\$	47.03
9156493	s	284.40
9156493	>	284.40
9159068	\$	1.58
9159124	>	1.58
9159143		4.79
9159373	\$	2.31
9159480	5	2,480.20
9159490	\$	66.28
9159491	Š	37.84
9159572	Ť	37.04
9159688		
9159720	\$	15.59
9159853		49.32
9159854	\$	16.44
9159855	\$	16.44
9159856	\$	16.44
9159922		-
9159985	\$	28.65
9160003	\$	461.43
		Page 37

Owner Number		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)	
916000	4		
916002	0		
916003		11.69	
916008			
916008	_		
916009		413.68	
916014		10.77	
916026		1.27	
916026		1.27	
916035		124.08	
916037 916037		565,08	
916057		42.72	
916057		68.45	
916058		68.45	
916058		0.09	
916058		34.27	
916067		28.85	
916069		271.91	
916073			
916080		12.05	
916090		2,839.95	
916103		450.32	
916118	3		
916129	2	5 7 7 7	
916133	o		
916133	1 \$	359.76	
916134		47.03	
916138		395.92	
916138		544.59	
9161390		5.75	
9161490		34.22	
916150		100	
9161500			
9161550		1,230.42	
916169:		1,009.17	
9161793		20.00	
9161795		80.28	
9161906 9161937		138.90	
9161937		Page 38	

Owner Number

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

5.23

98.64 765.16

26.75 2,867.33 87.24 34.36 34.36 Page 20

Page 39

Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)

9162666 9162742 \$ 9162750 \$ 9162847 \$ 9163004 \$ 9163006 \$

Exhibit B

	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9163008	\$ 156.40
9163073	\$ 80.56
9163082	\$ 34.61 \$ 17.31
9163085	
9163088	\$ 0.15 \$ 49.19 \$ 14.38 \$ 5.54 \$ 5.54 \$ 30.36 \$ 23.89
9163378	\$ 49.19
9163396	\$ 14.38
9163476	\$ 5.54
9163477	\$ 5.54
9163479	\$ 30.36
9163486	
9163546 9163547	\$ 7.84
9163547	
9163548	
9163552	\$ 51.07
9163553	\$ 51.07 \$ 51.07
9163554	
9163556	\$ 15.71
9163557	\$ 233.03
9163558	\$ 18.41
9163559	\$ 233.03
9163560	\$ 51.07 \$ 15.71 \$ 233.03 \$ 18.41 \$ 233.03 \$ 3.06
9163569	\$ 74.27
9163577	\$ 32.14
9163578	
9163592	\$ 15.71
9163607	\$ 30.37
9163622	
9163657	\$ 3.05
9163693	\$ 295.10
9163881	\$ 84.42
9163997	
9164022	\$ 14.38
9164137	\$ 25.53
9164140	\$ 25.53
9164150	\$ 16.81
9164155	\$ 7.57
9164188	\$ 4.02
9164218	\$ 17.35
	Page 40

	Al	loc DG\$ 100%
	(Highlighted
	1	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9164226	\$	148.18
9164228	5	4.02
9164230	\$	89.52
9164262	\$ \$	26.58
9164268	\$	26.58
9164272	\$	71.55
9164279	\$	71.55
9164282	\$	71.55
9164296	\$	16.40
9164310	\$	98.41
9164337	\$	73.07
9164340	\$	41.27
9164341	\$	8.99
9164342	\$	8.25
9164344	\$	120.14
9164345	\$	120.14
9164348	\$	20.33
9164350	\$	4.50
9164353	\$	9.65
9164354	\$	28.95
9164356	\$	38.60
9164357 9164370	\$	7.72
9164370	\$	82.43 313.30
9164688	\$	120.14
9164704	\$	0.37
9165134	\$	3.33
9165244	\$	151.46
9165299	\$	330.04
9165300	\$	77.35
9165314	\$	73.99
9165315	Š	73.99
9165385	\$	60.07
9165386	Š	27.01
9165432	\$	618.35
9165509	\$	5.54
9165511	\$	5.54
9165519	\$	107.70
9165521	\$	107.70
9165523	\$	107.70
		Page 41

	Alloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9165528	\$ 7.25
9165551	\$ 103.31
9165628	\$ 49.22
9165630	\$ 49.22
9165691	\$ 2.44
9165805	\$ 140.49
9165877	\$ 0.86
9165885	\$ 0.81 \$ 0.56
9165887	\$ 0.56
9165888	\$ 1.04
9165889	\$ 0.76 \$ 1.48
9165902	\$ 1.48
9165903	\$ 0.56 \$ 0.11 \$ 4.23 \$ 0.86
9165904	\$ 0.11
9165909	\$ 4.23
9165933	
9165939	\$ 0.86
9165940	\$ 0.64
9165946	\$ 1.07
9165947	\$ 0.74
9165949	\$ 151.65
9165977	\$ 219.32
9165980	\$ 21.93
9165981	\$ 21.93
9165982	\$ 43.86
9166122	\$ 183.11
9166187	\$ 4.02
9166207	\$ 10.56
9166210	\$ 10.56
9166263	\$ 4.06
9166385	\$ 64.15
9166387	\$ 54.98
9166389	\$ 54.98
9166390	\$ 54.98 \$ 102.66
9166392	\$ 102.66
9166393	5 3.78
9166394	\$ 4.54
9166404	\$ 3.65
9166405	\$ 10.38
9166406	\$ 3.65
	Page 42

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Owner Number	1	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9166		7.27
9166	440 \$	165.86
9166	674 \$	277.08
9166		4.02
9166		38.36
91669		30.92
91670		29.95
91672		0.81
91672		0.81
91672		6.32
91674		1.99
91674		1.99
91674	406 \$	1.50
91674		169.89
91674		59.98
91674		14.09
91674	416 5	4.69
91674	417 5	4.69
91675	522 \$	613.12
91675	5	8.98
91675 91675	5 600	8.98
91675		13.26 47.32
91675	74 3	47.32
91675		12.27
91675	80 \$	36.80
91675		40.33
91675	05 6	40.33
91677		5.27
91677		88.66
91677		45.80
91677		1,651.79
91678		16.28
91678		16.28
91679		92.09
91679		32.03
91679		
91679		12.80
91679		4.27
91680		22.21
		Page 43

(A	oc DGS 100% Highlighted mts are De Minimus)	
9166408 \$	7.27	
9166440 \$	165.86	
9166674 \$	277.08	
9166746 \$	4.02	
9166774 \$	38.36	
9166966 \$	30.92	
9167071 \$	29.95	
9167201 \$	0.81	
9167202 \$	0.81	
9167275 \$	6.32	
9167404 \$	1.99	
9167405 \$	1.99	
9167406 \$	1.50	
9167408 \$	169.89	
9167410 \$	59.98	
9167415 \$	14.09	
9167416 \$	4.69	
9167417 \$	4.69	
9167522 \$	613.12	
9167564 \$	8.98	
9167565 \$	8.98	
9167566 \$	13.26	
9167572 \$	47.32	
9167578 \$	49.06	
9167579 \$	12.27	
9167580 \$	36.80	
9167582 \$	40.33	
9167585 \$	40.33	
9167785 \$	5.27	
9167787 \$	88.66	
9167788 \$	45.80	
9167790 \$	1,651.79	
9167800 \$	16.28	
9167805 \$	16.28	
9167973 \$	92.09	
9167983		
9167985		
9167991 \$	12.80	
9167999 \$	4.27	
9168003 \$	22.21	
	Page 43	•

	Alloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9168004	\$ 6.24
9168005	\$ 6.24
9168009	\$ 21.46
9168010	\$ 24.29
9168011	\$ 24.29 \$ 34.56
9168020	\$ 34.56
9168021	\$ 34.56 \$ 34.56 \$ 49.50 \$ 55.82
9168022	\$ 34.56
9168023	\$ 49.50
9168024	\$ 55.82
9168026	\$ 15.50
9168034	\$ 24.82
9168037	\$ 343.31
9168039	\$ 89.44
9168053	\$ 314.24
9168105	
9168153	\$ 2.88
9168156	\$ 32.42
9168185 9168334	\$ 10.34
9168552	\$ 24.31 \$ 13.87
9168553	\$ 13.87
9168554	\$ 13.87
9168578	\$ 101.26
9168644	\$ 4,004.25
9168673	\$ 329.81
9168790	\$ 364.89
9168794	
9168798	\$ 143.23 \$ 328.85
9168799	\$ 382.57
9168813	\$ 382.57 \$ 102.31
9168879	
9168902	\$ 157.14
9168905	\$ 81.21 \$ 157.14 \$ 370.10
9168964	\$ 122.68 \$ 46.04
9169094	\$ 46.04
9169191	\$ 16.19
9169358	\$ 0.09
9169364	\$ 26.61
9169369	\$ 431.31
	Page 44

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9169372	\$ 7.21
9169393	\$ 6.60
9169425	\$ 67.57
9169469	5 1.12
9169664	\$ 565.21
9169666	\$ 262.82
9169668	\$ 52.68
9169670	\$ 20.85
9169672	\$ 25.94
9169679	\$ 25.94
9169680	\$ 25.94
9169681	\$ 25.94
9169682	\$ 25.94
9169683	\$ 25.94
9169685	\$ 25.94
9169688	\$ 12.97
9169689	\$ 633.94
9169690	\$ 12.97
9169691	\$ 6.48
9169692	\$ 6.48
9169693	\$ 12.97
9169696	\$ 835.36 \$ 835.36
9169697	\$ 835.36
9169699	\$ 659.61
9169701	\$ 12.97
9169856	\$ 66.13 \$ 66.13
9169857	\$ 66.13
9169888	\$ 87.85 \$ 105.95
9169894	\$ 105.95
9169896	\$ 10.15
9169899	\$ 10.15 \$ 109.65 \$ 109.65 \$ 89.39
9169903	\$ 109.65
9169904	\$ 89.39
9169906	\$ 4.88 \$ 109.65 \$ 5.65 \$ 715.28
9169907	\$ 109.65
9169909	\$ 5.65
9169912	\$ 715.28
9169913	\$ 89.39
9169914	\$ 5.86
9169915	\$ 36.55
	Page 45

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9170038	\$ 9.38
9170039	\$ 9.38
9170164	\$ 393.55
9170165	\$ 781.05
9170374	\$ 4.71
9170416	\$ 25.94
9170449	
9170499	\$ 13.56
9170514	\$ 216.21
9170516	\$ 12.98
9170517	\$ 2.17 \$ 4.68
9170518 9170519	
9170519	\$ 26.46 \$ 7.92
9170522	\$ 16.22
9170523	\$ 4.68
9170526	
9170541	\$ 20.11 \$ 17.18
9170551	\$ 17.18
9170619	\$ 17.18 \$ 13.65
9170622	\$ 9.20
9170623	\$ 7.81
9170624	\$ 7.81 \$ 8.77
9170626	
9170629	\$ 2.30 \$ 2.30
9170690	\$ 13.65
9170824	\$ 14.62
9170850	\$ 25.83
9170854	\$ 3.25
9171054	\$ 14.64
9171055	\$ 12.27
9171056	\$ 12.27
9171069	\$ 9.51
9171070	\$ 9.51
9171072	\$ 3.07
9171183	\$ 6.32
9171188	\$ 25.11
9171192	\$ 3.39
9171193	\$ 9.81
9171211	\$ 6.04
	Page 46

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	(Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9171247 \$	139.96
9171271 \$	33.67
9171319 \$	0.85
9171320 \$	3.81
9171321 \$	24.97
9171322 \$	24.97
9171341 \$	70.35
9171342 \$	6.16
9171344 \$	381.92
9171348 \$	325.63
9171341 \$ 9171342 \$ 9171343 \$ 9171348 \$ 9171353 \$ 9171564 \$ 9171565 \$ 9171565 \$ 9171577 \$ 9171650 \$ 9171681 \$	11.95
9171353 \$	13.75
9171564 \$	22.51
9171565 \$	21.60
9171566 \$	16.01
9171572 \$	63.35
9171577 \$	6.03
9171650 \$	6.40
9171681 \$	232.78
9171684 \$ 9171685 \$	14.55
9171685 \$	14.55
9171686 \$	14.55
9171688 \$ 9171691 \$ 9171706 \$ 9171707 \$ 9172025 \$ 9172025 \$	145.22
9171691 \$	87.29
9171692 \$	15.46
9171706 \$	10.21
9171732 \$	6.40
9172025 \$	408.47
9172115 \$	19.55
9172121 \$	24.97
9172122 \$	24.97
9172171 \$	14.95
9172173 \$	7.71
9172174 \$	3.31
9172175 \$	5.18
9172177 \$	12.52
9172211 \$	143.23
9172274 \$ 9172290 \$	37.65
9172290 \$ 9172435 \$	235.60
91/2435 5	4.68 Page 47
	Page 47

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	- 1	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
917	2447	\$ 10.67
917	2448	\$ 1.60
917	2512	\$ 23.16
	2564	\$ 29.45
	2615	\$ 2.93
	2617	\$ 29.73
	2619	\$ 130.37
	2771	\$ 11.94
	2774	\$ 11.94
	2775	\$ 11.94
		\$ 3,156.55
		\$ 10.15
	2825	
	2826	
		\$ 39.83
		\$ 11.85
		\$ 18.43
	2883	
	2884	
		\$ 2.19
		\$ 6.19
		\$ 6.19 \$ 3.38
		\$ 3.38 \$ 31.24
		\$ 0.99
	3189	\$ 0.99
		\$ 114.11
		\$ 121.56
	3220	\$ 19.72
	3224	\$ 0.48
		\$ 0.94
	3229	\$ 3.08
		\$ 71.64
		\$ 29.57
	3328	20.01
	3329	HE ELECTION
	3331	1
917		De la Contraction de la Contra
		\$ 5.80
917		\$ 21.40
		Page 48

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9173626	
9173627	
9173628	
9173629	
9173630	
9173631	\$ 3.80
9173642	\$ 1.85
9173647	\$ 34.86
9173660	\$ 171.73
9173694	\$ 15.57
9173711	\$ 19.90
9173799	\$ 3.40
9173800	\$ 186.49
9173801	\$ 2.27
9173856 9173857	\$ 333.02 \$ 102.95
9173858	\$ 102.95 \$ 16.05
9173861	\$ 16.05
9174206	\$ 17.52
9174226	\$ 227.89
9174227	\$ 61.81
9174273	\$ 4.16
9174317	\$ 1.58
9174346	\$ 185.87
9174347	\$ 185.87
9174468	\$ 333.02
9174474	\$ 50.20
9174476	\$ 57.22
9174477	\$ 25.10
9174481	\$ 12.54
9174484	\$ 4.93
9174486	\$ 0.61
9174488	\$ 1.84
9174490	\$ 333.02 \$ 50.20 \$ 57.22 \$ 25.10 \$ 12.54 \$ 0.61 \$ 0.61 \$ 2.45 \$ 2.45 \$ 2.50 \$ 4.67 \$ 2.93
9174491	\$ 2.45
9174493	\$ 2.50
9174551	\$ 4.67
9174590	\$ 2.93
9174592	\$ 2.93
9174593	\$ 2.94
	Page 49

	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9174594	
9174596	
9174597	\$ 2.93
9174615	
9174616	\$ 1.50
9174617	\$ 6.03
9174620	
9174621	\$ 2.83
9174623 9174627	\$ 49.19 \$ 2.83
9174633 9174635	\$ 175.24 \$ 51.90
9174635	\$ 51.90
9174811	\$ 1.06
9174811	Marie Control
9174812	\$ 1.95
9174845	\$ 8.00
9174921	\$ 3.54
9174962	\$ 30.22
9174965	\$ 2.88
9175185	\$ 5.33
9175187	\$ 5.33
9175281	\$ 79.81
9175282	\$ 52.96
9175283	\$ 52.96
9175284	\$ 123.82
9175286	\$ 123.82
9175288	\$ 123.82
9175290	\$ 371.45
9175357	\$ 13.95
9175359	\$ 6.97
9175402	\$ 16.92
9175469	\$ 52.96 \$ 123.82 \$ 123.82 \$ 123.82 \$ 371.45 \$ 13.95 \$ 6.97 \$ 16.92 \$ 198.58 \$ 21.47 \$ 18.02
9175640	\$ 21.47
9175641	\$ 18.02
9175787	\$ 5.07
9175904	\$ 14.00
9175927	
9175943	\$ 10.57
9175944	\$ 2.05
	Page 50

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Owner Number	(I A	oc DGS 100% Highlighted mts are De Minimus)
9175965		261.22
9176012	\$	52.87
9176044		591.29
9176077		49.08
9176110		
9176182		
9176188		4.28
9176328		
9176329		
9176330	\$	1.20
9176331		
9176332		
9176333	150	
9176334	^	22.00
9176410 9176465	\$	23.08
9176616	c	35.32
9176627	\$	8.83
9176629	\$	1.47
9176630	Š	4.41
9176760	3	4.44
9176761		
9176762	3	
9176764	100	
9176831	\$	160.75
9177215	\$	3,969.71
9177217	\$	10.58
9177218	\$	10.58
9177264	\$	29.32
9177303	\$	8.76
9177397	5	1.65
9177398	\$	1.65
9177526	\$	1.17
9177527	5	1.17
9177547	5 5 5 5 5 5	4.29
9177562	\$	2.30
9177564	\$	16.71
9177566	\$	12.54
9177568	\$	16.73
9177741	\$	6.35

		Alloc DGS 100%
	-	(Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	-	Minimus)
91777	45	
91777		
91777		
91777		5.39
91777		5.39
91778		
91779		
91779		0.96
91779		
91780		
91783		
91783		
91783	74 5	35.76
91783		
91783	86	24.24
91783	37 \$	48.48
91783		
91784		20.49
91784		
91784		11.15
91784		
91784		6.05
91784:		2.98
91784:		
917842		
91784		25.77
91784		
917846		3.71
917847		7.49
917853 917853		9.20
91785		350.73
917870	-	0.16 0.16
917871		32.14
917878		8.26
917878		8.26
917878		8.26
917878		8.26
917879		810.89
917884		7.00
51.00	-1 4	Page 52

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9178993	\$ 21.15
9179031	\$ 4.50
9179032	\$ 4.50
9179054	\$ 30.81
9179131	\$ 4.88
9179146	\$ 3.30
9179149	\$ 16.27
9179151	\$ 37.82
9179161	\$ 17.94
9179169	\$ 16.19
9179170	\$ 8.65
9179171	\$ 16.19
9179259	\$ 3.61
9179343	\$ 14.93
9179456	\$ 32.88
9179457	\$ 32.88
9179458	\$ 10.96
9179459	\$ 10.96
9179460	\$ 10.96
9179462	\$ 8.21
9179464	\$ 8.22
9179467	\$ 8.21
9179468	\$ 8.22
9179582	\$ 0.44
9179584	\$ 4.71
9179607	\$ 29.51
9179655	\$ 3.70
9179730	\$ 17.44
9179732	\$ 14.64
9179736	\$ 142.87 \$ 23.25 \$ 78.57 \$ 23.25 \$ 23.25 \$ 67.34
9179737	\$ 23.25
9179738	\$ 78.57
9179741	\$ 23.25
9179742	\$ 23.25
9179745 9179747	\$ 67.34
91/9/4/	\$ 23.25 \$ 17.44
9179748	
9179760	
9179821	
9179822	\$ 462.38 Page 53
	rage 53

	Al	loc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	1	mts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9179832		14.39
9179867		120.14
9179905		10.32
9179965		17.52
9179986		5.97
9180024		
9180025		
9180026		- 39
9180027		5.05
9180077	\$	6.06
9180186 9180187	\$	2.49
9180187		2.49 89.52
9180190	\$	89.52 89.52
9180191	\$	44.76
9180231	Š	289.81
9180285	Ť	205.01
9180406	\$	596.45
9180473	\$	43.24
9180580	5	3.07
9180637	\$	64.95
9180638	\$	64.95
9180639	\$	33.42
9180640	\$	33.42
9180641	\$	33.42
9180647	\$	248.81
9180649	\$	8.40
9180650	\$	7.18
9180651	\$	4.79
9180713	\$ \$	63.49
9180714	\$	47.03
9180716	\$	20.16
9180722	\$	5.97
9180723	\$	5.97
9180724	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	5.97
9180731 9180856	\$	253.78
9180856 9180910	2	193.84
9180910	\$	4.74 10.53
9180922	\$	10.53
3180324	7	Page 54
		· age 34

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

		Alloc DGS 100%	
	- 1	(Highlighted	
	- 1	Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)	
9180		\$ 10.53	
9180	929	\$ 10.53	
9180	930	\$ 10.53	
9180	932	\$ 10.53	
9181	011	\$ 437.53	
9181	012	\$ 437.53 \$ 656.30	
9181	013	\$ 364.61	
9181	015	\$ 121.54	
9181		\$ 25.40	
9181	060	\$ 25.40	
9181		\$ 25.40	
9181	179	\$ 156.33	
9181	192	\$ 2,030.67	
9181	210	\$ 21.47	
9181	226	\$ 9.11	
9181	450	\$ 5.33	
91814	452	\$ 5.33	
91814	453	\$ 4.27	
9181	454	\$ 5.33	
91814	455	\$ 1.00	
91814	456	\$ 1.00	
91814	457	\$ 4.00	
91814	459	9.11	
91814	460	5 1.60	
91814	462	\$ 13.66	
91814	482	5 0.07	
91814	183	0.07	
91815		0.01	
91815	518	0.01	
91819	523	0.10	
91815			
91815			
91815	34	0.08	
91816	15	4.15	
91816	16 5	1,861.83	
91816	17 5	82.97	
91816		125.69	
91816			
91816	73 5	21.50	
91816	74 \$	35.84	
		Page 55	

	1	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	п	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
918167		26.88
918167		5.06
918170		5.06
918170		5.06
918170		3.04
918173		25.75
918176		2.34
918179		0.68
918180		716.48
9181832		17.19
918183		30.36
9181834	\$	56.44
9181835	\$	7.54
9181843		6.07
9181859		30.36
9181864	\$	13.49
9181942		
9181949		0.01
9181946		
9181951		
9181955		
9181980		
9181981		
9181982		
9181983		
9181984 9181985		
9181986		31,77
9181996		1.99
9181997	\$	3.55
9182000		6.58
9182001	Š	37.17
9182006	\$	244.81
9182009	š	32.21
9182012	\$	0.86
9182013	5	0.82
9182021	5	1.04
9182022	\$	0.62
9182026	\$	4.43
9182028	\$	0.69
		Page 56

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9182029	\$ 1.47
9182031	\$ 0.66
9182032	\$ 0.62
9182035	\$ 15.45
9182038	\$ 0.88
9182040 9182042	\$ 0.97 \$ 6.02
9182042	\$ 6.02 \$ 10.51
9182043	\$ 10.51
9182086	5 2.82
9182119	\$ 0.81
9182120	\$ 59.11
9182181	5 4.51
9182188	\$ 11.99
9182192	\$ 7.72
9182207	\$ 273.48
9182262	\$ 2,001.21
9182315	
9182363	
9182407	\$ 176.36
9182416	\$ 84.48
9182475	\$ 27.22 \$ 27.22
9182476	\$ 27.22
9182477 9182479	\$ 27.22 \$ 59.48
9182479 9182485	\$ 59.48 \$ 27.51
9182485	\$ 27.51
9182488	\$ 27.51 \$ 18.16 \$ 547.54 \$ 548.82 \$ 347.70 \$ 3.81
9182489	\$ 548.82
9182513	\$ 347.70
9182514	\$ 3.81
9182515	\$ 393.39
9182608	\$ 34.50
9182642	\$ 4.26
9182651	\$ 13.79
9182654	\$ 2.97
9182890	\$ 13.66
9182905	\$ 12.59
9182906	\$ 43.02
9182907	\$ 2.07
	Page 57

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9182910	\$ 21.23
9182911	\$ 21.00
9182912	\$ 12.67
9182913	\$ 12.67
9182983	
9183031	\$ 533.55
9183032	\$ 604.19
9183033	\$ 893.27
9183034	\$ 656.71
9183035	\$ 395.49
9183041	
9183042	
9183046	
9183047	
9183051	
9183052	
9183053	
9183054	
9183057	
9183059	
9183061	
9183062	1
9183072	\$ 3.80
9183073	\$ 3.80 \$ 3.80
9183074	\$ 3.80
9183077 9183078	¢ 507
9183083	\$ 5.07
9183084	\$ 2.27
9183085	\$ 1.50
9183086	\$ 1.50
9183111	3 1.50
9183132	\$ 350.28
9183180	
9183183	\$ 121.54
9183192	\$ 8.27 \$ 121.54 \$ 3.33 \$ 2.19 \$ 2.19
9183212	\$ 2.19
9183213	\$ 2.19
9183218	\$ 5.85
9183241	\$ 1,065.38
	Page 58
	-

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	1	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
. 9183251	\$	175.41
9183288		47.15
9183290	\$	58.70
9183292	\$	63.66
9183296	\$	23.58
9183298	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	513.32
9183299	\$	15.72
9183481	\$	565.54
9183567	\$	124.24
9183580	\$	451.56
9183678	\$	33.35
9183694	\$	6.25
9183697	\$	87.04
9183725	\$	243.25
9183843	\$	243.25
9183977		1,971.30
9183989	\$	6.97
9183991		6.25
9183993		4.17
9184000		18.73
9184003		18.73
9184006		18.73
9184020		114.06
9184036	\$	13.67
9184037	\$	41.02
9184049	\$	25.75
9184052	\$	382.57
9184081	\$	1.14
9184088	\$	84.70
9184091	\$	15.36
9184092	\$	15.36
9184093	\$	15.36
9184095	\$	15.36
9184096	\$	15.36
9184131	\$	4.43
9184132	5	4.41
9184138	\$	7.34
9184148	5	2.45
9184164	\$	9.11
9184165	\$	38.28 Page 59

Exhibit B

	1	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
Owner Number	п	Amts are De Minimus)
91841 91842		
91842		
91842		13.74
91842		
91843		
91843		21.28
91843		10.52
91843		31.56
91843		10.52
91843		27,74
91843		
91843		52.94
918438		0.51
918438		0.69
918438		0.69
918438	5	0.69
918438		4.63
918438		77.54
918438		77.54
918438		310.78
918439		3.12
918439		0.51
918439		0.69
918440		3,373.58
918440		16.73
918440		16.73
918441		1.02
918441		0.81
918441		0,54
918441		0.72
918442		2.06
918443		00.50
918445 918445		22.50
918445 918445		28.85 43.27
918445		28.85
918446		28.85
918445		57.95
918447		19.32
318447	1 3	Page 60

		c DGS 100%
	Amts are De	
Owner Number		vinimus)
9184482	\$	25.75
9184484	\$	57.32
9184485	\$	19.94
9184486	5	4.50
9184487	\$	7.63
9184488	\$	6.98
9184490	\$	19.45
9184492	Ś	77.26
9184498		
9184528	\$	19.32
9184534	\$	57.20
9184539	\$	19.57
9184542	\$	23.47
9184543	\$	23.47
9184545	\$	23.47
9184546	S	23.47
9184547	\$	23.47
9184548	\$	63.48
9184550	\$	112.86
9184551	\$	345.63
9184552	\$	7.65
9184554	\$	14.11
9184556	\$	5.69
9184557	\$	2.82
9184558	\$	2.68
9184561	\$	2.69
9184679	\$	1.67
9184680	5	1.67
9184682	5	1.67
9184683	5	4.47
9184684	\$	1.67
9184686	\$	5.36
9184687	\$	5.36
9184692	\$	6.85
9184693	\$	6.85
9184694	\$	12.74
9184696	5	2.98
9184697	5	2.98
9184699	\$	6.85
9184700	\$	2.98

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9184703	\$ 3.35
9184706	\$ 1.67
9184707	\$ 1.67
9184708	\$ 135.11
9184709	\$ 135.11
9184714	\$ 13.40
9184715	\$ 26.79
9184719	\$ 26.79
9184727	\$ 13.40
9184782	
9184804	\$ 8.93
9184805	\$ 8.93
9184821	\$ 3.22
9184823	\$ 0.72
9184827	\$ 0.66 \$ 0.66
9184831	\$ 0.66
9184832	\$ 0.66
9184833	\$ 0.66
9184837	\$ 0.84
9184843	\$ 1.10
9184844	\$ 1.10
9184846	\$ 2.21
9184895	5 1.21
9184904	\$ 9.13
9184907	\$ 2.28
9184908	\$ 2.80
9184914	\$ 6.33
9184915	\$ 6.07
9184916	\$ 6.07 \$ 5.12
9184919	\$ 7.00
9184929	\$ 273.87
9185069	STATE OF THE PARTY.
9185108	\$ 13.74
9185129	
9185140	\$ 221.31 \$ 121.75
9185143	\$ 11.49
9185156	\$ 11.49 \$ 353.41
9185157	\$ 220.18
9185158	\$ 1,056.87
9185159	\$ 704.58
	Page 62

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

	All	oc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted	
	A	mts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9185160	\$	3,347.41
9185163	\$	1,148.19
9185164	\$	218.76
9185165	\$	218.76
9185167	\$	164.83
9185168	\$	164.83
9185169	\$	164.83
9185170	\$	140.46
9185175	\$	1.27
9185176	\$	1.27
9185180	\$	1.70
9185181	\$ 5 5 5	3.40
9185182	5	1.70
9185186	\$	1.70
9185187	\$	1.70
9185190	\$	20.39
9185215	\$	473.16
9185236	\$	968.80
9185238	\$	4.34
9185248	\$	2,427.73
9185257	\$	2.14
9185258		244
9185259 9185262	\$	2.14
9185262	\$	20.92
9185275	5	2.14
9185277	\$	455.03
9185279	\$	75.84
9185281	\$	22.94
9185283	\$	22.94
9185285	\$	22.94
9185287	\$	22.94
9185291	\$	2.45
9185329	\$	35.97
9185351	5	4.18
9185359	\$	158.69
9185360	\$	158.69
9185361	š	158.69
9185362	\$	158.69
9185363	Š	634.79

	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9185365	\$ 949.00
9185366	\$ 5.53
9185370	\$ 46.74
9185384	\$ 11.07
9185456	\$ 0.74
9185480	A 70.62
9185489	\$ 38.63
9185510	\$ 9.66 \$ 1.29
9185524 9185525	
9185525	\$ 71.86
9185537	\$ 5.81
9185551	\$ 310.18 \$ 16.84
9185568	\$ 49.54
9185569	\$ 49.54
9185570	\$ 35.59
9185573	\$ 1.40
9185575	5 1.31
9185576	5 1.40
9185577	\$ 1.31
9185578	\$ 1.31
9185579	\$ 2.62
9185606	\$ 9.47
9185610	\$ 9.94
9185616	\$ 29.23
9185617	\$ 3.67
9185639	\$ 35.44
9185640	\$ 11.30
9185641	\$ 11.30
9185655	\$ 59.44
9185656	\$ 10.84
9185718	\$ 844.01 \$ 43.27 \$ 21.64
9185775	\$ 43.27
9185778	\$ 21.64
9185875	\$ 4.28
9185876	\$ 4.28
9185887	5 4.28
9185888	\$ 4.28
9185891	\$ 4.28 \$ 60.16
9185897	\$ 60.16 Page 64

	A	lloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)
9185899	\$	8.20
9185902	\$	60.16
9185903	\$	60.16
9185908	\$	24.70
9185909	\$	5.41
9185919	\$	1.79
9185925		28.69
9185942	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3.86
9185943	2	3.65
9185944	2	3.65
9185945	5	3.65
9185946	5	0.43
9185956	5	16.91
9185958	ç	316.70
9185959	\$	998.77
9186013	\$	67.38
9186022		1.26
9186023	\$	1.26
9186030	\$	67.38
9186041	\$	10.75
9186042	\$	10.75
9186050	ć	42.78
9186059	\$	42.78
9186060	ć	42.78
9186073	\$	286.73
9186077	Š	105.59
9186078	\$	474.50
9186079	\$	95.58
9186080	\$	95.58
9186081	\$	139.78
9186082	\$	8.44
9186086	\$	220.79
9186087	\$	106.08
9186093	\$	286.73
9186101	\$	143.02
9186103	\$	95.58
9186106	\$	23.02
9186107	\$	8.44
9186114	\$	9.75
9186283	5	0.37
5100205	4	Page 65

	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted	
Owner Number	Amts are De Minimus)	
9186284		
9186285	\$ 144.55	
9186289	\$ 7.30	
9186293	\$ 3.05	
9186298	\$ 0.37	
9186299	\$ 0.92	
9186300		
9186305	\$ 3,272.44	
9186308	\$ 0.44	
9186421	\$ 0.68	
9186424	\$ 0.37	
9186429	\$ 480.22	
9186446	\$ 415.32	
9186454	\$ 173.09	
9186463	\$ 165.31	
9186484	\$ 3.16	
9186528		
9186553	\$ 101.02	
9186633	\$ 112.93	
9186634	\$ 112.93	
9186648	\$ 6.70	
9186649	\$ 6.70	
9186652 9186653	\$ 0.16	
9186654		
9186664	\$ 11.36	
9186665	\$ 17.49	
9186666	\$ 17.49	
9186667	\$ 11.89	
9186670	\$ 55.15	
9186675	\$ 13.22	
9186676	\$ 13.22	
9186684	\$ 397.84	
9186685	\$ 397.84	
9186747	\$ 13.22 \$ 13.22 \$ 397.84 \$ 397.84 \$ 10.80 \$ 126.04 \$ 41.60	
9186749	\$ 126.04	
9186779	\$ 41.60	
9186805	\$ 9.76	
9186806	\$ 28.88	
9186811	\$ 116.78	
•	Page 66	

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Owner Number	(Hi	ghlighted its are De
		linimus)
9186813	\$	116.78
9186822	\$	350.88
9186823 9186824	\$	31.70
9186824	\$	20.62
9186887	\$	60.16 80.21
9186898	\$	11.99
9186991	\$	
9186913	\$	8.53
9186915		4.76
9186921	\$	5.95
9186921	\$	168.41
9186943	\$	956.10 108.49
9186960	\$	5.40
9186960	\$	132.86
9187070	5	39.28
9187075	5	18.37
9187076	2	14.52
9187076	5	14.52
9187078	\$ \$ \$ \$	5.20
9187079	2	9.32
9187080	\$	19.28
9187090	S	3.87
9187107	4	3,07
9187126	\$	9.08
9187127	\$	15.73
9187160	\$	7.66
9187164	Š	1.18
9187197	*	1.10
9187198		
9187199		
9187200		
9187482	\$	25.47
9187527	5	1.22
9187528	\$	1.22
9187529	5	1.22
9187530	\$	1.22
9187568		
9187572		The state of
9187574		

	1	Alloc DGS 100%	
		(Highlighted	
Owner Number		Amts are De Minimus)	
		Winimus)	
918757			
918758 918760		6.12	
918760			
918760	_	140.24	
918760		140.24	
918761			
918761			
918761			
918761			
918761		24.65	
918761			
918761	8 \$	1.02	
918766		2.13	
918768		0.50	
918774			
918774		238.75	
918774		238.75	
918785		68.47	
918793		0.31	
918795		89.32	
918795		24.98	
918796		79.84	
918796		79.84	
918796		79.84	
918820	2 \$	12.16	
918821	1 5	4.39	
918823	6 \$	1.18	
918823	6 \$ 8 \$ 2 \$	1.18	
918824	2 5	2.36	
918826		29.77	
918827		37,94	
918827		9.79	
918832	5 \$	44.15	
918833		1.87	
918839		282.33	
918839	\$	41.17	
9188393	\$	35.29	
9188398		41.17	
9188399	\$	41.17	
		Page 68	

	Alloc DGS 100%	
	(Highlighted	
	Amts are De	
Owner Number	Minimus)	
9188400	\$ 52.94	
9188401	\$ 35.29	
9188412	\$ 32.80	
9188413	\$ 32.80	
9188414	\$ 32.80	
9188473	\$ 42.51	
9188486	\$ 1.02	
9188489	\$ 143.17	
9188532	\$ 9.06	
9188641	\$ 129.23	
9188710	\$ 2.46 \$ 3.01	
9188718		
9188719	\$ 3.01	
9188741	\$ 37.23	
9188742	\$ 34.77	
9188757	\$ 28.44 \$ 17.39	
9188767 9188801	\$ 17.39 \$ 28.37	
9188802	\$ 174.37	
9188803	\$ 174.37	
9188804	\$ 2,469.36	
9188812	\$ 64.14	
9188818	\$ 11.00	
9188820	\$ 1.83	
9188821	\$ 5.50	
9188823	\$ 15.74	
9188849		
9188850		
9188884		
9188897		
9188899		
9188900		
9188902		
9188903		
9188904		
9188905		
9188907 9188908		
9188908 9188911		
9188911		
5100512	Page 69	
	1 4 6 6 6 5	

		c DGS 100%
	(Highlighted	
		nts are De
Owner Number	٨	/linimus)
9188913		
9188914		
9188915		
9188917		
9188918		
9188919 9188920		
9188920		
9188922		2.14
9189018	\$	194.29
9189190	\$	5.40
9189242	\$	97.15
9189243	\$	97.15
9189257	\$	2.59
9189268	\$	16.79
9189290	\$	17.84
9189332	\$	6.08
9189339	\$	7.72
9189348	\$	48.57
9189350	\$	48.57
9189371	\$	17.84
9189372	\$ \$ \$	71.37
9189389	\$	126.83
9189390	\$	126.83
9189392	\$	17.84
9189395	\$ \$	17.84
9189510	\$	1,492.93
9189533 9189534	\$	278.63
9189534 9189535	۶	32.65
9189535 9189555	¢	54.42 516.89
9189556	ç	9.15
9189557	* * * * * * * * * *	9.15
9189558	Š	172.28
9189566	Š	7.41
9189567	Ś	7.33
9189625	5	107,33
9189637	\$	21.13
9189639	\$	394.63
9189641	\$	171.49
		Page 70

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	1	Alloc DGS 100%	
		(Highlighted	
		Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)	
91896			
91896	544 \$	15.85	
91896		4.80	
91896	557 \$	4.12	
91896		7.84	
91896		5.49	
91896			
91896		4.12	
91896			
91896			
91897		68.79	
91897			
91899			
91899			
91899		75.41	
91899		69.88	
91899	74 \$		
91899		139.76	
91899	84 \$	68.36	
91899		68.36	
91899		102.54	
91900	27 \$	702.04	
91900	38 \$	380.96	
91900	54 \$	9.50	
91900	72 \$	200.48	
91900		74.63	
91900	84 \$	29.63	
91900	86 5	1.43	
91901	.09 \$	33.93	
91901		278.89	
91903	51 \$	31.21	
91903	57 \$	232.86	
91903	82 \$	3.15	
91904		58.09	
91904		205,08	
91905	/4 S	53.32	
91905		60.52	
91906 91906		42.18	
91906		5.34 2.73	
91906	3/13	Page 71	

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

			lloc DGS 100%	
	-		(Highlighted	
0			Amts are De	
Owner Number		H	Minimus)	
	9190638		8.73	
	9190641	\$	8.05	
	9190644 9190681	\$	40.66	
	9190681	\$	134.35 156.85	
	9190682	\$	93.39	
	9190716	\$	136.52	
	9190717	\$	136.52	
	9190718	\$	68.26	
	9190719	\$	68.26	
	9190723	\$	68.26	
	9190727	Š	819.10	
	9190743	ś	68.26	
	9190781	Ť	55,20	
	9190880			
	9190887	\$	55.01	
	9190888	\$	72.69	
	9190890	\$	88.07	
	9190891	\$	4.47	
	9191005	5	2.83	
	9191032	\$	8.65	
	9191097	\$	20.80	
	9191098	\$	13.87	
	9191254	\$	8.89	
	9191264	\$	28.90	
	9191265	\$	57.31	
	9191273	\$	57.82	
	9191277	\$	17.38	
	9191300	\$	2.51	
	9191310	\$	68.83	
	9191311	\$	22.73	
	9191312	\$	22.73	
	9191314	\$	22.72	
	9191335	\$	134.35	
	9191340	5	2.84	
	9191341 9191371	5	2.84 15.36	
	9191371	\$	2.82	
	9191373		26.24	
	9191379 9191382	\$	107.20	
	2121207	Þ	Page 72	

Owner Number 9191387 9191388 9191388 9191398 9191399 9191679 9191680 9191680 9191680 9191680 9191704 9191704 9191704 9191704 9191704 9191704 9191704 9191704	5 501.73 3.84 5 5.47 6 19.93 5 195.14 6 193.61 96.81 0.02 6 0.02 6 0.02
9191388 9191388 9191398 9191679 9191679 9191680 9191680 9191680 9191703 9191703 9191704 9191743 9191746 9191749 9191749	5 501.73 3.84 5 5.47 18.76 6 109.93 195.14 6 193.61 96.81 0.02 6 0.02 6 0.02
9191388 9191398 9191679 9191680 9191680 9191680 9191703 9191703 9191704 9191743 9191746 9191746 9191743	3.84 5.47 6.18.76 6.109.93 6.195.14 6.193.61 6.96.81 6.002 6.002 6.11.04
9191398 9191670 9191670 9191670 9191680 9191680 9191703 9191704 9191744 9191746 9191746 9191746	5 5.47 18.76 109.93 5 195.14 195.14 193.61 6 96.81 6 0.02 0.02 11.04
9191399 9191670 9191680 9191680 9191680 9191703 9191703 9191743 9191744 9191749 9191749	18.76 109.93 5 195.14 195.14 193.61 6 96.81 6 0.02 0.02 11.04
9191703 9191704 9191743 9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	0.02 0.02 11.04
9191703 9191704 9191743 9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	0.02 0.02 11.04
9191703 9191704 9191743 9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	0.02 0.02 11.04
9191703 9191704 9191743 9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	0.02 0.02 11.04
9191703 9191704 9191743 9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	0.02 0.02 11.04
9191703 9191704 9191743 9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	0.02 0.02 11.04
9191743 9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	11.04
9191743 9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	11.04
9191746 9191749 9191793 9191805	
9191793 9191805	68.85
9191805	
9191805	2.83
9191812	2.83
9191812 9191813	2.83
9191814	6.37
9191815 9191816	6.37
9191817 9191818 \$	6.37
9191818 \$	12.74
9191820 \$	5.66
9191822	5.66
9191823 \$	5.66
9191824 \$	
9191828 \$ 9191829 \$	50.94
9191833 \$	0.73
9191833 \$ 9191843 \$ 9191845 \$ 9191877 \$	1.41
9191845 \$	1.35
9191877 \$	
9191924 \$	94.63
9191962 \$	22.49
9191963 \$	22.43
9191969 \$	21.97
9191972 \$	1.99

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9191989	\$ 0.48
9191996	\$ 3.50
9191999	\$ 6.90
9192001	\$ 6.79
9192002	\$ 6.79
9192008	\$ 5.09
9192022	\$ 6.79 \$ 10.18 \$ 10.18 \$ 6.79 \$ 20.15 \$ 54.30
9192023	\$ 10.18
9192024	\$ 10.18
9192027	\$ 6.79
9192037	\$ 20.15
9192047	\$ 54.30
9192048	\$ 27.15
9192058	\$ 4.10
9192121	\$ 24.82
9192122	\$ 24.82
9192255	\$ 14.20
9192262	\$ 126.90
9192641	\$ 6.50
9192716	5 4.69
9192743	\$ 10.08
9192744	\$ 10.08
9192758	\$ 18.35
9192947	\$ 551.90
9192948	\$ 2.50
9192973	\$ 15.02
9192974	\$ 15.02
9192986	\$ 4.17 \$ 4.17
9192987	\$ 4.17
9192997	\$ 4.66
9192999	\$ 3.34
9193000	\$ 2.50
9193001	\$ 2.50
9193029 9193064	\$ 273,03 \$ 311.94
9193064	
9193095	
9193109	\$ 11.70
9193124	\$ 121.40 \$ 252.26
9193137	
	Page 74

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	- 1	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
91931	139 5	
91931		
91932		
91932		
91933		
91933		3.00
91933	31 \$	8.66
91933	73 5	23.72
91933	75 \$	12.02
91933	92 \$	6.01
91934		
91934		
91934		
91934		
91934		
91934		
91934		
91935		
91935		
91935		
91935		155.66
91935		155.66
91936		
91936		29.51
91936		
91936		22,76
91936 91936		
91936		6.32 6.32
91936		7,59
91936		7.59
91936		65.09
91936		7.59
91936		3.79
91936		3.79
91937		3.60
91939		25.40
91939		20,10
91939		
91939	46	

Page 75

		All	oc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		A	mts are De
Owner Number			Minimus)
	9193947		
	9193950	\$	32.06
	9193951	\$	32.06
	9193970		
	9193984	5	4.11
	9193985	\$	2.67
	9193990	\$	10.59
	9194002	\$	5.32
	9194004 9194005	\$	5.32
	9194003	\$	5.32 32.20
	9194010	\$	104.33
	9194011	\$	5.32
	9194016	\$	118.29
	9194023	\$	0.34
	9194033	\$	25.76
	9194084	S	1.80
	9194166	5	0.65
	9194200	\$	9.58
	9194201	\$	14.44
	9194405	\$	76.01
	9194512	\$	42.34
	9194516	\$	106.05
	9194517	\$	106.05
	9194518	\$	18.73
	9194520	\$	200.96
	9194523 9194530	5	0.15
	9194545	5	1.17 1.52
	9194548	\$	236.05
	9194562	\$	74.44
	9194701	\$	9.91
	9194702	\$	9.91
	9194706		1.94
	9194707	S	1.94
	9194708	5	1.94
	9194709	\$	26.26
	9194710	\$	26.92
	9194722	\$	26.26
	9194731	\$	762.32
			Page 76

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9194747	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9194748	\$ 5.66
9194798	\$ 5.66
9194853	\$ 5.64
9194870	
9194911	\$ 60.66 \$ 14.54
9195018	\$ 115.19
9195079	\$ 66.23
9195080	
9195093	\$ 120.60
9195100	\$ 2.74
9195105	\$ 22.50
9195112	\$ 10.96
9195113	5 2.74
9195116	\$ 2.74
9195118	\$ 2.74
9195171	\$ 1.50
9195226	\$ 3.93
9195231	\$ 1.58
9195235	\$ 3.87
9195242	\$ 13.47
9195249	\$ 13.47
9195284	\$ 249.98
9195285	\$ 22.53
9195286	\$ 22.53
9195288	\$ 22.53
9195351 9195353	\$ 57.56
9195356	\$ 22.53 \$ 22.53 \$ 22.53 \$ 57.56 \$ 6.03 \$ 12.14 \$ 18.11 \$ 8.05
9195356	\$ 12.14 \$ 18.11
9195358	\$ 8.05
9195359	\$ 6.03
9195362	\$ 6.03 \$ 128.66 \$ 516.95 \$ 18.11
9195363	\$ 516.95
9195370	\$ 18.11
9195393	\$ 23.51
9195394	\$ 1,481.35
9195488	\$ 8.10
9195494	\$ 8.20
9195499	\$ 14.61
2250 155	Page 77

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9195500	\$	14.61
9195501	\$	14.61
9195505	\$	526.67
9195647	\$	11.83
9195650	\$	24.03
9195656	Š	15.16
9195657	 \$	24.03
9195675	\$	1,727.90
9195693	\$	48.80
9195697	\$	768.01
9195698	\$	195.21
9195706		
9195707		
9195712	\$	117.12
9195713	\$	78.08
9195715	\$	1,804.63
9195720	\$	15.62
9195729	\$	35.23
9195733	\$	35.23
9195739	\$	22.01
9195740	\$	35.23
9195741	\$	15.62
9195742	\$	15.62
9195744	\$	15.62
9195749	\$	47.14
9195757	\$	24.40
9195758	\$	24.40
9195759	\$	24.40
9195761	\$	8.61
9195769	\$	8.04
9195780	\$	11.86
9195797 9195805	\$	13.16
9195805 9195821	5	2.46
9195821 9195856	\$	122.91
9195856	\$	18.97 18.97
9195857	\$	780.57
9195956	\$	780.57
9195956	\$	600.29
9195971	\$	3,079.91
91959/1	Þ	3,079.91 Page 78
		Page 78

Exhibit B

Exhibit B

Owner Number		oc DGS 100% Highlighted Imts are De Minimus)
9196016	\$	298.25
9196018	\$	235.31
9196030	100	3.91
9196032		
9196112		63.45
9196151		32.56
9196152		34.73
9196153		34.73
9196158		2.89
9196159		52.09
9196168 9196196		32.14
9196196		1.32 835.03
9196227	12	835.03
9196228		835.03
9196229	1	835.03
9196230	Į,	835.03
9196232	Š	3,409.12
9196242		1,333.87
9196243	Š	937.88
9196250	Š	20.84
9196258	s	20.84
9196264	\$	160.59
9196265	\$ \$ \$	160.59
9196267	\$	266.78
9196347	 \$	12.29
9196352	\$	288.09
9196406	\$	2.27
9196416	\$	143.23
9196424	\$	85.69
9196523	\$	3.52
9196540		
9196590	\$	37.94
9196600	\$	27.05
9196720	\$	40.01
9196730	\$	1.72
9196741	\$	40.01
9196763	\$	11.71
9196765	\$	11.71
9197006	\$	6.86 Page 79

		Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
	- 1	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9197		\$ 15.16
9197		\$ 15.16
9197		\$ 5.31
9197		\$ 0.76
9197		\$ 5.69
9197		\$ 3.79
9197	171	\$ 67.81
9197	182	\$ 11.58
9197		5.51
9197		\$ 43.69
9197		7.35
9197		\$ 14.70
9197		
9197	207	3.68
9197		14.70
91972	213 5	98.86
91972		
91972		
91974		
91974		
91974		
91975		
91975		65.57
91975		
91979		
91975		68.79
91976		
91976		
91976		
91976		45.63
91976		
91976		
91976		1.80
91976		
91976		22.81
91976		
91976		
91976		
91976		
91976	59 \$	5.70
		Page 80

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9197660	\$ 5.70
9197704	\$ 26.94
9197720	\$ 35.23
9197802	\$ 138.43
9197871	\$ 67.44
9197873	\$ 67.44
9197874	\$ 1,130.06
9197875	\$ 1,130.06
9197876	\$ 12.49 \$ 12.49
9197878	\$ 12.49
9197879	\$ 37.46
9197881	\$ 37.46
9197885	\$ 67.44
9197886	\$ 416.89
9197888	\$ 109.46
9197889	\$ 109.46
9197891	\$ 67.44
9197893	\$ 21.33
9197894	\$ 21.34
9197895	\$ 21.33 \$ 109.46
9197896	
9197901	\$ 530.85 \$ 530.85
9197902	\$ 530.85
9197903	\$ 530.85
9198001	\$ 3.23
9198009	\$ 4.50
9198010	\$ 0.31
9198032	\$ 321.46
9198174	
9198751	\$ 2,638.23
9198759	\$ 4.19
9198760	\$ 4.19
9198761	\$ 4.19
9198764	\$ 31.04
9198765	\$ 31.04
9198771	\$ 4.19 \$ 31.04 \$ 31.04 \$ 5.20 \$ 10.40 \$ 5.20
9198772	\$ 10.40
9198773 9198805	
9198919	
	Page 81

	A	Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9198988	\$	3,162.88
9198989		3,162.88
9198996	\$	12.27
9199032	\$	6.44
9199074		
9199076	\$	6.27
9199119		
9199158		5.10
9199173		8.20
9199226		7.31
9199263		0.81
9199264		0.81
9199372		1.74
9199497		93.54
9199566		199.58
9199571		2,394.94
9199580	\$	199.58
9199581	Š	199.58
9199595	١,	37.42
9199598		8.31
9199599		8.31
9199600		8.31
9199606		6.23
9199607	\$	6.23
9199611	\$	6.23
9199998	\$	17.15
9200019	\$	59.43
9200037	\$ \$	143.23
9200038	!	99.07
9200049	\$ \$	28.11
9200050	!	59.43
9200051	\$ \$	59.43
9200135		39.80
9200192	55555	1.90
9200193	5	1.90
9200258	\$	1.90
9200406	\$	1.34
9200441	\$	2.90
9200444	\$	0.04
9200451	\$	423.24
		Page 82

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Page 83

	T	Alloc DGS 100%
	1	(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
920046	6	100
920051		30.08
920054		
920054		
920063		
920070		
920089		
920090		
920094		
920094		
920094		5.48
920094		
920094		10.96
920094		5.48
920095		135.63
920099		9.74
920099		300.69
920101		39.02
920103		32.17
920124		0.16
920129		96.62
920152		6.46
920155		33.39
920159		10.08
920159		10.08
920161		2.54
920163		14.55
920181		4.82
920183		23.04
920184		11.79
920185		4,295.07
920187		6.03
920187		6.03
920206		133.17
920211		222.07
920226		3.00
920228		34.69
9202284		2.52
9202314		
920231		
		Page 83

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	1	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	п	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
920231	6	
920242		
920243		1,085.61
920243		1,085.61
920243		1,085.61
920243		417.00
920244		417.00
920244		69.50
920244		23.16
920245	0 \$	23.16
920245	2 \$	47.81
920245	4 \$	47.81
920245	5 \$	20.01
920245		23.16
920246		30.30
920252	S S	2.63
920253	5	2.63
920265		15.74
920266		82.47
920266		126.77
920266		126.77
920266		126.77
920266		0.22
920268		669.99
920268		1.15
920274		51.16
920282		9.78
920295		1.00
920295		1.00
920295		1.00
920295		45.72
920295		45.72
9203084		175.18
9203085		45.72
9203093		45.72
920313:	15	164.59
9203133	\$	164.59
920313		497.63
9203243	_	9.98
9203278	\$	2.49 Page 84

	Alle	oc DGS 100%
	(1	Highlighted
	A	mts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
920342		7.10
920342		551.61
920342	7 \$	111.01
920347	\$	31.17
920348	2 \$	75.31
920357		1.95
920357	\$	50.00
920358		30.30
920358	\$	30.01
920362		
920363	5	
920365		3.29
920365		97.60
9203656		97.60
9203664		27.30
920367		73.94
9203768	\$	45.50
9203956	\$	26.75
9203957		26.75
9203959	\$	3.25
9203960		3.25
9204076		43.04
9204095		2.43
9204111		10.53
9204464	\$	31.91
9204675	\$	26.83
9204687	\$	44.72
9204717	\$	2.52
9204719	\$ \$	2.52
9204720	\$	2.52
9204728	5	1.41
9204742		
9204763		10.31
9204764		13.33
9204774	\$	973.45
9204777		
9204784		3.00
9204785		3.00
9204882		297.65
9205053	\$	13.49
		Page 85

	Alloc DGS 100%	
	(Highlighted	
	Amts are De	
Owner Number	Minimus)	
9205065	\$ 37.71	
9205133	\$ 18.79	
9205137	\$ 18.79	
9205141	\$ 169.11	
9205144	\$ 56.37	
9205155	\$ 28.18	
9205173	\$ 18.79	
9205183	\$ 149.97	
9205300	\$ 15.90	
9205306	\$ 15.90	
9205319	\$ 8.20	
9205333	\$ 0.05	
9205336	\$ 6.19	
9205427	\$ 7.99	
9205439	\$ 0.76	
9205469	\$ 62.80	
9205548	\$ 45.46	
9205549	\$ 45.46	
9205550	\$ 22.73	
9205601 9205615	\$ 14.18 \$ 0.96	
9205673		
9205674	\$ 12.21 \$ 12.21	
9205675	\$ 1.84	
9205924	\$ 0.86	
9205989	\$ 30.01	
9206171	\$ 1.85	
9206172	\$ 1.85	
9206280	\$ 1.56	
9206286	\$ 1.56	
9206409		
9206412	P. Committee	
9206510	\$ 738.88	
9206570		
9206576	\$ 260.11	
9206665	\$ 67.34	
9206755	\$ 425.51	
9206792	\$ 1.04	
9206801	\$ 425.51	
9206820	\$ 69.74	
	Page 86	

Exhibit B

Owner Number

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

1.05 150.82

Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)

9206956 9207178 551.14 9209417 9209418 12.48 12.48 2.30 1.15 480.22 28.81 9209465 9209471 9209476 9209487 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 9209505 9209516 9209517 9209518 9209519 1.15 177.85 92.78 3.68 1.04 4.48 175.18 9209625 9209663 9209706 9209753 9209788 9209789 175.18 3.19 0.51 1.00 356.40 12.99 12.99 186.75 5.35 814.54 27.32 9209878 9209914 9209915 9209934 9209935 9209997 9210037 9210057 9210330 9210475 1.75 1.75 0.79 14.88 295.50 715.33 295.50

9210594 9210654 9210805

15.12 Page 87

9206906 9206924

Exhibit B

	Alloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9210923 9210954	\$ 176.73 \$ 5.16
9210954	\$ 5.16 \$ 6.83
9210960	\$ 1.27
9211018	\$ 1.89
9211202	\$ 16.56
9211203	\$ 16.56
9211219	\$ 470.53
9211279	\$ 38.12
9211293	\$ 38.12
9211306	\$ 272.83
9211314	\$ 181.03
9211316	\$ 67.17
9211317	\$ 78.14
9211318	\$ 77.67
9211319	\$ 90.52
9211320	\$ 131.87
9211336	Service St.
9211337	
9211340	
9211341	
9211344	
9211345 9211346	
9211346	
9211347	
9211349	2 - 1
9211351	
9211352	10 475
9211353	
9211362	\$ 142.04
9211363	\$ 142.04
9211366	\$ 368.61
9211369	\$ 2,146.93
9211371	Service of the last
9211372	Town Control
9211373	
9211374	1
9211379	
9211380	
	Page 88

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Arnts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9211381	
9211382	
9211385	
9211438	
9211439	
9211440	
9211441	F-11
9211442	
9211443	
9211501	\$ 16.05
9211548	\$ 78.08
9211557	\$ 17.05
9211733	\$ 13.94
9211744	\$ 9.78
9211745	\$ 9.78
9211747	\$ 4.65
9211749	\$ 4.65
9211750	
9211751	\$ 35.89
9211754	\$ 55.75
9211825	
9211826	
9211827	
9211828	
9211839	\$ 862.21
9211849	\$ 1,505.15
9211850	\$ 1,505.15
9211851	\$ 38.85
9211854	\$ 38.85 \$ 34.29 \$ 76.89 \$ 76.89 \$ 216.50
9211855	\$ 76.89
9211856	\$ 76.89
9211859	\$ 216.50
9211864	\$ 4.92 \$ 4.78
9211902	\$ 4.78
9212008	
9212140	\$ 0.51
9212166	\$ 232.57
9212256	\$ 171.26
9212257	\$ 52.11
9212262	\$ 14.96
	Page 89

	Α	lloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted	
Amts are De		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
921226		14.96
921226		32.88
921226	7 \$	32.88
921227		3.44
921227	5 \$	3.44
921227		5.28
921227		5.28
921228		5.28
921228		5.28
921228		11 -
921228		4.58
921229 921229		16.96 0.46
921229		0.46
9212237		242.89
921241		1,488.51
921241		1,400.51
9212458		14.58
921245		20.26
9212462		182.30
921246		182.30
9212466		255.22
9212469		34.71
9212470		3.65
9212471	\$	14.58
921247		85.07
9212477		85.07
9212485		4.86
9212486	\$	4.86
9212488	\$	182.30
9212498	\$	273.45
9212503		0.58
9212504		2.16
9212505		2.16
9212516		E WEST
9212523 9212560		15 192
		210
9212750 9212752		2.18
9212754		2.18 1.09
3212734	3	Page 90
		age 30

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)

	A	lloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
	- 4	
92127 92128		222.18
92128		63.82
92128		16.46 31.49
92128		8.90
92128		8.90
92128		32.92
92128		32.92
92128		5.49
92128		5.49
92128		31.49
92128		630.08
921284		98.31
921292		41.60
921293		4.37
921293		4.37
921294		226.62
921294		18.19
921294		3.89
921294		297.79
921302		257115
921303		
921304	8 5	1.05
921309	3 \$	28.10
921306		0.92
921306	1 \$	0.92
921306		0.92
921306		0.92
921306	3 \$ 4 \$ 5 \$ 6 \$	0.92
921306	5 5	0.92
921306	6 \$	2.65
921307		298.59
921312		6.79
921313		318.38
921323	9 \$	11.93
921324	9	100
921325		
921325	_	
921325		
921325	5	

		(mgmignrea
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
92127		
92128		
92128		16.46
92128		
92128		8.90
92128		
92128		
92128		32.92
92128		5.49
92128		5.49
92128		31.49
92128		630.08
92128	47 \$	98.31
92129	27 \$	41.60
92129	33 \$	4.37
92129	34 \$	4.37
92129		226.62
92129	44 \$	18.19
92129	45 \$	3.89
92129	48 \$	297.79
92130	21	
92130	38	
92130	48 \$	1.05
92130	53 \$	28.10
92130		0.92
92130		0.92
92130		0.92
92130		0.92
92130		0.92
92130		0.92
92130	65 \$ 66 \$	2.65
92130		298.59
92131		6.79
92131		318.38
92132		11.93
92132		12,50
92132		
92132		-
92132		-
92132		
52152.		Page 01

	Owner Number	Minimus)
	9213271	\$ 50.03
	9213316	\$ 54.58
	9213317	\$ 514.76
	9213340	\$ 1.28
	9213345	\$ 3.63
	9213408	\$ 1.84
	9213439	\$ 0.82
	9213490	\$ 166.14
	9213513	\$ 28.49
	9213517	\$ 28.49
	9213579	\$ 71.14
	9213580	\$ 71.14
	9213586	\$ 28.49
	9213592	
	9213602	
	9213607	\$ 34.07
	9213623	
	9213635	
(9213636	
	9213638	
	9213640	\$ 4.10
A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9213643	\$ 1.37
	9213646	\$ 0.82
	9213655	\$ 0.82
	9213666	\$ 85.21
	9213667	\$ 85.21
	9213668	\$ 8.20
	9213669	\$ 222.07
		\$ 72.38
		\$ 56.80
		\$ 5.86
		\$ 4.39
		\$ 50.03
		\$ 25.87
		\$ 51.73
		\$ 25.87
D(1)		\$ 11.51
		\$ 7.70
		\$ 7.70
	9213766	
		Page 92

	Alloc DGS 100%	
	(Highlighted	
	Amts are De	
Owner Number	Minimus)	
9213767	\$ 14.29	
9213768	\$ 14.29	
9213770	\$ 14.29	
9213771	\$ 7.69	
9213867	\$ 1.04	
9213868	\$ 1.04	
9213903	\$ 21.13	
9213923	\$ 16.11	
9213930	\$ 16.11	
9213933	\$ 16.11	
9213994	\$ 85.06	
9214011	\$ 7.39	
9214015	\$ 1.34	
9214036	\$ 1.34	
9214056	\$ 104.96	
9214172	\$ 40.54	
9214173	\$ 4.22	
9214186	\$ 1.49	
9214253	\$ 16.96	
9214255	\$ 126.83	
9214265	\$ 19.18	
9214273	\$ 47.56	
9214276		
9214294	\$ 66.23	
9214302		
9214331	\$ 45.66	
9214361	\$ 4.22	
9214404	\$ 12.81	
9214492	\$ 16.62	
9214634	\$ 10.47	
9214710		
9214758	\$ 100.89	
9214765	\$ 343.24	
9214993	ET L'Environ	
9215050	\$ 109.46	
9215051	\$ 109.46	
9215052	\$ 109.46	
9215053	\$ 109.46	
9215061	\$ 37.34	
9215066	\$ 48.57	
	Page 93	

	Α	lloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted	
	Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)
9215067	\$	48.57
9215068	\$	48.57
9215069	\$	48.57
9215146	\$	48.57
9215220	\$	215.52
9215257		-
9215259		
9215260		
9215286	\$	107.76
9215287	\$	215.52
9215288	\$	107.76
9215452	\$	13.08
9215473	\$	174.14
9215474 9215486	\$	20,748.88 427.49
9215486	>	427.49
9215490	5	0.59
9215640	\$	10.52
9215696	\$	10.52
9215702	\$	40.53
9215889	\$	1.48
9215989	\$	38.60
9216026	\$	21.13
9216049		252.26
9216050	Š	98.86
9216075	\$ \$	86.31
9216159	5	0.74
9216160	\$	0.74
9216163	\$	27.30
9216164	\$	18.72
9216195	\$	21.80
9216198	\$	21.80
9216205	\$	21.80
9216209	\$	21.80
9216245	\$	0.65
9216247	\$	0.22
9216256	5	0.74
9216257	\$	0.74
9216258	5	1.39
9216307	\$	6.24
		Page 94

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

		Alloc DG5 100% (Highlighted Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)	
9216			
9216			
9216			
9216			
9216			
9216			
9216			
9216			
9216			
9216			
9216			
9217		4.08	
9217			
9217			
9217 9217			
9217 9217		0.71	
9217	202	4.33	
9217	443	3.39 33.02	
9217	443	34.95	
9217	402 3	9.15	
9217		197.29	
9217	531	210.35	
9217	534 6	433.26	
9217	546	0.26	
9217		0.27	
9217		205.07	
92170			
9217			
92170			
9217			
9217			
92178			
92178			
92178			
92178		20.84	
92178		20.43	
92179		14.18	
92179		131.53	
		Page 95	

Owner Number		lloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9217935	\$	32.88
9218032		5.88
9218064	ì	
9218071	\$	16.17
9218234	\$	486.83
9218382	\$	3.16
9218383	\$	3.16
9218384	5	3.16
9218385	5	3.16
9218439	\$	5.05
9218440		5.05
9218441	5	3.78
9218452	5	3.78
9218454	\$	15.14
9218455	\$	3.78
9218466		
9218467		
9218468		
9218500	5	0.73
9218808	\$	3.99
9218888	\$	2,254.32
9218920	\$	175.18
9218963	5	3.00
9219108	\$	0.97
9219110	5	3.09
9219111	\$	0.97
9219142	\$	13.06
9219208	\$	134.69
9219253	\$	1,176.18
9219356	5	0.33
9219475	\$	25.66
9219762	\$	228.40
9219763	\$	228.40
9219833	\$	180,26
9219834	\$	8.62
9219869 9219991		50.44
9219991 9220015	\$	56.44 15.25
9220015	\$	265.74
9220059	\$	187.51
9220091	Þ	187.51 Page 96

	Alloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9220092	
9220503	\$ 187.51 \$ 80.52
9220504	\$ 80.52
9220543	5 1.53
9220546	\$ 65.76
9220548	\$ 05.70
9220549	
9220600	\$ 1.53
9220747	
9220756	\$ 1.53 \$ 1.53 \$ 1.53 \$ 3.06
9220757	\$ 1.53
9220758	\$ 3.06
9220803	5 0.01
9220804	\$ 0.01 \$ 0.01
9220898	5 0.01
9220993	\$ 4.33
9221004	\$ 26.79
9221038	\$ 298.23
9221039	\$ 298.23
9221040	\$ 20.42
9221041	\$ 20.42
9221042	\$ 20.42
9221043	\$ 20.42
9221044	\$ 20.42 \$ 20.42 \$ 20.42 \$ 20.42 \$ 20.42 \$ 175.70
9221140	\$ 175.70
9221169	\$ 21.60
9221191	\$ 1.04
9221267	
9221268	
9221278	
9221298	\$ 49.91
9221299	\$ 74.86
9221300	\$ 74.86 \$ 74.86 \$ 715.51 \$ 10.57 \$ 858.11 \$ 89.43
9221301	\$ 74.86
9221487	\$ 715.51
9221568	\$ 10.57
9221682	\$ 858.11
9221708	\$ 89.43
9221709	\$ 394.57
9221759	\$ 76.89
	Page 97

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9221851	\$ 7.10
9221901	\$ 14.74
9222039	\$ 13.41
9222117	\$ 0.71
9222118	\$ 0.71
9222120	\$ 0.71
9222137	\$ 1.14
9222139	\$ 1.14
9222141	\$ 1.14 \$ 1.14 \$ 1.14 \$ 1.14
9222143	\$ 1.14
9222305	\$ 32.79
9222345	\$ 140.24
9222362	\$ 24.82
9222396	\$ 29.80
9222424	\$ 3.62
9222425	\$ 3.62
9222426	\$ 7.24
9222467	
9222474	\$ 6.17
9222476	\$ 6.17
9222505	\$ 0.04
9222572	\$ 4.59
9222573	\$ 18.49
9222675	\$ 50.51
9222699	\$ 62.16
9222799	
9222806	\$ 0.09
9222825	\$ 7.86
9222827	\$ 103.90
9222828	\$ 86.52
9222836	\$ 0.49
9222843	\$ 2.46
9222867	
9223162	\$ 105.59
9223163	\$ 105.59
9223287	\$ 1.08
9223416	\$ 58.86 \$ 58.86
9223417	\$ 58.86
9223418	\$ 58.86
9223419	\$ 58,86
	Page 98

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Owen Market		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	-	Minimus)
92234		\$ 640.13
92234		\$ 86.08
92234 92237		\$ 22.36 \$ 3.90
92237		\$ 7.41
92238		\$ 18.23
92238		5 18.23
92238		\$ 18.23
92238		2.82
92238		2.02
92238		
92238	-	
92238		
92239	06 5	9.41
92239	55	537.50
92239		8.51
92239		8.51
92239		
92239		
92239		
92239		
92239		
92239		11.35
92240		
92240		4.56
92240	03 \$	4.56
92240		33.72
92240		62.94
92240		
922410		358.06
922414 922414		56.59
922414	40 5	24.60 59.43
922420		130.94
92242		6.53
922430		199.42
922435		
92245		
922466		
922467		
		Page 99

	-	lloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9224673	\$	32.25
9224674	Ş	5.46
9224675		5.56
9224690	\$	87.29
9224700		3.31
9224716	\$ \$ \$ \$	1.10
9224721	Ś	3.31
9224726	5	3.31
9224727	5	1.10
9224728	S	1.10
9224729	\$	29.88
9224775	5	3.31
9224797	\$	0.86
9224798	\$	1,155.19
9224803	\$	0.92
9224805	\$	2,861.08
9224832	\$	208.76
9224833	\$	208.76
9224834	\$	208.76
9224835	\$	208.76
9224839	\$	73.43
9224985	\$	37.94
9224994	\$	1.37
9225058	\$	42.66
9225091	\$	11.03
9225394	5	3.68
9225395	\$	3.68
9225397	\$	7,166.24
9225401	\$	3.68
9225402	\$	3.68
9225403	\$	3.68
9225408	\$	3.68
9225409	\$	3.68
9225420	\$	1,363.08
9225437	\$	24.86
9225459	\$	5,311.65
9225545	\$	79.35
9225546	\$	79.35
9225571	\$	6.23
9225630	\$	10.48

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9225758	\$ 5.03
9225759	\$ 2.52
9225760	\$ 2.52
9225762	\$ 2.52
9225763	\$ 2.52
9225764	\$ 2.52
9225765	\$ 17.61
9225884	\$ 205.07
9225899	\$ 288.84 \$ 144.42
9225900	\$ 144.42
9225920	\$ 75.74 \$ 75.74
9225921	\$ 75.74
9225922	\$ 75.74 \$ 5.07 \$ 48.23 \$ 48.23
9225940	\$ 5.07
9225971 9225972	\$ 48.23
9225972	\$ 48.23 \$ 33.32
9225974	\$ 1,225.81
9226020	\$ 612.91
9226020	\$ 1,022.44
9226027	\$ 1,022.44
9226029	\$ 1,022.00
9226030	\$ 612.91
9226071	\$ 1,022.44 \$ 1,022.00 \$ 1,022.00 \$ 612.91 \$ 4.82 \$ 4.82 \$ 4.82 \$ 76.66
9226072	5 4.82
9226073	\$ 4.82
9226074	\$ 4.82
9226097	\$ 76.66
9226098	\$ 76.66
9226099	\$ 76.66
9226116	\$ 57.44
9226152	\$ 1.27
9226164	\$ 59.86
9226167	\$ 23.04
9226185	\$ 302.19
9226292	\$ 8.27
9226296	\$ 476.95
9226297	\$ 476.95
9226298	\$ 476.95
9226313	\$ 76.48
	Page 101

	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9226315	\$ 4.19
9226316	
9226318	\$ 4.19
9226319	
9226320	
9226325	
9226326	
9226350	
9226460	
9226510	
9226568	
9226585	
9227042	
9227049	\$ 50.56
9227090	
9227169 9227193	\$ 8.10
922/193	
9227202	
9227202	
9227203	\$ 2.97
9227208	
9227209	\$ 20.10
9227215	\$ 8.10
9227216	\$ 8.10 \$ 8.10 \$ 8.10 \$ 8.10 \$ 8.10 \$ 75.71 \$ 2.97 \$ 2.97
9227217	\$ 8.10
9227218	\$ 8.10
9227219	\$ 8.10
9227222	\$ 75.71
9227232	\$ 2.97
9227234	\$ 2.97
9227240	\$ 0.26
9227265	
9227266	
9227267	B. M
9227327	\$ 145.49
9227346	
9227380	\$ 17.12
9227383	\$ 210.67
9227418	
	Page 102

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

190.44

105.59 0.25 0.25 87.24 309.48 527.13 24.08 14.26

591.96 591.96

3.62 1,844.58 13.05 8.08 210.01 2,021.39 448.03 40.67

4,682.41

503.08 679.58 16.80 4.80 0.75 16.80 100.79 703.27 100.79

100.79 20.24 10.12 39.74 429.52 161.20 7.33 181.52 181.52 Page 104

Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)

Owner Number	(Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)	
922742		5.05	
9227568	\$	2.09	
9227789		18.84	
922780	\$	862.49	
9227938		5.97	
9227994		1.78	
9227995		5.92	
9228113		36.11	
9228122		165.77	
9228127		15.38	
9228168		2,667.32	
9228170		16.48	
9228220	\$	8.31	
9228243	\$	2,534.04	
9228244	\$	2,534.04	
9228245	\$	2,534.04	
9228254	\$	16.48	
9228259	\$	60.92	
9228275	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	11.26	
9228276	\$	6.24	
9228362	\$	63.43	
9228395	\$	1,266.97	
9228397	\$	1,266.97	
9228776	\$	71.55	
9228777	5	2.94	
9228785	\$	32.41	
9228802	\$	0.68	
9228804	\$	0.68	
9228805	\$	11.28	
9228806	\$	1,161.65	
9228841	\$	94.74	
9228853	\$	12,933.25	
9228854	\$	141.95	
9228869	\$	291.05	
9228878	\$	8.80	
9228913	\$	804.94	
9228928	\$	6.90	
9228961	\$	424.55	
9229016	\$	600.41	
9229066	\$	190.44 Page 103	

			100 003 100%
			Highlighted
ber			Amts are De Minimus)
ibei			
	9227568		5.05 2.09
	9227789		18.84
	9227801		862.49
	9227938		5.97
	9227994		1.78
	9227995		5.92
	9228113		36.11
	9228122		165.77
	9228127		15.38
	9228168		2,667.32
	9228170		16.48
	9228220		8.31
	9228243	\$	2,534.04
	9228244		2,534.04
	9228245	\$	2,534.04
	9228254	\$	16.48
	9228259	\$	60.92
	9228275	\$	11.26
	9228276		6.24
	9228362		63.43
	9228395		1,266.97
	9228397		1,266.97
	9228776		71.55
	9228777	\$	2.94
	9228785		32.41
	9228802	\$	0.68
	9228804		0.68
		\$	11.28
	9228806	\$	1,161.65
		\$	94.74
	9228853		12,933.25
	9228854 9228869		141.95
	9228869		291.05
			8.80
	9228913 9228928		804.94 6.90
	9228928		424.55
	9229016		600.41
	9229066		190.44
	3223000	*	Page 103

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9229678	\$	63.08
9229683	ŝ	35.66
9229684	s	62.84
9229685	\$	59.00
9229687	\$	63.03
9229696	\$ \$ \$	133.41
9229723	\$	25.35
9229724	\$ \$ \$	15.97
9229725	\$	15.97
9229727	\$	111.74
9229729	\$	47.91
9229731	\$	11.33
9229735	\$	1.33
9229740	\$	3.98
9229741	\$	7.96
9229742	\$	3.98
9229765	\$	344.13
9229768	\$	759.94
9229780	\$	98.51
9229781	\$	57.91
9229782	\$	57.91
9229783	\$	98.51
9229784	\$	20.03
9229787	\$	182.49
9229788	\$	182.49
9229789	\$	210.11
9229790	\$	147.64
9229792	\$	147.64
9229793	\$	545.51
9229808	\$	18.18
9229815 9229816	\$	541.78 23.20
9229816	\$	23.20
9229817	\$	23.20
9229818	\$	23.20
9229820	\$	226.04
9229825	\$	444.67
9229825	\$	679.77
9229827	\$	5.21
9229828	\$	7.33
3223626	7	Page 105
		. 480 103

	Alloc DGS 100%	
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9229829	\$	6.52
9229830	\$	7.84
9229831	\$	7.80
9229832	\$ \$	8.92
9229833	\$	9.66
9229834	\$	7.33
9229835	\$	62.89
9229836	\$	8.92
9229837	\$	8.92
9229840	\$	70.75
9229841	\$	2.61
9229850	\$	141.98
9229864	\$	2,547.49
9229866	\$	229.07
9229868	\$	997.76
9229877	\$	68.25
9229885	\$	997.76
9229887	\$	34.00
9229896	\$	689.45
9229904	\$	519.47
9229905	\$	2.04
9229907	\$	203.40
9229913	\$	236.88
9229917	\$	57.27
9229922	\$	670.12
9229923	\$	63.97
9229924	\$	63.97
9229925	\$	63.97
9229930	\$	98.17
9229931	\$	32.72
9229932	\$	981.91
9229934	\$	108.18
9229935	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	108.18
9229947	\$	522,30
9229949	\$	522.30
9229956	\$	181.68
9229967	\$	58.80
9229968	\$	21.82
9229974	\$	10.91
9229975	\$	62.04
		Page 106

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

Owner Number		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
92299	976 \$	62.04
92299		
92299		
92299		15.72
92299		670.11
92299		288.02
92299	95 \$	212.26
92299		886.87
92299		110.23
92299	99 5	73.48
92300		73.48
92300		73.48
92300		229.07
92300		5.34
92300		290.82
92300		667.89
92300		60.05
92300		2.95
92300		2.95
92300		6.44
92300		10.81
92300		1.54
92300		4.83
92300		96.46
92300		662.11
92300		10.38
92300		19.50
92300		83.68
92300		147.67
92300		221.50
92300		147.67
92300		221.50
92300		147.67
92300 92300		147.67
92300		38.74
92300	20 5	28.84 275.18
92300	5 00	5.15
92300		5.15
92300		49.09
92300	د اده	Page 107

	1	A	lloc DGS 100%
	- 1		(Highlighted
	- 1		Amts are De
Owner Number	_		Minimus)
9230		\$	43,38
9230		\$	260.39
9230		\$	11.17
9230		\$ \$ \$	385.81
9230		5	433.21
9230		ş	306.02
9230		5	306.02
9230		\$	306.02
9230		\$	2.41
92300		\$	19.37
92300		\$	23.26
92300		\$	97.78
92300		\$	5,241.54
92300		\$	70.68
92300		\$	123,70
92300		\$	70.68
92300		>	70.68
92300 92301		\$	53.74
92301		>	8.48 39.62
92301		\$	8.48
92301	03	\$	45.02
92301		\$	45.02
92301		\$	56.51
92301	ng	\$	45.02
92301		\$	8.48
92301	11	\$	8.48
92301		\$	70.69
92301	16	\$	329.66
92301		\$	41.21
92301		\$	41.21
92301	20	\$	41.21
92301		\$	43.38
92301	31	\$	49.22
92301	34	Ś	15.64
92301	38	\$	12.00
92301	39	\$	7.68
92301	41	\$	51.41
92301		\$	14.23
92301		\$	7.68
	•		Page 108

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Arnts are De Minimus)
9230146	
9230147	
9230148	
9230149	\$ 12.85
9230150	\$ 12.85
9230159	\$ 32.88
9230160	
9230161	\$ 1.10
9230162 9230163	\$ 1.10
	\$ 1.10 \$ 3.85
9230165 9230166	\$ 3.85 \$ 10.81
9230166	\$ 0.77
9230168	\$ 10.81
9230169	\$ 10.60
9230170	\$ 10.60
9230172	\$ 275.40
9230174	\$ 44.07
9230176	\$ 291.28
9230177	\$ 39.76
9230178	\$ 2.99
9230179	\$ 771.26
9230181	\$ 1.58
9230186	\$ 99.90
9230187	\$ 99.90
9230188	\$ 72.65
9230191	\$ 102.20
9230194	\$ 0.33
9230195	\$ 0.51
9230198	\$ 0.33 \$ 0.51 \$ 3.74 \$ 2.46 \$ 1.48 \$ 1.85 \$ 9.84
9230201	\$ 2.46
9230202	\$ 1.48
9230203	\$ 1.85
9230204	\$ 1.85
9230205	\$ 9.84
9230206	\$ 433.18
9230207	\$ 9.23
9230209	\$ 10.60
9230210	\$ 10.60
9230211	\$ 10.60
	Page 109

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9230212	\$ 2.99
9230213	\$ 2.99
9230214	\$ 8.96
9230217	\$ 3.12
9230222	\$ 496.13
9230229	\$ 845.16
9230279	\$ 1.58
9230289	\$ 182.43
9230300	\$ 24.50
9230301	\$ 48.68
9230302	\$ 182.43
9230303	\$ 110.95
9230305	\$ 182.43
9230306	\$ 896.85
9230311	\$ 17.38
9230312	\$ 31.28
9230318	\$ 7.68
9230320	\$ 5.69
9230321	\$ 14.23
9230322 9230324	\$ 14.23 \$ 53.60
9230324	
9230329	
9230330	\$ 181.03 \$ 626.89
9230333	\$ 626.89
9230334	\$ 1.56
9230335	\$ 4.67
9230336	\$ 1.56
9230338	\$ 2.82
9230341	\$ 4.67
9230348	\$ 1.17
9230351	\$ 1.17
9230352	\$ 4.67
9230353	5 2.34
9230355	\$ 2.34 \$ 2.34
9230356	\$ 4.67
9230360	\$ 23.12
9230368	\$ 759.94
9230369	\$ 8.07
9230370	\$ 72.65
	Page 110

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted	
		Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)	
9230	371 5	5 56.85	
9230			
9230			
9230			
9230			
9230	379	4.21	
9230	387 391	3.69	
92303			
92303			
92303		8.96	
92303			
9230		8.96	
92303		54.58	
92303			
92304		8.96	
92304		8.96	
92304			
92304		8.27	
92304			
92304			
92304			
92304			
92304		230.44	
92304	125 \$	382.83	
92304		658.06	
92304	128 \$	929.94	
92304	29 \$	748.20	
92304	30 \$	39.62	
92304	31 \$	706.25	
92304		56.51	
92304			
92304		2.77	
92304		946.91	
92304		11.33	
92304	51 \$	165.86	
92304		63.12	
92304	57 \$	34.00	
92304		11.33	
92304		966.00	
92304	62 \$	272.90 Page 111	

		Alloc DGS 100%
	- 1	(Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	- 1	Minimus)
92304		
92304		\$ 11.33
92304		\$ 28.84
92304		\$ 291.28
92304	-	221.50
92304		165.86
92305	60	73.39
92305	66	73.39
92305	92	42.76
92306	05	1.10
92306	11 3	23.68
92306	16	177.57
92306	1/13	42.76
92306		47.90
92306	29 5	150.77
92306		26.26
92307	09 \$	102.20
92307		2.45
92307	6/	1.23
92307		216.50
92307		
92307 92307		290.69 32.63
92307		285.35
92307		48.11
92307		49.10
92307		8.52
92307		
92307		
92307		
92307		
92307		
92308		0.63
92308		0.35
92308		
92308		
92308		
92308		216.50
92308		0.27
92309		2.02
323030	3	Page 112

		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number	- 1	Minimus)
92309	110	Williamosj
92309		\$ 19.84
92303	20	\$ 22.33
92309		
92309	22	\$ 22.33 \$ 14.89 \$ 14.89
92309	23	\$ 14.89
92309	24	\$ 14.89
92309		7 14.03
92309		
92309		\$ 1,811.37
92309		
92309	45	\$ 201.24
92309	47	\$ 402.47
92309	64	\$ 9.01
92309	70	\$ 2.02
92310	08	\$ 2,563.46
92310	45	\$ 8.84
92310	59 :	\$ 70.69
92310	82	\$ 177.71 \$ 201.24 \$ 402.47 \$ 9.01 \$ 2.02 \$ 2,563.46 \$ 70.69 \$ 1.47 \$ 41.21 \$ 44.14 \$ 61.91 \$ 42.32
92310	83	\$ 41.21
92310	86 :	\$ 144.14
92310	91 5	\$ 61.91
92310	92 5	\$ 42.32
92310		5 2.10
92310	94 5	\$ 44.07
92311	02	5 1.27
92311	05	
92311		\$ 98.77
92311		
92311		9.57
92311	26 5	41.21
92311	62	2.21
92311	63	0.66
92311	83	3.17
92311	B4 💲	1.65
92311	85 5	1.10
92311		0.66
923118	37 5	0.66
923118		3.17
923119	94 \$	4.67
		Page 11

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9231203	\$	190.48
9231209	\$	1,676.22
9231210	\$	1.22
9231224	\$	1,676.22
9231225	\$	76.65
9231228	\$	275.40
9231233	\$	4,114.22
9231271	\$	1.10
9231274 9231306	\$	76.03
9231308		45.02
9231308	\$	82.54 1.10
9231312	\$	171.58
9231333	\$	10.58
9231334	\$	126.35
9231335	\$	59.46
9231336	\$	59.46
9231337	\$	59.46
9231340	\$	188.21
9231341	\$	94.11
9231344	\$	94.11
9231345	\$	431.23
9231347	5	2.58
9231349	5	2.58
9231350	\$	88.13
9231363	\$	88.13
9231394	\$	175.44
9231396	\$	7.57
9231397	\$	3.79
9231399	\$	1.43
9231401	S	3.79
9231405	\$	68.15
9231407	5	3.79
9231409	5	1.89
9231412	\$	3,79
9231413	\$	22.72
9231487	,	47.000.55
9231494 9231496	\$	17,930.56
9231496 9231520	\$	48.31
9231520	Þ	52.09 Page 114
		rage 114

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

2024-267

Exhibit B

Owner Number		loc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
923153		2.76
923153		1,761.45
923155		12.99
923155		12.99
923155		0.51
923157		1.20
923158		8.66
923159		454.26
923159		
923160	-	20.84
923161		
923162		4.19
923162		40.07
923165		1,283.47
923165		15.16
923166		97.95
923167 923168	2 2	97.95
923168		377.34
923172		22,684.99 8.70
923172		7.78
923175		56.85
923177		1.61
923177		13.15
923180		4.30
923180		4.30
923181	\$	14.69
923185		38.96
923185		187.21
923186	Š	35.96
923187		35.96
923187	5	1.98
923187	S	1.72
923187	100	19.48
923187		9.74
923187		9.74
9231880		22.97
923188		2.20
923188		3.83
9231889		22.97

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9231890	\$ 3.83
9231891	\$ 3.83
9231892	\$ 3.83
9231893	\$ 3.83
9231905	\$ 35.96
9231906	\$ 35.96
9231907	\$ 35.96
9231913	\$ 71.11
9231914	\$ 71.11
9231915	\$ 142.21
9231917	\$ 2.82
9231918	\$ 40.89
9231920	\$ 2.02
9231921	\$ 2.20
9231922	\$ 4.91
9231923	\$ 5.74
9231924	\$ 5.74
9231925	\$ 2.01
9231926	\$ 5.74
9231927	\$ 2.20
9231928	\$ 5.74
9231932	\$ 2.20 \$ 0.39
9231933	\$ 0.39
9231934	\$ 2.01 \$ 1.88
9231935	
9231937	\$ 18.59
9231938	\$ 1.98
9231939	\$ 2.11
9231941	\$ 11.64
9231943	5 1.88
9231946	\$ 1.88
9231948	\$ 7.90
9231952	\$ 2.01
9231955	\$ 40.89
9231956	\$ 2.01
9231957	5 1.98
9231958	\$ 3.95
9231959	\$ 2.01
9231961	\$ 0.39
9231962	\$ 0.39

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	A	lloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
	٠	
9231964		2.82
9231965		9,48
9231966 9231967		3.64
9231967		0.39 2.20
9231969	100	430.90
9232021	12	912.15
9232033	2	2,955.77
9232115	č	263.87
9232113	٤	122.52
9232138	š	7.59
9232139	Š	13.28
9232188	Š	8.68
9232197	Ĭš	400.51
9232237	Š	71.11
9232256	S	0.96
9232257	Š	4.37
9232258	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	18.67
9232262		0.35
9232268		
9232270	\$	20.87
9232271	\$	1.39
9232273	\$	0.25
9232274	\$	3.09
9232275	\$	0.34
9232276	\$	0.51
9232277	5	0.32
9232279	\$	0.32
9232280	S	0.35
9232282	\$	0.53
9232283	\$	0.33
9232284	5	1.18
9232286	5	0.39
9232287	5	0.05
9232289	**********	0.25
9232292 9232293	13	21.00
9232293 9232294	3	18.67
9232294	\$	0.35
9232295		0.62 18.67
9232297	l >	18.67 Page 117
		Page 11/

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9232299	\$ 20.87
9232302	\$ 68.81
9232303	
9232304	\$ 18.67
9232305	
9232309	
9232310	
9232311	
9232312	
9232316	
9232320	
9232322	
9232326	
9232328	
9232330	
9232331	\$ 0.32
9232332	
9232333	\$ 3.21 \$ 3.66
9232334	
9232348	
9232361 9232362	\$ 2,338.60 \$ 447.03
9232362	\$ 447.03 \$ 447.03
9232364	
9232367	\$ 43.60
9232388	\$ 0.35
9232389	\$ 18.67
9232400	
9232402	\$ 6.13
9232405	
9232410	2.70
9232446	\$ 234.05
9232453	\$ 2.70
9232456	\$ 87.24
9232457	\$ 182.43
9232458	
9232459	1-1-1-1
9232460	
9232468	\$ 607.35
9232481	
	Page 118

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

	1	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	-	Minimus)
92325		
92325		
92325		24.18
92325	34 \$	
92325		96.19
92325		17.63
92325		17.63
92325		
92329		1.09
92326	03 \$	2.95
92326		7,602.13
92326		122.41
92326	17 \$	96.19
92326		195.60
92326		1.21
92326		1.21
92326		
92326		182.92
92326		335.00
92326		0.39
92326		103.81
92326		
92326		56.00
92326		144.55
92326		144.55
92326		258.56
92326		258.56
92326		5.97
92326	90 \$	34.48
92326		138.66
92326		138.66
92326		406.56
92326		41.60
92326		41.60
92326		41.60
92326		150.77
92326		11.56
92327		11.56
92327		11.56
92327	05 \$	11.56 Page 11

		Alloc DGS 100%
	- 1	(Highlighted
	- 1	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
92327		\$ 110.93
92327		\$ 69.33
92327		\$ 34.48
92327		\$ 34.48
92327		\$ 175.44
92327		0.96
92327	756	\$ 1.98
92327	765	\$ 216.52
92327		
92327		
92327		\$ 11.80
92327		\$ 11.80
92327		
92328		1.91
92328	308	0.96
92328		248.71
92328		
92328		
92328		71.86
92328		
92328		
92328		11.20
92328		
92328		12.00
92328		
92328		1.02
92328	372	3.36
92328	374	1.02
92328	-	1.02
92328	377	3.36
92328	379	1.02
92328		
92328		25.64
92328		
92328		7.12
92328		7.12
92328		25.64
92328		
92328		
92328	392	25.64 Page 12

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9232893	\$ 6.23
9232894	\$ 40.80
9232895	\$ 12.46
9232896	\$ 25.64
9232897	\$ 1.04
9232898	\$ 6.23
9232899	\$ 1.04
9232901	\$ 42,13
9232904	\$ 4.15
9232905	\$ 6.23
9232906	\$ 6.23
9232907	5 1.04
9232908	\$ 16.80
9232909	\$ 3.36
9232910	\$ 132.66
9232911	\$ 3.36
9232914	\$ 6.30 \$ 6.23
9232917	
9232918 9232919	\$ 12.46 \$ 12.46
9232919	\$ 7.12
9232925	\$ 7.12
9232925	\$ 25.64
9232928	\$ 24.92
9232930	\$ 25.64
9232931	\$ 25.64
9232933	\$ 4.15
9232934	\$ 25.64 \$ 4.15 \$ 4.15
9232935	\$ 7.12
9232936	\$ 25.64
9232937	\$ 25.64
9232938	\$ 16.62
9232939	\$ 25.64
9232943	\$ 6.23
9232946	\$ 11.20
9232954	\$ 16.62
9232962	\$ 4,538.41
9232963	5 3.21
9232968	\$ 19.31
9232969	
	Page 121

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9232970	
9232971	\$ 19.43
9232972	\$ 107.46
9232973	\$ 14.52
9232974	\$ 24.28
9232975	
9232977	\$ 19.43
9232978	
9232979	
9232989	\$ 2.43
9232990	
9232992	\$ 12.14
9232995	\$ 2.43
9232996	\$ 12.14
9232997	\$ 25.90
9232998	\$ 2.43
9233000	
9233028	\$ 9.71
9233033	\$ 614.28
9233034	\$ 129.23
9233058	\$ 188.21
9233061	\$ 38.86
9233062	\$ 1,663.48
9233070	4504
9233079 9233080	\$ 15.04 \$ 15.04
9233081	\$ 15.04
9233113 9233120	\$ 7.07 \$ 6.94
9233126	\$ 107.69
9233126	\$ 60.85
9233133	\$ 5.49
9233134	\$ 5.49
9233142	\$ 16.79
9233196	\$ 14.05
9233201	\$ 38.86
9233207	\$ 11.63
9233264	\$ 294.03
9233266	\$ 2.06
9233267	\$ 2.06
3233207	Page 12
	. upc xx

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

	A	lloc DGS 100%	
	3	(Highlighted	
		Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)	
92333	268 \$	1.65	
92333		166.12	
9233		1.56	
9233		16.51	
9233		2.20	
9233		148.79	
9233		25.64	
92333		32.21	
92333		78.86	
9233		26.05	
9233		1,112.98	
9233		1.81	
92334		197.73	
92334 92334		38.14 219.32	
92334	185 \$	73.03	
92334		10.67	
92334		2.67	
92334		0.17	
9233	130 5	0.17	
92335		0.13	
92335		13.27	
92335		12.97	
92335		12.97	
92333	533 \$ 534 \$ 535 \$ 543 \$	12.97	
92335	35 \$	12.97	
92335	43 \$	12.75	
92335	44 \$	17.60	
92335	46 \$	12.97	
92335	47 \$	12.97	
92335	48 \$	12.97	
92335	49 \$	12.97	
92335	54 \$	29.30	
92335		533.03	
92335	73 \$	103.85	
92335	95 \$	40.56	
92335	96 \$	66.07	
92335	99 \$	66.07	
92336		223.11	
92336	01 \$	649.04 Page 123	

		Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
O		Amts are De Minimus)
Owner Number		
92336		
92336		
92336		
92336		172.08
92336		4 700 40
92337		1,720.12
92337		20.20
92337		
92337		
92337		
92337		7.70
92337		
92337		
92337		15.35
92337		
92337		
92338		122.11
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338 92338		537.40
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338		
92338		0.55
92338		17.78 8.34
92338	70 3	17.78
92338		17.78
92338		4.91
92338		45.26
92338		7.11
92338		3.55
92338	00	Page 12

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9233887	\$ 7.11
9233888	\$ 3.55
9233899	\$ 4.68
9233901	\$ 18.01
9233904	\$ 9.84
9233905	\$ 9.84
9233906	\$ 9.84 \$ 14.00
9233907	\$ 14.00
9233908	\$ 12.28
9233909	\$ 14.00
9233910	\$ 14.00
9233911	\$ 9.84
9233914	\$ 8.18
9233916	\$ 4.88
9233917	\$ 4.92
9233918	\$ 57.29
9233930	\$ 0.49
9233932	\$ 14.91
9233933	\$ 34.92
9233936 9233942	\$ 1.61 \$ 13.80
9233943 9233944	\$ 0.37 \$ 0.75
9233944	\$ 0.75 \$ 29.54
9233951	\$ 29.54
9233958	\$ 29.54
9233960	\$ 640.92
9233962	\$ 4.92
9233964	5 4.92
9233965	\$ 29.54
9233970	\$ 4.92
9233974	\$ 56.63
9233977	\$ 56.63
9233978	\$ 219.13
9233979	\$ 54.34
9233986	\$ 56.63 \$ 56.63 \$ 219.13 \$ 54.34 \$ 141.90 \$ 219.13 \$ 369.34
9233989	\$ 219.13
9233993	\$ 369.34
9234023	\$ 133.93
9234029	\$ 57.07
323 1323	Page 125

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9234052	\$ 4.28
9234053	\$ 4.28
9234054	\$ 4.28
9234055	\$ 4.28
9234056	\$ 4.28
9234063	\$ 4.28 \$ 4.28 \$ 0.38 \$ 0.97 \$ 0.86 \$ 4.92
9234068	5 1.43
9234072	\$ 0.97
9234075	\$ 0.86
9234080	
9234082	\$ 0.49
9234087	\$ 2.15
9234088	\$ 29.54
9234091	
9234093 9234094	\$ 0.70 \$ 2.32
9234094	\$ 225.37
9234100	\$ 14.77
9234102	\$ 3.03
9234102	\$ 71.63
9234122	\$ 11.74
9234135	\$ 70.33
9234136	\$ 4.92
9234146	
9234148	
9234150	
9234151	CALL TO
9234152	
9234163	100
9234201	\$ 21.77
9234202	\$ 10.88
9234205	\$ 10.88
9234218	\$ 2.53 \$ 8.95 \$ 8.95
9234229	\$ 8.95
9234231	\$ 8.95
9234233	\$ 29.83
9234235	\$ 501.08
9234239	\$ 1.06
9234246	\$ 9.52
9234247	
	Page 126

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

Owner Number		(H An	c DGS 100% ighlighted nts are De Minimus)
	234248	\$	9.52
9	9234261	\$	1.02
,	9234262	\$	1.02
9	234263	\$ 5	1.02
	234265	\$	1.34
	234266	\$	1.80
	234268	\$	20.03
	234269	\$	5.01
	234270	\$	9.52
	234274	\$	11.74
	234275	\$	11.74
	234276	\$	11.74
	234313	\$	115.58
	234315	\$	3.18
-	234316	\$	504.06
-	234321	\$	339.77
	234322	\$	3.79
	234336	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	23.08
	234337	\$	10.10
	234338	\$	10.10
-	234341	\$	530.21
-	234365 234367	\$	291.05 33.08
	234367	>	
	234368	\$	11.03 880.52
	234396	è	3.67
	234397	\$	3.67
	234421	\$	70.51
	234444	5	0.96
-	234459	\$	8.15
_	234460	\$	1.59
	234462	\$	149.26
	234463	\$	199.41
	234465	\$	97.63
-	234472	\$	0.26
	234476	\$	41.74
	234477	\$	79.18
	234496	\$	0.20
9	234515	\$	228.78
9	234516	\$	75.38
			Page 12

		100000	oc DGS 100%
			lighlighted
		0.00	mts are De
Owner Number			Minimus)
	9234518	\$	75.38
	9234519	\$	4.32
	9234520	5	4.32
	9234522	\$	69.79
	9234560	\$	44.32
	9234561	\$	52.73
	9234589	7	
	9234590		
	9234611		
	9234631	9.1	
	9234632		
	9234633	\$	1,194.40
	9234634		
	9234664	\$	44.63
	9234666		
	9234667		
	9234668		
	9234706	\$	68.81
	9234708	\$	6.52
	9234715	5	0.76
	9234717	5	1.51
	9234718	\$	0.76
	9234724	\$	1.19
	9234728 9234762	\$	25.55
	9234764		73.98 41.55
	9234764	\$	29.58
	9234765	\$	
	9234768	\$	1,775.84 118.39
	9234769	\$	69.52
	9234769	\$	13.20
	9234772	\$	202.57
	9234772	\$	15.85
	9234774	\$	129.19
	9234774	\$	81.33
	9234784	\$	81.33
	9234783	\$	115.47
	9234793	\$	26.39
	9234794	\$	26.39
	9234795	Š	26.39
	3234/33	4	Page 12

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	1	Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
923480	5 \$	264.38
923481	0	
923481		276.17
923481		282.23
923481		1,258.55
923482		94.08
923482		211.67
923482	6 \$	282.23
923482		470.38
923484		3,065.61
923485	100	3.45
923485	6 5	25.41
923485		66.71
923485		66.71
923485		66.71
923487	_	269.44
923487 923487		0.65
923487		9.65
		96.05
923487		96.05
923488		96.05
923489 923489		2.99 96.05
923489		96.05
923489		0.51
923491		64.54
923496		64.54
923496		64.54
923496	ءُ او	64.54
923497	ءَ ام	64.54
923497		64.54
923497	ءَ اد	64.54
923497	7 5	64.54
923497	8 5	14.49
923497	9 8	14.49
923498		115.94
923498		115.94
923499		115.94
923499		115.94
923499		695.64
		Page 12

	Α	lloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted	
	Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)
9235005	\$	42.30
9235006	\$	42.30
9235007	\$	42.30
9235013	\$	13.05
9235014	\$	6.52
9235015	\$	13.05
9235019	S	2.45
9235021	\$	39.14
9235022	\$	142.34
9235026	5	3.26
9235027	\$	3.26
9235039	\$	0.99
9235056	\$	340.79
9235061	\$	647.60
9235063	\$	2,404.63
9235126	\$	37.94
9235147	\$	23.70
9235148	\$	23.70
9235156	5	1.19
9235157	\$	23.70
9235160	\$	59.97
9235176	\$	5.55
9235179	\$	65.41
9235180	\$	21.80
9235182	\$	5.55
9235196	\$	65.41
9235202	\$	256.67
9235204	\$	118.19
9235205	\$	427.80
9235206	\$	427.80
9235207	\$	427.80
9235208	\$	855.59
9235209	\$	183.98
9235210	\$	21.80
9235217	\$	198.70
9235218	\$	98.12
9235220	\$	130.83
9235222	\$	65.41
9235224	\$	696.40
9235245	\$	205.27
		Page 130

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

Owner Number		Alloc DGS 100 (Highlighted Amts are De	%
		Minimus)	
9235	252	\$ 1.8	3
9235		\$ 122.4	
9235		\$ 1,312.3	
9235		\$ 191.6	
9235		\$ 191.6	
9235	285	\$ 191.6	5
9235	286	\$ 191.6 \$ 191.6	5
9235	291	\$ 383.3	0
9235	292		1
9235	293	\$ 122.4	0
9235	294	\$ 77.2 \$ 122.4 \$ 77.2 \$ 77.2 \$ 77.2	1
9235	295	\$ 77.2	1
9235	296	\$ 77.2	
9235		\$ 1,660.2 \$ 122.4	
9235	298	\$ 122.4	0
9235		\$ 122.4 \$ 122.4	
9235		\$ 122.4	
9235		\$ 102.9	
9235		\$ 602.4	
9235		\$ 122.4	
9235		\$ 620.0	
9235		\$ 102.9	
9235		\$ 308.8	
9235		\$ 25.7	
92353		\$ 766.6	
9235		\$ 25.7	
92353		\$ 4.4	
92353		\$ 4,694.6	
92353		\$ 1,906.5	
92353		\$ 73.5	
92353		\$ 27.2	
92353		\$ 84.0	
92353 92353		\$ 1.8	
9235:		\$ 213.50 \$ 1,518.73	
92354		\$ 1,518.7	
92354		\$ 437.3	
92354		\$ 437.3	
92354		\$ 6.00	
92354	476	\$ 173.4	
3233		Page 1	

	A	(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
923547	\$	173.45
923550		48.22
923550		199.58
923551		319.18
923553		23.00
923553		3.90
923553	5	3.90
923553		3.90
923553	5	3.90
923554	S	3.67
923554		3.67
923554	5	3.67
923554		3.67
923554		3.67
923554		3.67
923554		3.67
9235560		26.02
9235580		2.60
9235584		5.20
923560		295.10
923560		26.02
9235609		19.51
9235613		26.02
9235623		1.93
9235637	\$	32.71
9235693		7.37
9235728		31.12
9235743	c	16.85
9235744		203.82
9235747	\$	7.82
9235767	\$	19.81
9235773	\$	52.97
9235772	\$ \$ \$	31.06
9235773	\$	31.56
9235774	\$	6.74
9235783		2.27
9235784		4.50
9235787		19.12
9235790		
9235791		

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9235792	Г	
9235795		4.50
9235796	\$	12.24
9235797		5.37
9235798		12.24
9235799		3.39
9235801		16.13
9235803	\$	10.75
9235804	\$	9.90
9235805	\$	9.90
9235806	\$	13.76
9235807	\$	171.70
9235808		7.57
9235815		0.14
9235828		23.00
9235830	\$	0.39
9235835		
9235838		
9235840 9235844		1.45
9235844	3	0.58 0.79
9235864	3	0.79
9235870	5 5 5 5	0.29
9235875	2	7.12
9235897	\$	352.73
9235898		352.73
9235931	۲	332,73
9235934	s	99.82
9235980	Ť	33.02
9235981		
9235982		N - 1 11
9235983		CC SA
9235986		
9235987	\$	546.85
9235988	\$	8.51
9235989		0.39
9235990	\$ 5	0.39
9235991	5	0.39
9235995	5	2.05
9235996	5	2.05
		Page 133

	Alloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9236004	
9236005	
9236006	-1/1
9236007	
9236009	\$ 54.58
9236011	\$ 304.05
9236013	\$ 32.72
9236019	\$ 166.14
9236026	
9236027	\$ 600.29
9236037	\$ 15.79
9236038	\$ 15.79
9236039	\$ 1.55
9236040	\$ 1.00
9236043	\$ 114.53 \$ 114.53
9236044	
9236061	\$ 1.22
9236070	\$ 16.15
9236102	\$ 87.42
9236111	\$ 23.11
9236125	\$ 4.69
9236131	\$ 487.77
9236132	\$ 487.78
9236133	\$ 487.77
9236135	\$ 17.77
9236157	\$ 24.28
9236158	\$ 24.28
9236159	\$ 65.34
9236160	\$ 42.67
9236161	\$ 21.33
9236162	\$ 17.77
9236163	\$ 17.77
9236173	\$ 29.52
9236180	\$ 1.22 \$ 16.15 \$ 87.42 \$ 23.11 \$ 46.9 \$ 487.77 \$ 487.78 \$ 487.77 \$ 17.77 \$ 24.28 \$ 65.34 \$ 42.67 \$ 17.77 \$ 21.33 \$ 17.77 \$ 29.52 \$ 8.81 \$ 105.07 \$ 16.15
9236184	\$ 105.07
9236186	\$ 16.15
9236187	\$ 16.15
9236191	\$ 2.54
9236192	\$ 2.54
9236212	
	Page 134

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted
	- 1	Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9236	215	\$ 276.36
9236		\$ 145.36
9236		\$ 5,907.38
9236	227	\$ 0.65
9236		\$ 5.70
9236		\$ 69.88
9236	253	\$ 69.88
9236	254	\$ 69.88
9236	257	\$ 80.09
9236		\$ 80.09
9236		\$ 80.09
9236	261	
9236	266	\$ 69.88
9236		\$ 96.54
9236	281	\$ 3,660.27
9236		
9236	285	\$ 5.81
9236	286	
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236 9236		
9236		29.86
		1531
9236 9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
9236		
3230.	-1 4	Page 13

	Alloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9236355	\$ 18.62
9236356	
9236361	\$ 15.21
9236362	\$ 6.81
9236364	
9236365	\$ 46.08 \$ 46.08
9236371	\$ 18.20
9236377	\$ 72.80
9236378	
9236394	\$ 28.89
9236435	\$ 437.33
9236455	\$ 1,630.74
9236474	\$ 65.09
9236475	\$ 65.09
9236476	\$ 65.09
9236477	\$ 46.74
9236478	\$ 65.09
9236486	\$ 3.98
9236491	\$ 15.85
9236492	\$ 7.92
9236493	\$ 7.92
9236494	\$ 15.85
9236499	\$ 310.44
9236501	\$ 53.07
9236502	\$ 972.15
9236504	\$ 972.15
9236505	\$ 1,207.25
9236507	\$ 820.80
9236509	\$ 53.07
9236510	\$ 972.15
9236512	\$ 1,207.25
9236526	\$ 39.61
9236527	\$ 65.85
9236548	\$ 3.69
9236597	\$ 49.20
9236611	\$ 78.12
9236616	\$ 21.64
9236647	\$ 40.82
9236648	\$ 130.61
9236656	
	Page 13

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Alloc D65 100% Highlighted Amits are De
Owner Number Amts are De Minimus) 9236657 \$ 8.88 9236666 \$ 174.17 9236667 \$ 79.89 9236680 \$ 41.72 9236687 \$ 96.45 9236670 \$ 49.06 9236708 \$ 49.06 9236742 \$ 72.38 9236745 \$ 16.46 9236754 \$ 389.16 9236755 \$ 16.46 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236758 \$ 23.00 9236769 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236773 \$ 16.05 9236774 \$ 16.05 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236777 \$ 16.05 9236778 \$ 16.05 9236779 \$ 16.05 9236778 \$ 16.05 9236779 \$ 16.05 9236779 \$ 16.05 9236779 \$ 5.60 9236779 \$ 5.60 92367
Owner Number Minimus) 9236657 \$ 8.18 9236666 \$ 174.17 9236667 \$ 79.89 9236680 \$ 41.72 9236691 \$ 60.05 9236707 \$ 49.06 9236708 \$ 49.06 9236742 \$ 72.38 9236745 \$ 399.16 9236753 \$ 16.46 9236754 \$ 9.20 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236758 \$ 16.46 9236779 \$ 16.05 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236773 \$ 57.08 9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236777 \$ 16.05 9236778 \$ 16.05 9236779 \$ 16.05 9236778 \$ 16.05 9236779 \$ 16.05 9236779 \$ 5.60 9236779 \$ 5.60 9236779
9236666 \$ 174.17 9236666 \$ 79.89 9236687 \$ 79.89 9236687 \$ 96.45 9236670 \$ 49.06 9236708 \$ 49.06 9236746 \$ 399.16 9236755 \$ 16.46 9236755 \$ 16.46 9236756 \$ 49.06 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236778 \$ 23.00 9236778 \$ 16.46 9236779 \$ 16.46 9236779 \$ 16.53 9236771 \$ 16.50
9236666 \$ 174.17 9236667 \$ 79.89 9236687 \$ 96.45 9236687 \$ 96.45 9236678 \$ 49.06 9236708 \$ 49.06 9236746 \$ 399.16 9236745 \$ 16.46 9236755 \$ 16.46 9236755 \$ 16.46 9236756 \$ 49.06 9236777 \$ 16.36 9236774 \$ 16.36 9236774 \$ 16.36 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236777 \$ 16.36 9236778 \$ 16.05
9236667 \$ 79.89 9236680 \$ 41.72 9236687 \$ 96.45 9236691 \$ 60.05 9236707 \$ 49.06 9236742 \$ 72.38 9236746 \$ 399.16 9236754 \$ 383 9236755 \$ 16.46 9236758 \$ 16.46 9236758 \$ 16.46 9236767 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236777 \$ 16.05
9236680 \$ 41.72 9236687 \$ 96.45 9236691 \$ 60.05 9236707 \$ 49.06 9236742 \$ 72.38 9236742 \$ 72.38 9236745 \$ 399.16 9236753 \$ 16.46 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236758 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 16.05 9236777 \$ 16.05 9236777 \$ 16.05 9236778 \$ 16.05
9236691 \$ 60.05 9236707 \$ 49.06 9236708 \$ 49.06 9236742 \$ 72.38 9236745 \$ 399.16 9236753 \$ 16.46 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.05 9236775 \$ 16.65 9236776 \$ 16.65 9236777 \$ 24.08 9236777 \$ 16.05 9236777 \$ 16.05
9236691 \$ 60.05 9236707 \$ 49.06 9236708 \$ 49.06 9236742 \$ 72.38 9236745 \$ 399.16 9236753 \$ 16.46 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.05 9236775 \$ 16.65 9236776 \$ 16.65 9236777 \$ 24.08 9236777 \$ 16.05 9236777 \$ 16.05
9236742 \$ 72.38 9236753 \$ 399.16 9236754 \$ 399.16 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.3 9236776 \$ 16.65 9236776 \$ 16.65 9236777 \$ 16.5 9236776 \$ 16.5 9236777 \$ 16.5
9236742 \$ 72.38 9236753 \$ 399.16 9236754 \$ 399.16 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.3 9236776 \$ 16.65 9236776 \$ 16.65 9236777 \$ 16.5 9236776 \$ 16.5 9236777 \$ 16.5
9236753 \$ 16.46 9236754 \$ 3.83 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236763 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.05 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 25.00 9236777 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 1.63 9236776 \$ 1.63 9236777 \$ 1.63 9236778 \$ 1.63
9236753 \$ 16.46 9236754 \$ 3.83 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236763 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.05 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 25.00 9236777 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 1.63 9236776 \$ 1.63 9236777 \$ 1.63 9236778 \$ 1.63
9236754 \$ 9.20 9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236763 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.35 9236774 \$ 16.35 9236775 \$ 16.35 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 16.35
9236755 \$ 9.20 9236757 \$ 16.46 9236758 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236763 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 16.05 9236778 \$ 16.05 9236778 \$ 16.05
9236757 \$ 16.46 9236768 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236763 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236773 \$ 57.08 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236776 \$ 1.63 9236776 \$ 1.63 9236778 \$ 1.63
9236758 \$ 16.46 9236762 \$ 23.00 9236763 \$ 23.00 9236771 \$ 16.05 9236772 \$ 24.08 9236774 \$ 16.35 9236775 \$ 16.35 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 1.63 9236778 \$ 1.63 9236778 \$ 1.63
9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 1.63 9236789 \$ 1.63
9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 1.63 9236789 \$ 1.63
9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 1.63 9236789 \$ 1.63
9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 1.63 9236789 \$ 1.63
9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 1.63 9236789 \$ 1.63
9236774 \$ 1.63 9236775 \$ 16.05 9236776 \$ 24.08 9236778 \$ 1.63 9236789 \$ 1.63
9236780 \$ 57.08
9236780 \$ 57.08
9236780 \$ 57.08
9236780 \$ 57.08
9236780 \$ 57.08
9236781 \$ 2.72 9236782 \$ 2.72
9236782 \$ 2.72
9236783 \$ 2.72
9236784 \$ 4.08
9236785 5 4.08
9236787 5 1.63
9236788 \$ 1.63
9236789 \$ 85.08
9236798 \$ 205.77
9236812 \$ 1,398.75
9236813 \$ 44.43
9236815 \$ 23.18
9236818
9236824 \$ 2.32
Page 13

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9236825	\$ 2.32
9236826	\$ 18.58
9236861	\$ 0.59
9236864	\$ 50.84
9236877	\$ 22.83
9236886	\$ 40.60
9236888	\$ 6.05
9236891	\$ 44.23
9236892	\$ 7.37
9236893	\$ 353.84
9236897	\$ 235.90
9236899	\$ 235.90
9236900	\$ 7.37
9236906	\$ 149.47
9236907	\$ 7.37
9236908	\$ 20.64
9236910	\$ 2.34
9236911	\$ 9.48 \$ 14.05
9236913	
9236917 9236918	\$ 7.22 \$ 10.53
9236918	\$ 10.53
9236920	\$ 2.34
9236922	\$ 18.73
9236939	\$ 1.12
9236944	\$ 19.90
9236945	\$ 7.09
9236949	\$ 1,716.20
9236968	\$ 3.92
9236973	\$ 15,15
9236974	\$ 10.42
9236975	\$ 10.42
9236991	\$ 17.31
9236993	\$ 76.18
9236994	\$ 390.64
9236995	\$ 390.64
9236996	\$ 15.63
9237001	\$ 38.96
9237002	\$ 4.69
9237003	\$ 4.69
	Page 138

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

(Highl		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)	
9237004	\$	90.34	
9237010		15.15	
9237013		4.34	
9237014	\$	0.22	
9237015	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.22	
9237020	\$	733.22	
9237021	\$	26.99	
9237025	\$	0.67	
9237026	\$	0.22	
9237032	\$	1.12	
9237033	\$	1.12	
9237041	\$	184.76	
9237047	5	0.76	
9237048 9237049	\$ \$	0.76	
9237049	2	0.76 0.76	
9237052	\$	0.76	
9237091	\$	729.62	
9237119	7	723.02	
9237156	\$	40.53	
9237185	\$	36.30	
9237191		1,346.26	
9237208	\$ \$	501.08	
9237214	\$	118.50	
9237215	\$ \$ \$	62.23	
9237222	\$	338.93	
9237223	\$	36.30	
9237224	\$	36.30	
9237227	\$	124.47	
9237228	\$	20.74	
9237229	\$	20.74	
9237230	\$	20.74	
9237234	\$	62.23	
9237235	5	3.11	
9237238 9237239	\$ \$	99.58	
9237239	\$	7.29 7.84	
9237248	\$	40.60	
9237262	\$	34.94	
9237263	5	3.40	

	()	oc DGS 100% Highlighted mts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
923726	4 \$	21.45
923727		248.15
923727		176.46
923727		176.46
923727		246.08
923727		0.73
923728		210.08
923729		124.35
923729		330.86
923729		3.10
923729		10.89
923730		102.95
923731		8.04
923731		10.72
923731		7.02
923731		15.08
923731		137,27
923732		48.26
923732		13.95
923732: 923733:		8.04
923733		5.79
923733		17.16
923733		206.62 37.40
923733		37.40
923734		384.49
923734		206.41
923734		9.48
923734		9.48
923734		9.48
923734		16.14
923734		9.48
9237350		616.71
923735		17.16
9237366		123.04
9237367		210.92
9237369		268.09
9237370		32.98
9237371		32.98
9237377		248.15
		Page 14

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9237373	
923/3/3 9237374	\$ 248.15 \$ 65.41
9237374	\$ 65.41
9237377	\$ 20.50
9237381	\$ 20.50
9237381	\$ 525.26
9237397	\$ 14.89
9237398	\$ 288.97
9237399	\$ 0.79
9237402	\$ 1,474.43
9237407	\$ 33.72
9237438	\$ 43.38
9237441	\$ 15.52
9237443	\$ 15.52
9237444	\$ 48.45
9237445	\$ 5.75
9237446	\$ 22.99
9237447	\$ 14.37
9237448	\$ 14.37
9237449	\$ 1.09
9237457	\$ 9.85
9237462	\$ 23.26
9237463	\$ 23.26
9237464	\$ 9.85
9237468	\$ 1.09
9237488	\$ 62.23
9237527	\$ 304.05
9237528	\$ 142.04
9237531	\$ 23.00
9237533 9237534	\$ 1.68 \$ 3.74 \$ 3.11 \$ 0.35
9237534 9237535	\$ 3.74
9237538	\$ 3.11 \$ 0.35
9237539	\$ 176.18
9237540	\$ 48.63
9237546	
9237555	\$ 1.08 \$ 1.40
9237556	\$ 1,883.42
9237577	\$ 19.31
9237584	\$ 7.78
5257551	Page 141
	-63 4 14

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9237585	\$ 7.78
9237586	\$ 62.23
9237596	\$ 0.40
9237598	\$ 0.35
9237603	\$ 0.81
9237604	\$ 0.81
9237605	\$ 0.81
9237606	\$ 3.24
9237611	\$ 1.39
9237616	\$ 0.81 \$ 0.81 \$ 0.81 \$ 3.24 \$ 1.39 \$ 0.40 \$ 0.49
9237643	\$ 0.49
9237645	\$ 44.06
9237647	\$ 2.26
9237649	\$ 8.20
9237650	
9237655	
9237710	\$ 120.21
9237713	\$ 256.81
9237714	\$ 13.65
9237715	\$ 13.65
9237716	\$ 13.65
9237717	\$ 13.65
9237718	\$ 149.47
9237719	\$ 149.47
9237722	\$ 58.07
9237723	\$ 58.07
9237724	\$ 58.07
9237725	\$ 149.47
9237728	\$ 58.07
9237729	\$ 479.55
9237730	\$ 149.47
9237732	\$ 49.81
9237736	\$ 13.65 \$ 13.65 \$ 13.65 \$ 13.65 \$ 149.47 \$ 58.07 \$ 58.07 \$ 58.07 \$ 58.07 \$ 58.07 \$ 149.47 \$ 58.07 \$ 479.55 \$ 149.47 \$ 130.65 \$ 74.74 \$ 149.47 \$ 58.07
9237763	\$ 130.65
9237764	\$ 74.74
9237765	\$ 149.47
9237766	\$ 58.07
9237767	\$ 16.95
9237768	\$ 58.07
9237769	
	Page 142

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

	(1	oc DGS 100% Highlighted mts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
923777	\$	74.74
923777	1 \$	22.19
923777		149.47
923777		199.01
923777	\$ \$	16.95
923779	\$	36.79
923779		7.44
923779		14.88
923780		2.23
923780		0.74
923780		4.96
923780		4.96
923780		4.96
923781		33.23
923781		8.74
923782		4.37
923782		7.44
923783:	\$	31.21
923783		7.50
9237834		5.62
923783		5.62
9237843		8.00
923784		8.00
9237845		8.00
9237847		44.73
9237848		44.73
9237850 9237851		44.73
		1,524.72
9237852 9237853		44.73 44.73
9237855		357.71
	12	
9237857 9237858		5.34 55.29
9237861	?	15.00
9237863	12	21.34
9237864	12	20.00
9237865		15.00
9237868	12	59.77
9237869		44.83
9237870		44.83

	1	Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number	1	Minimus)
923787		44.73
923787		7.95
923787		7.95
923787		1.87
923787		20.00
923787		20.00
923788		18.94
923789		22.91
923790		7.47
923790		59.77
923790		65.85
923790		44.67
923791		159.67
923792		
923792		
923794		0.35
923795		10.58
923797		3,083.53
923797		5.03
923797		86.81
923797		86.36
923797		26.16
923798		26.16
923798		26.16
923798		127.15
923798		5.96
923799		1.24
923801		1.56
923801		1.56
923801		640.58
923802		141.50
923805		21.13
923805		21.13
923805		21.13
923806		2.18
923806		34.15
923807		
923807		6,277.73
923808		51.55
923808	\$	1.53

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9238088	\$ 189.53
9238089	\$ 94.76
9238091	\$ 94.76 \$ 24.89
9238102	\$ 24.89
9238103	\$ 24.89
9238104	\$ 24.89
9238105	\$ 24.89
9238117	\$ 0.81 \$ 0.51
9238118	\$ 0.51
9238124	\$ 19.99
9238125	\$ 422.19
9238157	\$ 16.36
9238162	\$ 16.36
9238163	\$ 16.36
9238164	\$ 16.36
9238179	\$ 93.03
9238220	\$ 0.19
9238231 9238232	\$ 0.26
9238232	\$ 0.42
9238233	\$ 1.01 \$ 3.32
9238268	\$ 3.32 \$ 56.48
9238269	\$ 232.38
9238276	\$ 6.05
9238293	\$ 199.01
9238308	\$ 470.39
9238317	\$ 1.90
9238330	\$ 97.63
9238331	\$ 1,832.89
9238338	\$ 198.55
9238339	\$ 12.41
9238340	\$ 12.41
9238341	\$ 24.82
9238342	\$ 6.20
9238346	\$ 99.27
9238348	
9238349	\$ 1.40 \$ 0.70
9238355	\$ 0.47
9238368	\$ 28.70
9238369	\$ 3.76
	Page 145

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9238373	\$	3.30
9238390	\$	5.76
9238396	\$	17.17
9238397	\$	17.17
9238398	\$	17.17
9238399	\$	17.17
9238411	\$	17.17
9238424	\$	1,545.02
9238427	\$	27.08
9238428	\$	27.08
9238429	\$	27.08
9238436	\$	5.81
9238438	\$	18.40
9238439	\$	5.45
9238440	\$	8.18
9238442	\$	19.57
9238443	\$	45.99
9238445	\$	183.98
9238449	\$	36.80
9238450	\$	45.99
9238451	\$	137.77
9238454	\$	338.30
9238455	\$	45.99
9238456	\$	45.99
9238469	\$	18.40
9238471	\$	24.53
9238472	\$	367.96
9238473	\$	45.99
9238474	****	31.34
9238476	\$	45.99
9238477	\$	7.36
9238478	\$	367.96
9238486	\$	261.57
9238500	\$	8.59
9238501	\$	184.31
9238506	\$	82.40
9238509	\$	82.40
9238518	\$	88,83
9238520	\$	88.83
9238523	5	2.05
		Page 146

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

Owner Number	1	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
92385	25 \$	457.62
92385	27 \$	3.87
92385		7.10
92385		47.70
92385	34 \$	35.34
92385		54.51
92385		18.17
92385	37 \$	18.17
92385	38 \$	33.21
92385	39 \$	15.46
92385		73.06
92385		1.66
92385		33.21
92385		18.17
92385		73.06
92385		1.66
92385		803.96
92385		803.96
92385		32.48
92385		119.56
92385	97 \$	199.91
92385		
92386		2.46
92386		1.57
92386		31.42
92386		31.42
92386		31.42
92386		31.42
92386		31.42
92386		31.42
92386		31.42
92386		31.42
92386		31.42
92386		38.01
92386		43.64
92386		17.96
92386		27.82
92386		1.27
92386		27.82
92386	50 \$	1.03 Page 14

		c DGS 100% lighlighted
		nts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
923865		1.25
923865		0.48
9238654		0.48
9238656		16.01
9238657		16.01
9238658		16.84
9238666		1.03
9238667		3.08
9238677	Š	3.08
9238679	c	5.88
9238688		313.38
9238694		130.04
9238695		130.04
9238702	5	4.42
9238703		4.42
9238705	5	134.35
9238742	ľš	16.95
9238744	Š	378.56
9238745	\$	76.89
9238788	Š	42.21
9238789	\$ \$ \$ \$	42.21
9238790	s	16.95
9238791	\$	807.71
9238793	\$	16.95
9238794	\$	66.35
9238796	\$	16.95
9238801	\$	10.23
9238802	\$	108.21
9238803	5	1.81
9238804	\$	108.21
9238805	\$	63.93
9238806	\$	4.21
9238826	\$	16.95
9238827	\$	16.95
9238831	\$	16.95
9238832	\$	16.95
9238836	\$	16.95
9238837	\$	16.95
9238838	\$	1.27
9238839	5	1.27

	Alloc DG5 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9238840	\$ 1.27
9238841	\$ 3.19
9238845	
9238846	\$ 11.36 \$ 84.52
9238847	\$ 23.02
9238862	\$ 23.02 \$ 16.95
9238863	\$ 16.95
9238867	
9238868	\$ 0.37
9238877	\$ 1.27
9238887	\$ 4.20
9238888	\$ 4.20
9238889	\$ 1.81
9238890	\$ 1.27 \$ 420 \$ 1.81 \$ 2.41 \$ 1.75 \$ 444.82 \$ 583.90 \$ 1.15 \$ 16.95 \$ 16.95
9238892	\$ 1.72
9238894	\$ 444.82
9238895	\$ 583.90
9238897	\$ 3.96
9238899	\$ 1.15
9238900	\$ 16.95
9238901	\$ 16.95
9238902	\$ 16.95
9238903	\$ 16.95 \$ 5.48 \$ 7.31 \$ 7.31
9238904	\$ 7.31
9238905	\$ 7.31
9238910	\$ 0.85
9238911	\$ 6.80
9238927	\$ 29.32
9238929	\$ 29.06
9238931	\$ 4.89
9238934	\$ 1.53
9238944	\$ 19.79
9238947	\$ 6.02
9238964	\$ 29.32
9238979 9238980	\$ 1.22 \$ 1.22
9238980 9238985	\$ 1.22 \$ 182,27
9238985	\$ 182.27
9238992	
9239002	ć 11.00
9239005	\$ 11.00 Page 149
	Page 145

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9239010	\$ 14.02
9239013	\$ 14.02
9239014	\$ 65.85
9239016	\$ 14.02
9239027	
9239029	
9239044	\$ 66.35
9239054	\$ 27.93
9239064	\$ 0.83
9239078	\$ 4.83
9239079	\$ 4.83
9239080	\$ 7.34
9239081	\$ 7.34
9239082	\$ 4.28
9239086	\$ 36.36
9239099	\$ 4.83
9239100 9239101	\$ 19.32 \$ 148.49
9239101	
9239110	\$ 25.96
9239111	\$ 798.12
9239158	\$ 50.50
9239159	\$ 19.79
9239168	\$ 154.53
9239170	\$ 824.23
9239176	\$ 239.36
9239178	\$ 239.36
9239179	\$ 239.36
9239183	\$ 17.54
9239184	\$ 17.54
9239185	\$ 17.54
9239186	\$ 17.54
9239187	\$ 17.54
9239188	\$ 17.54
9239189	\$ 17.54
9239201	\$ 24.97
9239205	\$ 442.99
9239207	\$ 23.91
9239208	\$ 23.91
9239209	\$ 23.91
	Page 150

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	Allo	oc DGS 100%
	(H	lighlighted
	Ar	nts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)	
9239217	\$	0.68
9239219	\$	23.91
9239221	\$	105.59
9239227	\$	0.68
9239228	\$	0.68
9239229	\$	9.47
9239230	\$	20.14
9239243	\$	145.63
9239259	\$	31.22
9239301	\$	6.94
9239309	\$	44.03
9239310	\$	44.03
9239320	\$	18.67
9239321	\$	70.06
9239333	\$	16.52
9239335	\$	9.44
9239337	\$	13.43
9239341	\$	2.09
9239343	\$	18.33
9239348	\$	40.89
9239350	\$	2.75
9239352	\$	13.43
9239354	\$	16.52
9239356 9239361	\$	2.75
9239361	\$	2.09
9239366	\$	3.32 2.09
9239369	\$	1.05
9239370	\$	8.37
9239372	\$	9.44
9239414	\$	23.76
9239416	\$	237.81
9239420	5	2.37
9239421	\$	1.18
9239422	\$	1.18
9239423	Š	1.18
9239427	Š	4.51
9239429		
9239442	\$	28.07
9239443	Š	28.07
		Page 151

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

			c DGS 100%
			lighlighted
			nts are De
Owner Nu		1	vinimus)
	9239444	\$	28.07
	9239449	\$	7.72
	9239453	\$	1.35
	9239455	\$	19.26
	9239459	5	1.75
	9239461	\$	1.72
	9239475	\$	297.81
	9239478	5	1.80
	9239480	\$	1,589.50
	9239511	\$	19.26
	9239513	\$	19.26
	9239516	\$	8.17
	9239518	\$	2.20
	9239519	\$	2.20
	9239520	5	2.20
	9239522	\$	181.01
	9239525	\$	0.20
	9239529	5	1.72
	9239531	5	1.72
	9239532	5	1.72
	9239542	5	1.23
	9239543	\$	341.04
	9239547	\$	1.77
	9239548	\$	9.23
	9239549	\$	18.46
	9239550	5	1.01
	9239551	\$	1.35
	9239554		5.47
	9239555	\$	8.21
	9239557	\$	5.47
	9239558	\$	4.83
	9239559	\$	4.83
	9239563	\$	5.31
	9239564	5	4.83
	9239565	S	4.83
	9239566	5	4.83
	9239568	5	4.83
	9239569	\$	8.21
	9239570	\$	32.88
	9239571	5	500.27
			Page 15

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9239573	\$ 1.35
9239579	\$ 6.06
9239582	\$ 14.07
9239584	\$ 1.35
9239591	\$ 5.31
9239596	\$ 38.52
9239603	\$ 180.20
9239609	\$ 1.77
9239611	\$ 5.52
9239615	\$ 1.68
9239628	\$ 227.36
9239640	5 1.77
9239643	\$ 23.76
9239644	\$ 23.76
9239645	\$ 35.64
9239646	\$ 35.64
9239649	\$ 5.06
9239654	\$ 5.06
9239657	\$ 5.06
9239659	\$ 5.06
9239667	\$ 1.17 \$ 1.17
9239671	\$ 1.17
9239682 9239685	\$ 946.91 \$ 37.46
9239685	\$ 37.46
9239701	\$ 2.82
9239702	\$ 2.82
9239703	\$ 47.81
9239706	5 1.68
9239729	5 4.83
9239751	3 4.65
9239756	
9239757	
9239768	
9239769	
9239770	
9239772	\$ 3.52
9239800	\$ 15.52
9239826	\$ 17.51
9239827	\$ 17.51
	Page 153

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9239828	\$ 17.51
9239829	\$ 17.51
9239862	\$ 204.53
9239874	\$ 16.80
9239903	
9239904	\$ 16.62
9239921	\$ 820.80
9239932	\$ 72.74
9239938	\$ 54.65 \$ 51.33
9239939	\$ 51.33
9239940	\$ 20.60
9239951	\$ 10.30
9239952	\$ 10.30
9239953	\$ 0.46
9239955	\$ 339.32
9239957	\$ 2.95
9239968	\$ 10.67
9239969	5 1.23
9239984	\$ 94.08
9239997	\$ 12.70
9240002	\$ 52.04
9240006	\$ 137.40
9240007	\$ 137.40
9240008	\$ 137.40
9240009	\$ 137.40
9240026	\$ 56.63
9240027	\$ 113.27
9240033	\$ 96.08
9240034	\$ 1.51
9240038	\$ 274.35
9240039	\$ 26.06
9240045 9240048	\$ 1.63
9240048 9240051	\$ 97.60
9240051 9240053	\$ 63.45 \$ 585.28
9240053 9240054	
9240054 9240057	\$ 318.41 \$ 124.74
9240087	\$ 1.63
9240081	5 1.63
9240082	S 3.28
9240086	Page 154
	rage 154

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

Owner Number		Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
92400	087 \$	1.92
92400	100	
92400		
92400		71.14
92400		
92401		0.78
92401		
92401	109 \$	8.05
92401	10 \$	8.04
92401		114.92
92401	36 \$	59.02
92401		
92401		
92401		
92401		
92401		
92401		
92401		
92401		
92401		23.29
92401		
92401		18.62
92401	74 \$	18.62
92401		18.62
92401	79 \$	86.98
92401		47.71
92401		15.90
92401	90 \$	15.90
92401	91 \$	23.86
92401	94 5	5.96
92401	96 \$	64.42
92401		8.95
92401	39 \$	42.95
92402 92402	U1 \$	193.27
92402		135.02 13.27
92402	10 5	3.95
92402		3.95
92402		67.51
92402		65.24
32402	12l 3	Page 155

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9240214	\$ 65.24
9240215	
9240216	\$ 135.02
9240217	\$ 5.01
9240218	
9240222	\$ 17.48
9240223	\$ 9.97
9240226	\$ 14.90
9240227	\$ 29.80
9240230	
9240231	\$ 7.04
9240232	\$ 75.73
9240233	\$ 335.12
9240234	\$ 30.52
9240235	\$ 48.85
9240237	\$ 30.52
9240238	\$ 30.52
9240239	\$ 22.89
9240240	\$ 15.26
9240241	\$ 43.22
9240242	\$ 30.52
9240243	\$ 31.17
9240244	\$ 31.38
9240245	\$ 91.59
9240246	\$ 47.10
9240247	\$ 18.31
9240248	\$ 30.52
9240249	\$ 156.10 \$ 61.07
9240256	\$ 61.07
9240257 9240258	\$ 155.29 \$ 43.48
9240258	\$ 43.48
9240259	
9240260	\$ 720.38 \$ 176.01
9240262	\$ 3.44
9240266	\$ 152.67
9240268	\$ 15.26
9240269	\$ 15.26
9240272	\$ 45.79
9240272	

	A	lloc DG5 100%
	ľ	(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9240274	\$	76.33
9240275	į,	38.16
9240284	\$	5,193.25
9240288	\$	132.94
9240290	5	3.00
9240294	\$	8.39
9240295	\$	213.15
9240297	\$	18.13
9240298	\$	132.94
9240299	\$	132.94
9240300	\$	54.38
9240305	\$	2.17
9240325	\$	3.25
9240328		4.27
9240331	\$	9.51
9240334 9240335	\$	127.04
9240336	\$	76.22 3.44
9240342	\$	30.52
9240359	\$	3.81
9240368	\$	11.41
9240371	\$	7.05
9240382	Š	6.19
9240383	Ť	
9240392		
9240418	\$	118.97
9240420	\$	303.15
9240424	\$	1,464.41
9240432	5	4.60
9240433	\$	4.70
9240434	\$	642.32
9240435	\$	33.79
9240436	\$	33.79
9240451	\$	63.81
9240452	\$	24.12
9240453	\$	19.04
9240454	\$	63.51
9240455	\$	18.54
9240456	\$	50.81
9240459	\$	26.73
		Page 157

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9240460	\$ 50.81
9240461	\$ 31.74
9240462	\$ 47.55
9240463	\$ 34.84
9240464	\$ 44.44
9240465	\$ 64.87
9240466	\$ 32.00
9240467	\$ 15.87
9240468	\$ 15.69
9240469	\$ 15.67
9240470	\$ 14.60
9240471	\$ 12.70
9240472	\$ 12.70
9240474	\$ 116.22
9240475	\$ 76.40
9240476	\$ 12.70
9240477	\$ 16.12
9240478	\$ 32.13
9240479	\$ 32.13
9240480	\$ 12.70
9240481	\$ 10.16
9240482	\$ 9.53
9240487	\$ 9.53
9240488	\$ 9.53
9240489	\$ 9.53
9240490	\$ 6.35
9240493	\$ 0.97
9240494	\$ 0.97
9240496	\$ 6.35
9240497	\$ 6.35
9240498	\$ 6.35
9240499	\$ 6.35
9240500	\$ 6.35
9240501	\$ 6.35
9240502	\$ 6.35
9240503	\$ 6.35
9240504	\$ 3.38
9240509	\$ 50.59
9240511	\$ 19.06
9240512	\$ 9.53
	Page 158

Exhibit B

		Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9240	517	
92405	518	
92405	519	
92405	526 \$	48.09
92405		
92405		
92405		
92405		
92405		
92405		14.26
92409		
92405		
92405		44.17
92405		
92405		
92405		7.96
92405	87 \$	6.62
92405	88 \$	6.62
92405		6.62
92405	91 \$	161.79
92405	97 \$	143.23
92406	01 \$	165.31
92406	02 \$	103.52
92406	03 \$	79.62
92406	04 \$	31.84
92406	05 \$	191.12
92406	06 \$	31.84
92406	07 \$	22.28
92406	08 \$	169.51
92406	09 \$	63.70
92406	10 \$	
92406		16.11
92406	12 \$	95.55
92406	13 \$	116.28
92406		63.70
92406		49.64
92406		31.84
92406		44.73
92406		15.92
92406	27 \$	51.04
		Page 159

Exhibit B

		Alloc DGS 100%
	-	(Highlighted
	- 1	Amts are De
Owner Number	_	Minimus)
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406		
92406 92406		
92406		74.47 37.94
92406		
92406		277.53
92406		218.90
92406		27.25
92406		152.91
92407		12.07
92407		29.07
92407		25.07
92407		277.53
92407		80.95
92407		80.95
924074		80.95
924074		344.36
92407		2.89
924078		16.95
924079	3 \$	30.00
924079		30.00
924079		30.00
924083		5.30
924083		12.65
924083		12.65
		Page 160

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	1	Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
92408		12.65
92408		23.94
92408		765.65
92408		765.65
92408	73 \$	19.25
92408	74 \$	19.25
92408		70.25
92408	36 \$	199.58
92408		31.52
92409		
92409		30.83
92409		96.55
924093		0.36
92409		854.18
92409		0.17
92409		0.17
92409		353.87
92409		
924096		353.87
924098		49.22
924099	3 \$	11.10
924099	4 5	11.10
924099		22.19
924099 924099		0.36 64.50
924093		16.64
924100)T 3	4.37
924100	3	1.51
924101	2	1.31
924102		0.61
924102	0 0	6.73
924103		175.18
92410		22.56
924104		22.56
924104		22.56
924104		140.90
924105		140.50
924105	100	58.07
924105		25.25
924106		175.44
324100	.1 3	Page 161

	A	lloc DG5 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9241066	\$	68.24
9241067	\$	1,285.48
9241069	\$	583.09
9241070	\$	116.36
9241102	\$	19.78
9241105	\$	8.45
9241107	\$	220.67
9241111	\$	15.46
9241115	\$	76.24
9241146	\$	0.46
9241148	\$	3.70
9241149	\$	0.46
9241150	\$	1.85
9241151	\$	0.46
9241152	\$	0.46
9241158	\$	92.78
9241165		
9241187	\$	30.83
9241188	\$	0.22
9241189	\$	13.70
9241190	\$	27.39
9241193		
9241194		
9241195	1	
9241230	\$	11.26
9241231	\$	5.63
9241232	5	4.44
9241233	\$	4.44
9241245	\$	35.38
9241269	\$	2.99
9241283	\$	9.62
9241286		
9241297	5	4.37
9241311	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	35.38
9241316	>	31.25
9241326 9241352	5	85.08 19.24
	5	
9241359 9241380	\$	36.79
9241380 9241384	5	108.32 66.28
9241384	Þ	
		Page 162

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Exhibit B

		Alloc DGS 100%
	- 1	(Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9241	402	\$ 91.44
9241		\$ 91.44 \$ 105.59
9241		\$ 105.59
9241		\$ 6.29
9241		\$ 6.29
9241	415	\$ 85.49
9241		\$ 85.49
9241	417	\$ 47.26
9241		\$ 2,400.16
9241		\$ 0.78
9241		\$ 121.54
9241		\$ 16.26
9241		\$ 16.26
9241	435	\$ 765.65
9241	452 5	\$ 48.77
9241		
9241		
9241		
9241		
9241		0.60
9241		
9241		189.13
9241		218.66
9241	492 5	218.66
9241	511 5	137.50
9241	512 5	8.81
9241	526	164.59
9241		
9241 9241		45.30
9241		115.94
9241		
9241		
9241		11.85
9241		41.22
9241		
92415		
92415		1.77
92415		
92415		2.46
		Page 163

	A	lloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9241587		
9241594	\$	98.12
9241615	\$	13.31
9241624	\$	92.15
9241626	\$	9.22
9241628	\$	185.55
9241629	\$	3.44
9241636	\$	43.73
9241682	\$	49.90
9241683	\$	49.90
9241685	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	9.98
9241688	\$	5.84
9241689	\$	5.84
9241706	\$	59.39
9241708	\$	6.36
9241712	\$	2.79
9241720	\$	45.72
9241722	\$	20.82
9241741	\$	1.13
9241749	\$	16.64
9241755	\$	35.29
9241757		
9241768	\$	165.86
9241774	\$	3.74
9241794	\$	2.66
9241800	\$	563.05
9241812	\$	1,065.36
9241813	\$	2,128.73
9241814	\$	1,065.35
9241815	\$	1,488.51
9241816	\$	23.51
9241823	\$	5.90
9241831	\$	0.57
9241832	5	0.57
9241833	\$	424.95
9241834	\$	10.75
9241842		1-000
9241849	5	3.65
9241860	\$	20.83
9241865		

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
\(\frac{1}{2}\)	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9241866	
9241873	\$ 29.69
9241894	\$ 69.74
9241919	
9241922	\$ 42.38
9241939	\$ 5.75
9241940	\$ 5.75
9241941	\$ 3.12
9241942 9241943	\$ 3.12 \$ 54.70
9241943	\$ 1,070.93
9241945 9241946	\$ 1,070.93
9241948	\$ 22.19
9241957	\$ 39.35
9241966	\$ 159.46
9241967	\$ 159.46 \$ 159.46
9241968	
9241971	\$ 3,038.23 \$ 5.75
9241988	\$ 36.34
9241989	
9241996	\$ 36.34 \$ 51.89
9241998	\$ 101.03
9242030	\$ 101.03 \$ 421.95
9242036	\$ 200.09
9242038	\$ 136.90
9242039	\$ 136.90
9242045	
9242050	\$ 5.30
9242056	\$ 5.30
9242064	\$ 0.75
9242065	\$ 0.75
9242070	\$ 211.99
9242071	
9242072	A 200
9242077	\$ 7.89
9242078 9242079	\$ 7.89 \$ 7.89 \$ 17.48
9242079	\$ 7.89 \$ 17.48
9242085	\$ 17.48
9242099	\$ 137.84
9242101	Page 165
	1 age 103

	Alloc DGS 100%	1
	(Highlighted	ı
	Amts are De	ı
Owner Number	Minimus)	ı
9242107	\$ 11.05	1
9242108	\$ 11.05	ı
9242109	\$ 13.51	ı
9242111	\$ 90.41	ı
9242112	\$ 90.41	ı
9242113	\$ 90.41 \$ 90.41 \$ 40.01 \$ 40.01	ı
9242139	\$ 40.01	ı
9242140	\$ 40.01	ı
9242152	\$ 13.51	ı
9242153	\$ 178.85	ı
9242154	\$ 3.79	ı
9242178	\$ 4.57	ı
9242179	\$ 27.40	ı
9242182	\$ 832.75	ı
9242183	\$ 27.40	ı
9242185	\$ 227.22	ı
9242186	\$ 22.27	ı
9242235	\$ 1.39	ı
9242236	\$ 200.10	ı
9242238	\$ 0.27	ı
9242241	\$ 106.13	l
9242248	\$ 13.84	ı
9242262	\$ 88.31	ı
9242266	\$ 43.48	ı
9242267	\$ 43.48	ı
9242282	\$ 28.25	ı
9242283	\$ 28.25 \$ 57.90	ı
9242284 9242288	\$ 57.90	ı
9242302	\$ 1,062.62	ı
9242302	\$ 1,062.62	ı
9242306	\$ 13.95	ı
9242307	3 13.55	ı
9242308		ı
9242309	\$ 96.45	l
9242319	\$ 11.71	
9242320	\$ 11.71	l
9242322	\$ 270.23	ı
9242335	\$ 11.33	
9242337	- 11.00	
3242337	Page 166	

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

	(oc DGS 100% Highlighted mts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9242		
9242	340 \$	33.19
9242		
9242		88.31
9242		8.55
9242		11.71
92423		0.42
92423		
92423		
92423		
92423		
92423 92423	-	72.62
92423		73.62
9242		73.62
92423		650.02
92423		650.02
92423		8.07
92423		43.62
92423		43.62
92423		43.62
92424		258.06
92424		216.50
92424		29.23
92424		32.79
92424		162.29
92424		
92424	88 \$	1.63
92424		1.63
92424	90 \$	1.63
92425	01 \$	37.42
92425		23.84
92425		1.63
92425	07 \$	1.63
92425		
92425		9.82
92425		9.82
92425		9.82
92425		9.82
92425	23 \$	9.82

	Alloc DGS 1009 (Highlighted
	Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9242536	\$ 43.07
9242540	\$ 9.46
9242545	
9242556	\$ 24.29
9242566	
9242567	
9242568	
9242569	
9242574	\$ 1.03
9242585	\$ 46.82
9242586	\$ 0.85
9242588	
9242589	\$ 3.85
9242590	\$ 0.85
9242591	\$ 4.89
9242592	\$ 2.26
9242593	\$ 0.64
9242594	\$ 0.85
9242595	\$ 0.85
9242598	\$ 0.85
9242599	\$ 0.85
9242601	\$ 2.70
9242602	\$ 0.90
9242603	\$ 2.61
9242604	\$ 4.23
9242605	\$ 0.85
9242606	5 0.85
9242607	\$ 0.85
9242608	\$ 4.85 \$ 2.26 \$ 0.64 \$ 0.85 \$ 0.85 \$ 0.85 \$ 2.77 \$ 0.90 \$ 2.75 \$ 0.90 \$ 2.75 \$ 0.90 \$ 1.28 \$ 0.85 \$ 1.28 \$
9242609	5 1.28
9242610	\$ 1.71
9242611	\$ 0.85
9242613	\$ 6.82
9242614	\$ 2.27
9242615	\$ 1.71
9242617	\$ 0.85
9242618	\$ 5.13
9242619	\$ 4.14
9242621	\$ 0.85
9242624	\$ 8.32

	Alloc DGS 100%
	(Highlighted
	Arnts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9242625	\$ 8.32
9242626	\$ 13.71
9242627	\$ 13.71
9242630	\$ 7.33
9242631	\$ 0.85
9242632 9242633	\$ 1.28
9242633	\$ 0.85 \$ 0.85
9242635	\$ 0.89
9242636	\$ 1.41
9242637	\$ 1.71
9242638	\$ 13,71 \$ 7,33 \$ 0.85 \$ 1.28 \$ 0.85 \$ 0.85 \$ 0.85 \$ 0.85 \$ 0.89 \$ 1.41 \$ 0.90 \$ 1.71 \$ 0.90 \$ 0.9
9242639	5 0.85
9242643	5 0.90
9242644	\$ 1.71
9242645	\$ 2.99
9242647	\$ 0.60
9242648	\$ 0.85
9242649	\$ 2.70
9242651	\$ 188.12
9242652	\$ 188.12
9242653	\$ 188.12
9242655	\$ 6.41
9242659 9242662	\$ 0.85 \$ 7.63
9242663	
9242665	\$ 0.68 \$ 0.87 \$ 0.87 \$ 0.91
9242666	\$ 0.87
9242670	5 0.91
9242679	\$ 28.29
9242680	\$ 1.37
9242695	\$ 6.62
9242696	\$ 3.47
9242697	\$ 1.91 \$ 0.91
9242706	\$ 0.91
9242707	\$ 1.71 \$ 2.56
9242708	\$ 2.56
9242709	\$ 1.62
9242710	\$ 0.85
9242711	\$ 0.85
	Page 169

		lloc DGS 100%	
		(Highlighted	
		Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)	
9242712	\$	1.31	
9242715	\$	79.60	
9242719	\$	80.17	
9242720	\$	6.41	
9242730	\$	3.98	
9242739			
9242740	\$	99.53	
9242741	\$	5.73	
9242751	5	1.00	
9242752	5	1.62	
9242753	\$	1.62	
9242754 9242756	5	1.66	
	\$	0.14	
9242767	\$ \$	1,174.92	
9242768		1,174.92	
9242769 9242770	\$	587.46 587.46	
9242770	\$	2.57	
9242771	\$		
9242772	\$	2.57	
9242810	\$	2.57 15.04	
9242811	\$	24.41	
9242812	\$	5.16	
9242819	\$	22.97	
9242841	\$	318.24	
9242842	\$	111.81	
9242844	\$	200.10	
9242845	\$	102.22	
9242846	\$	12.48	
9242847	\$	24.95	
9242849	\$	90.52	
9242852	\$	147.64	
9242888	\$	669.99	
9242895	\$	1.22	
9242914	\$	96.81	
9242934	\$	90.95	
9242936	\$	77.26	
9242937	\$	38.63	
9242938	\$	38.63	
9242939	\$	145.22	
•		Page 170	

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

		Alloc DGS 100%	
	- 1	(Highlighted	
	- 1	Amts are De	
Owner Number		Minimus)	
92429			
92429			
92429	962 \$	30.79	
92429			
92429			
92429			
92429		2.75	
92429			
92429		5.50	
92429		2.75	
92429			
92429			
92429		6.12	
92429			
92429		50.04	
92429			
92430			
92430 92430		8.74 8.74	
92430		8.74	
92430	221 5	8.74 0.66	
92430	27	0.66	
92430	24 6	241.21	
92430	24 3	241.21	
92430	25 5	13.08	
92430	27 6	189.11	
92430	28 6	37.82	
92430	29 6	151.29	
92430		304.05	
92430		0.85	
92430		25.01	
92430		25.01	
92430		1-551-1	
92430		14.69	
92430		14.69	
92430		228.93	
92430		40.73	
92430		130,65	
92430		244.00	
92430		244.00	
		Page 171	

Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

	1	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted	
	- 1	Amts are De	
Owner Number	- 1	Minimus)	
92431	09	\$ 163.44	
92431	_	\$ 39.98	
92431	_	\$ 69.50	
92431		\$ 54.58	
92431		\$ 48.98	
92431		\$ 214.01	
92431		\$ 214.01	
92431		\$ 214.01	
92431	75	\$ 672.78	
92431		\$ 65.20	
92431	81	\$ 65.20	
92431	82	\$ 65.20	
92432		\$ 112.70	
92432	68	\$ 138.29	
92432	69	\$ 138.29	
92432	70	\$ 371.45	
92432	77	\$ 371.45 \$ 9.50	
92433	02	\$ 75.55	
92433	03	\$ 75.55 \$ 75.55 \$ 75.55	
92433		\$ 75.55	
92433	05	\$ 75.54	
92433	06	\$ 37.77	
92433	07	\$ 6.27	
92433	22	\$ 5.51	
92433	33	5 3.84	
92433	62	\$ 13.31	
92433		\$ 13.31	
92433	64	\$ 13.31	
92433		\$ 3,355.04	
924338	37	\$ 80.21	
92433	93	\$ 34.18	
924335		\$ 34.18	
924339	96	\$ 1,959.85	
924340		\$ 125.74	
924342		\$ 16.05	
924342		\$ 2.35	
924343		\$ 0.85	
924344		\$ 1.82	
924344		\$ 71.68	
924344	13	\$ 71.68	
		Page 172	

Owner Number	Alloc DGS 100% (Highlighted Amts are De Minimus)
9243457 9243461	\$ 13.71 \$ 138.29
9243461	\$ 138.29 \$ 267.30
9243464	\$ 34.56
9243473	\$ 1,486.12
9243474	\$ 30.55
9243475	and the second
9243496	\$ 240.65
9243519	\$ 23.95
9243520	\$ 23.95
9243521	\$ 23.95 \$ 23.95
9243522	\$ 23.95
9243531	\$ 15.45
9243559	\$ 7.45
9243569	\$ 0.51
9243571	\$ 31.36
9243572	\$ 31.36
9243573	\$ 31.36
9243575	\$ 8.05
9243587	
9243588	
9243594	\$ 79.27
9243595 9243596	\$ 79.27
9243596	\$ 79.27 \$ 3.63
9243597	\$ 3.63
9243615	\$ 10.12
9243618	\$ 0.61
9243622	\$ 15.68
9243623	\$ 15.68
9243624	\$ 15.68 \$ 15.68
9243626	\$ 89.48
9243663	\$ 215.05
9243664	\$ 215.05
9243665	\$ 89.48 \$ 215.05 \$ 215.05 \$ 138.29
9243667	\$ 1,070.93
9243669	\$ 206.23
9243670	\$ 1.05
9243680	
9243714	\$ 14.13
	Page 173

	Alloc DGS 100%	
	(Highlighted	
	Amts are De	
Owner Number	Minimus)	
9243716		
9243723	\$ 85.56	
9243727	5 4.61	
9243746	\$ 0.85	
9243747	\$ 0.85 \$ 0.85	
9243748	\$ 0.85	
9243776	\$ 42.47	
9243780	\$ 716.48	
9243790	\$ 22.62	
9243798	\$ 370.55	
9243814	\$ 2.39	
9243820		
9243824	\$ 79.96	
9243840	\$ 7.97	
9243841	\$ 3.68	
9243843	\$ 8.01	
9243852	\$ 226.69	
9243855	\$ 76.33	
9243862	\$ 355.33	
9243873	\$ 32.40	
9243874	\$ 1.05	
9243875	\$ 1.05	
9243880	\$ 4.21	
9243891	\$ 15.93	
9243892	\$ 7.97	
9243899	\$ 1.05	
9243908	\$ 73.43	
9243910	\$ 0.80	
9243911	\$ 14.23	
9243916	\$ 15.26	
9243917	\$ 39.67	
9243919	\$ 337.75 \$ 297.30 \$ 297.30 \$ 5.66 \$ 5.66 \$ 5.66 \$ 5.66	
9243924	\$ 297.30	
9243925	\$ 297.30	
9243933	\$ 5.66	
9243934	\$ 5.66	
9243935	\$ 5.66	
9243936	\$ 5.66	
9243952	\$ 255.18	
9243953	\$ 228.28	
	Page 174	

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation Exhibit B

Wake v Devon Energy Case No. CJ-2024-267 Settlement Allocation

Page 176

	2000	c DGS 100%
	(Highlighted	
	Amts are De	
Owner Number	-	Minimus)
9243954		
9243960		1,834.39
9243964	\$	67.26
9243965	5	0.77
9243978	\$	280.25
9243979	\$	5.52
9243985	\$	13.33
9243991	\$	42.76
9243992	5	3.03
9243999	\$	1.08
9244000	\$	1.08
9244005 9244006	\$ \$	6.24 82.43
9244006		
9244013	\$	3.15 3.91
9244014		0.27
9244023	\$	1.08
9244055	S	12.74
9244058	J.	12.74
9244070	m.	
9244071	\$	17.14
9244072	\$	77,79
9244073	\$	105.74
9244076	c	8.01
9244086	\$	8.35
9244087	\$	8.36
9244088	\$	8.36
9244089	\$	8.36
9244095	\$	500.20
9244096	\$	1.83
9244101	\$	6.21
9244102	\$	6.21
9244105	\$	10.11
9244107		
9244114	\$	591.07
9244115		1000
9244124	\$	0.69
9244139	\$	55.15
9244140	\$	19.31
9244141	S	19.32

	Alloc DG\$ 100% (Highlighted Amts are De
Owner Number	Minimus)
9244142	\$ 14.00
9244143	\$ 14.00
9244144	\$ 14.00
9244145	\$ 14.00
9244154	
9244155 9244159	A 45.20
9244159 9244160	\$ 15.20 \$ 15.20
9244160 9244165	\$ 15.20
9244165	\$ 402.43
9244166	\$ 64.11
9244168	\$ 14.28 \$ 14.28
9244169	\$ 14.28
9244170	\$ 26.94
9244186	\$ 2.34
9244198	\$ 179.93
9244199	\$ 6.57
9244200	\$ 6.59
9244212	
9244213	
9244214	
9244215	
9244218	
9244228	and a
9244260	5 -
9244261	\$ 0.30
9244262	\$ 0.30
9244263	\$ 0.30 \$ 0.30
9244264	\$ 0.30
9244265	\$ 0.30
9244266	\$ 0.30
9244267	\$ 0.30
9244268	\$ 1.04
9244271	\$ 0.26
9244278	5
9244286	\$ 0.36
9249813	\$ 1.71
9249814	\$ 1.71
9249821	\$ 2.84

	1	Alloc DGS 100%
		(Highlighted
		Amts are De
Owner Number		Minimus)
9249		
9249		1.50
9249		2.25
9249		0.56
9249		0.56
9249		1.81
9249		1.81
9249		1.81
9249		
9249		
9249	889	
9249		
9249		3.31
9249		
9249	908	
9249		
9249		1.80
9249		43.44
9249		138.83
9250		152.83
9250		1.12
9250		8.99
9250		4.35
9250		3.07
9250		167.61
9250		1.59
9250		0.94
9250		0.27
Total Allocation	\$	1,009,000.00

Page 177